Quarkonium production at the LHC: experiment and theory overview



- Measurements and models in pp collisions (brief)
- Data and models in Pb-Pb collisions (compared to RHIC data)

## Heavy-quark and quarkonium production

A. Andronic



A. Rothkopf, arXiv:1912.02253

#### Charm data in pp collisions

A. Andronic



#### ALICE, PRD 105 (2022) L011103

ALICE, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 1121

3

FONLL, NNLO: perturbative QCD calculations

abundant charm(onium) production at the LHC; charmonium/charm  ${\sim}1\%$ 

# Non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD):

as Q,  $\overline{Q}$  move slowly (in quarkonium c.m.s., v), exploits hierarchy of scales  $m_Q \gg m_Q v, m_Q v^2, \Lambda_{QCD}$  ( $\simeq 200 \text{ MeV}$ )

4

sum over all states (singlet, octet) of the product of  $\sigma_{Q,\bar{Q}}$  (pQCD) times the long-distance matrix elements that describe the non-perturbative formation process (derived from fits of data or calculated in lattice QCD)

Color Evaporation Model, CEM:  $\sigma_{CEM}^{pp \to H+X} = k_H \cdot \sigma_{Q,\bar{Q}}$  (quarkonium H)

Color Singlet Model, GSM:  $\sigma_{CSM}^{pp \to H+X} \sim \sigma_{Q,\bar{Q}}(v=0)|R_H(0)|^2$  (S states)  $R_H(0)$  is the radial wavefunction at origin for hadron (quarkonium) H

Bodwin, arXiv:1012.4215; Soto, arXiv:1101.2392;

## Quarkonium modelling

A. Andronic

#### ... is a rich theory field



A. Rothkopf, arXiv:1912.02253

 $J/\psi$  vs. event activity



What is trivial here? (1st diagonal?)

"Energy cost" similar for MB event ( $\Delta y=1$ ) and J/ $\psi$  ( $\simeq$ 6 GeV)

Similar behaviour measured for D mesons;  $\Upsilon$ ; charged part.  $p_T$ =5-6 GeV/c

# the original idea: Matsui & Satz, Phys.Lett. B 178 (1986) 178

"If high energy heavy-ion collisions lead to the formation of a hot quark-gluon-plasma, then color screening prevents  $c\bar{c}$  binding in the deconfined interior of the interaction region."

Refinements: "sequential suppression": Digal et al., PRD 64 (2001) 75 no  $q\bar{q}$  bound state if  $r_{q\bar{q}}(T) > r_0(T) \simeq \frac{1}{g(T)T}$  $r_0$  Debye length in QGP  $\Rightarrow q\bar{q}$  "thermometer" of QGP



7

Thermal picture ( $n_{partons} = 5.2T^3$  for 3 flavors) for T=500 MeV:  $n_p \simeq 84/\text{fm}^3$ , mean separation  $\bar{r}=0.2$  fm  $< r_{J/\psi}$ 



quantitative: lattice QCD (next talk, by P. Petreczky)

 $\leftarrow$  assumed feed-down to J/ $\psi$ : 10% from  $\psi(2S)$  and 30% from  $\chi_c$ 

Experimentally:

 $R_{AA}^{J/\psi} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{J/\psi}^{AA}/\mathrm{d}y}{N_{coll}\cdot\mathrm{d}N_{J/\psi}^{pp}/\mathrm{d}y} \quad \text{vs. } N_{part}$  or  $N_{ch}$ 

quarkonium as thermometer

# Charmonium data: SPS, RHIC, LHC

A. Andronic



suppression at lower energies, but not obviously a sequential one at the LHC another effect offsets the dissociation

#### Charmonium data: RHIC and LHC

A. Andronic



production at low  $p_{\rm T}\,$  is enhanced at the LHC compared to RHIC ....stronger at midrapidity

#### Charmonium data at the LHC: in perspective

A. Andronic



ALICE, arXiv:2303.13361, arXiv:2110.09420, arXiv:1802.09145

a very clear hierarchy at low/intermediate  $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ 

charm production is a pQCD process, pion production largely a thermal process



significant suppression, hierarchy between states (sequential?)

not significantly different at LHC and RHIC (except perhaps  $\Upsilon(2S)$ )



weak  $p_{\rm T}$  dependence (dissociation expected to be stronger at low  $p_{\rm T}$ )

## There is dissociation and there is (re)generation

A. Andronic



This picture is implemented in models in 2 basic ways:

 statistical hadronization: full screening, generation at hadronization (c,c produced in initial scattering and fully thermalized in QGP)
transport: continuous destruction and (re)generation, also from different c,c

(time evolution of T constrained by other measurements)

Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196; NPA 789 (2006) 334, PLB 652 (2007) 259

all charm quarks are produced in primary hard collisions ( $t_{c\bar{c}} \sim 1/2m_c \simeq 0.1 \text{ fm/c}$ ) ...survive and thermalize in QGP (thermal, but not chemical equilibrium)

charmed hadrons are formed at chemical freeze-out together with all hadrons "generation" ...no J/ $\psi$  survival in QGP (full screening)

(if supported by data) J/ $\psi$  looses status as "thermometer" of QGP ...and gains status as a powerful observable for the QCD phase boundary

Predicts  $p_T$  spectra too: hydrodynamics (MUSIC) (input for  $\beta_T$  in blast-wave formula) JHEP 07 (2021) 035, arXiv:2308.14821

*pQCD production*, "throw in":  $N_{c\bar{c}} = 9.6 \rightarrow g_c = 30.1 \ (I_1/I_0 = 0.974)$ 

LHC, central collisions

assume:

- full thermalization of  $c, \bar{c}$ ("mobility" in V $\simeq$ 4000 fm<sup>3</sup>)
- full color screening (Matsui-Satz)

Braun-Munzinger, Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196

Model predicts all charm chemistry ( $\psi(2S)$ , X(3872))

Yield per spin d.o.f Pb-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =2.76 TeV 10<sup>3</sup> central collisions  $10^{2}$ 10 10<sup>-1</sup> J/ψ  $10^{-2}$ Data (|y|<0.5), ALICE  $10^{-3}$ particles  $10^{-4}$ antiparticles  $10^{-5}$ Statistical Hadronization (T=156.5 MeV) total (+decays; +initial charm) 10<sup>-6</sup> primordial (thermal)  $10^{-7}$ 1.5 0.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 Mass (GeV)

 $\pi$ ,  $K^{\pm}$ ,  $K^0$  from charm included in the thermal fit (0.7%, 2.9%, 3.1% for T=156.5 MeV)

PLB 797 (2019) 134836

#### Charm data and SHMc model

A. Andronic



17

Enh. c-baryons: tripled the excited charm-baryon states, and  $d\sigma_{cc}/dy$ : +19% RQM: He,Rapp, PLB 795 (2019) 117; LQCD, Bazavov et al., PLB 737 (2014) 210

leaves the mesonic sector unaffected, for the commensurately larger  $\sigma_{c\bar{c}}$ 

### Transport models

A. Andronic

implement screening picture with space-time evolution of the fireball (hydro-like) continuous destruction and "(re)generation" ("recombination") Boltzmann equation (loss and gain terms)

Thews et al., PRC 63 (2001) 054905 ...

"TAMU", PLB 664 (2008) 253, NPA 859 (2011) 114, EPJA 48 (2012) 72

"Tsinghua", PLB 607 (2005) 107, PLB 678 (2009) 72, arXiv:1401.5845

Predicts  $R_{AA}, v_2(p_T)$  (TAMU describes D mesons too)



Rapp, Du, arXiv:1704.07923

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\Upsilon}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -\Gamma(T(\tau)) \left[ N_{\Upsilon}(\tau) - N_{\Upsilon}^{eq}(T(\tau)) \right]$$



Quasi-free = inelastic (dissociation) = imaginary part of HQ potential K factor: enhancement over perturbative results (K = 5 in  $\Gamma_{\Upsilon(1S)}$  above)  $N^{eq}$ : SHM generation

• "Comovers" model (Santiago):

( invented to describe suppression in the (final-state) hadronic medium at SPS  $J/\psi+\pi\to D+\bar{D}$  )

21

at LHC: gluo-dissociation and a (re)generation component (dominant for  $J/\psi$ ) (the Boltzmann equation of the transport model is also in the comoving system)

```
E. Ferreiro at al., PLB 731 (2014) 57
```

• Hydrodynamic model (Kent State Univ.) hydro gives energy density vs. space-time suppression probability vs.  $\varepsilon$  gives  $R_{AA}$  (of Y)

Strickland, Bazow, NPA 879 (2012) 25

Open quantum systems description + Effective NRQCD theory quantum evolution of the  $b\bar{b}$  pair in QGP (heat bath; hydrodynamics) Lindblad equation (accuracy: at NLO in the binding energy over temperature)

Strickland, Thapa, PRD 108 (2023) 014031

#### SHMc vs. transport models

A. Andronic



SHMc:  $d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}/dy$  via normalization to  $D^0$  in Pb–Pb 0-10%, ALICE, arXiv:2110.09420  $dN/dy = 6.82\pm1.03$  (|y| < 0.5; FONLL for y=2.5-4; assuming hadronization fractions in data as in SHMc)

 $\psi(2S)/J/\psi$  at the LHC (and SPS)



ALI-PUB-528400

ALICE, arXiv:2210.08893

In SHMc uncertainty only due to nuclear-corona  $(\sigma_{c\bar{c}} \text{ cancels out completely})$ 

### $J/\psi$ elliptic flow: data and transport model

A. Andronic



ALICE, arXiv:2212.04348

a very good description of data by the TAMU model



definitely strong flow but clearly less strong than for charm (CMS, QM'22, HIN-21-003) ...and a strong coupling with the medium (less energy loss than charm,  $p_T \simeq 10 \text{ GeV}/c$ )

# $R_{AA}$ , 50% bb thermalized

A. Andronic



CMS, PRL 120 (2018) 142301

ALICE, PLB 822 (2021) 136579

What does non-thermalized beauty produce? (no room for it in SHMb)

## $\Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S)$ ratio (100% b thermalization)

A. Andronic



ALICE pp:  $\Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S) = 0.5 \pm 0.1$ , arXiv:2109.15240

SHMb uncert.: nuclear-corona (fraction)



Transport Model (TAMU), Du, Liu, Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 796 (2019) 20

Substantial remnants of the long-range color confining force in QGP



30

#### ALICE, arXiv:2212.04348

All models (except perhaps Comovers ...large uncert.) reproduce the data well TAMU: Regeneration important for  $\Upsilon(2S)$ 

- $\bullet$  Charm quarks seem to thermalize very effectively (close to 100%) in QGP
- SHM: all charmonium and open charm states are generated exclusively at hadronization (chemical freeze-out) ...full color screening The model is very successful in reproducting the J/ψ and open charm data A handle for hadronization T with a mass scale well above T
- Transport models: continuous J/ $\psi$  destruction and (re)generation in QGP (only up to 2/3 of the J/ $\psi$  yield (LHC, central collisions) originates from deconfined c and  $\bar{c}$  quarks)

Discriminating the two pictures implies providing an answer to fundamental questions related to the fate of hadrons in a hot deconfined medium.

A precision (±10%) measurement of  $d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}/dy$  in Pb-Pb (Au-Au) collisions needed for a stringent test (within reach with the upgraded detectors at the LHC and RHIC)

• Full beauty thermalization seems not realized in nature ...with 30-50% of beauty quarks fully thermalized SHM can explain the ↑ data ...but this fraction is (significantly) dependent on the b-hadron spectrum

32

What does non/partially-thermalized beauty produce?

no  $\Upsilon$  because strong coupling with the medium destroys the  $b\text{-}\overline{b}$  correlation?

- Transport and Hydro models are successfully reproducing  $\Upsilon$  suppression Transport: regeneration important for  $\Upsilon(2S)$  (at the LHC)
- Quantum approaches on strong rise

#### Fractions primordial, (re)generated - energy dependence

A. Andronic



Rapp, Du, arXiv:1704.07923

## $J/\psi$ production in p–Pb collisions

A. Andronic



$$R_{\rm pPb} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\rm pPb}/\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}\mathrm{d}y}{\langle N_{\rm coll}^{\rm pPb} \rangle \cdot \mathrm{d}N_{\rm pp}/\mathrm{d}p_{\rm T}\mathrm{d}y}$$
$$N_{\rm coll}^{\rm pPb} \rangle \simeq 7$$

Shadowing describes data (shadowing uncert. are large) Color Glass Condensate also successful

ALICE, JHEP 07 (2018) 160 LHCb, PLB 774 (2017) 159

Seen also with Run 1 data (5.02 TeV): ALICE, JHEP 02 (2014) 073, 06 (2015) 55

# $J/\psi$ production in p–Pb collisions

A. Andronic



Bands: Transport Model, TAMU Du, Rapp, JHEP 1903 (2019) 015

*Need experimentally* (in reach for Run 3,4): better precision; also  $v_3$ ; separate B component;  $v_2$  of  $\psi(2S)$  ?

## $\mathbf{J}/\psi$ and $\psi(2S)$ production in p–Pb collisions

A. Andronic



Du, Rapp, JHEP 1903 (2019) 015