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Stability of Various Theories
Special and Galilean-relativistic case

- Thermodynamics & Stability
  - Statics
    - OK
  - Ordinary Thermodynamics
    - OK
  - Continuum Thermodynamics
    - Non-relativistic
    - Eckart (1st order)
      - NOT
      - Israel-Stewart (2nd order)
        - OK?
        - BDNK? (3rd order)
    - Special relativistic
      - Fourier-Navier-Stokes (1st order)
        - OK
      - MCV-Navier-Stokes (2nd order)
        - OK
      - Ballistic (truncated 3rd order)
        - OK?
        - OK
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Motivation

• The properties and existence of dark matter is one of the most fascinating questions in cosmology.

• The scale-free nature of gravitational interaction in both Newtonian gravity and the general theory of relativity gives rise to the concept of self-similarity.

• This implies that the governing partial differential equations are invariant under scale transformation if we consider appropriate matter fields.

• Self-similar solutions (SSs) have a wide range of applications in astrophysics.

• We studied different kinds of dark fluid models with self-similar solutions.
Self-similarity in General Relativity

- In GR, the concept of SSs is not quite straightforward because GR has general covariance against coordinate transformation.
- Can be seen in two ways: Properties of the space-time, and properties of the matter fields [Cahill and Taub (1971)]
- Self-similarity of the space-time $\Rightarrow$ Homothetic vector fields (HVF):
  \[ \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} = 2\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \]

The kinematic self-similar solution can be defined via a kinematic self-similar vector $\xi$ (KSS). The KSS vector satisfies the following identities:

1. \[ \mathcal{L}_\xi h_{\mu\nu} = 2\delta h_{\mu\nu} \]
2. \[ \mathcal{L}_\xi u_\mu = \alpha u_\mu \]

The definition of the $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$ projection tensor.
General Spherically Symmetric Space-time

The line element of the general symmetric spacetimes is given by:

\[ ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(t,r)} dt^2 + e^{2\Psi(t,r)} dr^2 + R(t,r)^2 [d\theta^2 + \Sigma(k, \theta)^2 d\phi^2] \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where,

\[ \Sigma(k, \theta) = \begin{cases} 
\sin(\theta), & k = 1 \\
\theta, & k = 0 \\
\sinh(\theta), & k = -1 
\end{cases} \]

We adopt comoving frames:

\[ u_\mu = (e^{-\Phi}, 0, 0, 0) \]
Equation of State

We are interested in finding kinematic self-similar solutions for different dark energy models. We are interested to find solution when the following linear equation of state (EOS1) are used:

$$p = w(\zeta)\rho,$$

where the $w$ parameter is explicitly depend on the $\zeta$ similarity variable. Linear equation of state are widely used in cosmological astrophysics to describe dark matter, dark energy as well as ordinary matter. The other equation of state we used is more restricted (EOS2):

$$p = w(\mathcal{R}(r, t))\rho,$$
Solutions and Summary

From this analysis, we showed that for the first EoS \( p = \omega(\xi)\rho \), the **relevant solutions** yet we find are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second kind, tilted</th>
<th>Homothetic Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second kind, parallel</td>
<td>Flat FRWL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second kind, orthogonal</td>
<td>Homothetic Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeroth kind, tilted</td>
<td>No solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeroth kind, parallel</td>
<td>No solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeroth kind, orthogonal</td>
<td>All static solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are currently working on the solutions, where the EOS2 is used, and we are also interested in those solutions, where bulk viscosity is added to the \( T_{\mu\nu} \).
Thermodynamically compatible family of non-relativistic self-gravitating weakly nonlocal fluids
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How universal is thermodynamics?

Gravity?

\[ \Delta \varphi = 4\pi G \left[ \varrho + \nabla \cdot \left( C \frac{\nabla \varrho}{\varrho} \right) \right] \]

Quantum mechanics? \longrightarrow Korteweg fluids \longrightarrow Bohmian (hydrodynamical) formulation of QM

How universal is holographic property?

\[ \varrho \dot{\mathbf{v}} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\text{perfect}} \quad \iff \quad \varrho \dot{\mathbf{v}} = -\varrho \nabla \Phi \]

Does a thermodynamically consistent family of fluids exist? \longrightarrow YES
Thank you for your attention!
Silicon Tracking System of CBM Experiment
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Silicon Tracking System is designed to provide good momentum resolution (< 1.5 %) with tracking efficiency (< 97 %) -> Low material budget (exp challenge)

- Silicon Tracking System is the key tracking detector of CBM experiment
- 8 Tracking Stations inside 1 T.m superconducting dipole magnet
- Material budget per station: 0.3 % - 2 % $X_0$
- Power dissipation ~ 40 kW in ~ 3 m³
- Radiation tolerance: $\leq 10^{14}$ n$_{eq}$ cm$^{-2}$
- Sensor temperature 10 °C at EOL
- Self-triggering Front End Electronics outside the physics aperture
- Cooled at -20 °C using 3M NOVEC 649

STS expands reconstruction horizons from 3D to 5D with spatial, timing and amplitude in free streaming mode essential for CBM goals
Experimental challenge for STS: Optimize material of the components under acceptance region

- **Module assembly** procedure has been developed and tested in the lab as well as with beam
- **Ladder Assembly** has been optimized with achievable mounting precision of $\pm 100 \, \mu m$
- **Detector integration** aspects has been understood using mechanical and thermal demonstrators

Assembly and testing procedure is well established and module series production has started.
mini-CBM is the small precursor of full scale CBM detector
mini-STS operation involves using STS modules in real data taking scenario
2 tracking stations (sensor layers) $12 \times 12 \, \text{cm}^2$ and $18 \times 18 \, \text{cm}^2$ arranged on 2 stations without magnetic field
  • 11 modules (<1 % of STS modules) mounted on 4 ladders
  • Testing of hit reconstruction performance, timing resolution, vertex reconstruction

Preliminary results: Hit reconstruction efficiency of 97 % is reached using tracks from station (6 modules) and an external detector (TOF) as reference
sPHENIX TPC monitoring system
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sPHENIX

- sPHENIX is located at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL)
- It will study properties of Quark Gluon Plasma by various probes
- The commission of the detector began in May 2023
- Strength of the magnetic field is 1.4 T
- One of its subdetectors is the Time Projection Chamber (TPC)
TPC

- It is the central tracking detector of the experiment
- The working gas of the TPC is Ar/CF$_4$ 60:40
- Amplification of the electron is carried out using a stack of four Gas Electron Multipliers (GEMs) (quad-GEM), inspired by ALICE
  - 36 modules are placed per side
  - Each stack contains two standard and two large pitch planes
System characterization

• A voltage divider is used to supply operational voltages for GEMs.
• When powering GEMs with a resistor chain only one high voltage (HV) channel powers a whole module.
• If small resistor values are used in the chain, in the case of a spark, a large amount of current (compared to the nominal) will be driven through the system. A large amount of energy will be dissipated.
• Large resistor values limit the energy of sparks, but it is harder to detect sparks through the power supply current.
• A capacitor connected to the bottom of the bottom GEM is used as a pickoff capacitor for triggering and event counting.
Digitizing spark signals

- Main requirement of the spark signal digitizer system was being able to continuously monitor 72 channels simultaneously with fast and reliable signal detection
- The original signal is bipolar and has high frequency, requires high-speed and expensive ADCs
- The idea is to convert this signal to a unipolar, pulse-like signal that can be digitized with a slower ADC
- For this, we designed an absolute value-integrator board. It takes the absolute value of the input signal and then integrates that
Digitizing spark signals

- The outputs of the absolute value boards are connected to a digitizer board
- This board has eight 9-channel ADCs connected to an FPGA
- The digitizer board is connected to a PC using PCI-Express communication
- This PC is able to communicate with the high voltage power supplies and intervene in their operation using a TCP/IP connection in case of a spark is detected
Thank you for your attention!
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SiPM Module Tester for CMS BTL
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BTL Module
SiPM Detector
The module tester
Thank you for your attention!
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The ALICE Fast Interaction Trigger performance and upgrade

Sahil Upadhyaya
on behalf of the ALICE collaboration
ALICE Upgrade

ALICE Run 3 setup

1. ZDC – Zero Degree Calorimeter
2. FDD – Forward Diffractive Detector
3. EMCal – Electromagnetic Calorimeter
4. DCal – Di-jet Calorimeter
5. HMPID – High Momentum Particle Identification Detector
6. FV0 – FVzero
7. FT0-A – FTzero A-side
8. FT0-C – FTzero C-side
9. MFT – Muon Forward Tracker
10. ITS – Inner Tracking System
11. TPC – Time Projection Chamber
12. TRD – Transition Radiation Detector
13. TOF – Time-of-Flight Detector
14. PHOS – Photon Spectrometer
15. MCH – Muon Tracking Chambers
16. MID – Muon Identifier
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ALICE Fast Interaction Trigger (FIT)

→ Extensive trigger menu (minimum-bias and centrality-based triggers)
→ Collision rate monitoring and online luminosity feedback to the LHC
→ LHC beam induced background monitoring

FV0
- 48 plastic scintillator cells
- Large acceptance – 144 cm diameter
- Event centrality determination

FT0
- Čerenkov arrays (total 208 pixels)
- Minimum-bias and centrality trigger generation
- Collision time and vertex position calculations.
- Excellent time resolution.

FDD
- Forward Diffractive Detector
- Plastic scintillator arrays (total 16 pixels)
- Diffractive and ultra-peripheral events tagging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>$\eta_{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDD-A</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT0-A</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT0-C</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDD-C</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIT Performance

FT0 time resolution in pp 13.6 TeV

Primary vertex vs. FT0 vertex in Pb-Pb 5.36 TeV

FV0 charge vs FT0C charge in Pb-Pb collisions at 5.36 TeV

FT0 collision time vs FT0 vertex in Pb-Pb 5.36 TeV
FIT Upgrade

Current FIT FEE (based on FT0)

Upgrade plans for Run 4
- Replacement of analog with digital electronics based on FPGA and RFSoC
- Increase ADC dynamic range for charge measurements.
- Online tagging of pileup events
Thank You!
Köszönöm!
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Flash talk, 7.12
In heavy ion collisions, quarkonium can be used as a probe of quark-gluon plasma (QGP) properties.

The production mechanism of heavy quarkonium is not fully understood by current models, e.g.

Physics Goal: Investigate CS and CO Upsilon production mechanism by looking at Upsilon-hadron azimuthal correlations

We employ the PYTHIA event generator to simulate pp collisions at 500 GeV to study azimuthal angular correlation.

This study will be used as a reference for STAR measurements.

Pion selection:
- $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c;
- $|\eta| < 1$ (Central pseudorapidity range) or $2.4 < \eta < 4$ (Forward rapidity range) -> the double peak is expected [E. Basso et al., PoS, EPS-HEP2015, 191 (2016)].

Upsilon selection:
- directly produced Upsilon(1S) - no feed-down contribution;
- dielectron decay ($\Upsilon (1S) \rightarrow e^- e^+$) only.

Color singlet (CS): $Q\bar{Q}$ produced directly in a color-neutral state in association with a gluon

Color Octet (CO): $Q\bar{Q}$ can be produced in any colored or color-neutral state, with any quantum numbers $^1L_J$.
Results

\[ Y + \text{hadron azimuthal correlations for CS and CO production mechanism for central – central pseudorapidities} \]

\[ Y + \text{hadron azimuthal correlations for CS and CO production mechanism for central – forward pseudorapidities.} \]
The $\Upsilon$ + hadron correlation is characterized by an away-side peak at $\Delta \Phi = \pi$.

Upsilon – hadron azimuthal correlations were obtained for the $\Upsilon$ particles generated for both the CS and CO production mechanisms.

Stronger correlation in CS case compared to the CO.

Correlation with a double-peak structure hasn’t been observed in the production of $\Upsilon$ particles via a color singlet state for pions located with forward pseudorapidities.

The results of the simulation will serve as a basis for comparison with the experimental data gathered from the STAR experiment conducted at the RHIC in BNL.

Thank you for attention!
Study of the J/ψ photoproduction with tagged forward proton in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV
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Exclusive measurement of $J/\psi$ photoproduction

$\pi + p \rightarrow p_1 + J/\psi + p_2$

$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decay channel

Interactions of proton’s ($p_1$) electromagnetic fields, which are taken as fluxes of photons, with the other proton ($p_2$)

Photons can fluctuate to a virtual hadronic state ($q\bar{q}$) which scatters off the other proton and turns into a real vector meson ($J/\psi$)

Interaction of $q\bar{q}$ pair with target proton through Pomeron exchange

**Diffractive process**

- Presence of one or both incoming particles that remain intact after a collision detected by special forward detectors - Roman Pots
- Produced central system of particles $X$ separated by large rapidity gaps (LRG) from the forward protons

Michaela Sverakova
Goals of the analysis

J/ψ photoproduction in p+p collisions at √s = 510 GeV

Data from 2017 collected at the STAR experiment

A) Cross-section of J/ψ photoproduction as a function of transferred momentum |−t|

B) Possibility to have a precise measurement of the p_T of the virtual photon thanks to the measurement of forward proton in Roman Pot detectors: 

\[-p_{2,T} = (p_{J/\psi} + p_1)_T\]

This analysis utilizes the unique ability of the STAR experiment, which is the detection of forward-going protons using Roman Pot detectors

- Proton \(p_1\) from Pomeron vertex (high \(p_T\)) detected in Roman Pot detectors
- Proton \(p_2\) from photon vertex (low \(p_T\)) scatters at a small angle, not measured in Roman Pots
- The electron and positron tracks (\(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-\)) are detected in the Time Projection Chamber and Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter
Results

**UNCORRECTED INvariant MASS AND RAW YIELD**

- Unlike-sign (Like-sign subtracted)
- Peak fit (Gauss + polynomial 2nd degree)
- Background estimation (Polynomial 2nd degree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p + p → p + J/ψ + p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vs = 510 GeV, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m = 3.085 ± 0.006 GeV/c²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ = 0.059 ± 0.005 GeV/c²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw yield = 137 ± 10 J/ψ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Prominent peak visible in the uncorrected invariant mass distribution
- Raw yield of J/ψ → e⁺e⁻ in p+p collisions with RP proton tagging extracted for the first time

**MISSING pₜ**

- Momentum conserved in a collision (p₁ + p₂ + p_{J/ψ})ₜ = 0
- J/ψ and proton measured
- pₜ of virtual photon is the missing pₜ: -p_{2,T} = (p₁+p_{J/ψ})ₜ

\[ p + p \rightarrow p + J/ψ + p \]
| vs = 510 GeV, 2017 |
| – Unlike-sign (Like-sign subtracted) |

Michaela Sverakova

A: Peak at zero consistent with the exclusive process
B: Broad structure from 0.3 GeV/c is consistent with non-exclusive processes
Event-activity dependence of the beauty production in the enhanced color reconnection model at LHC energies
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Motivation

- **Heavy-flavor** production can be described with the factorization approach, in which the incoming hadron PDFs, the parton-parton scattering cross-section and the fragmentation function are independent:

\[
d\sigma_{ab\rightarrow c}^{\text{hard}} = \sum_{a,b} f_{a/A}(x_a, Q^2) \otimes f_{b/B}(x_b, Q^2) \otimes d\sigma_{ab\rightarrow c}^{\text{hard}}(x_a, x_b, Q^2) \otimes D_{c\rightarrow c}(z, Q^2)
\]

- Traditional assumption: fragmentation are *universal* for different collision systems
  - FF often determined from e⁻e⁺ (or e⁻p) collisions, where PDF plays no (or less important) role
- Recent experimental results (ALICE, CMS, LHCb) on charmed baryon production do not support this assumption!
Experimental results: significant enhancement in the $\Lambda_c/D^0$ ratio in the low $p_T$ range compared to predictions from $e^+e^-$: no universality!

Multiplicity dependence: connected to the event activity. Needs to be better understood!

Figure 1: String formation beyond leading color (CR-BLC) (arXiv:1505.01681 [hep-ph]) can describe the $\Lambda_c/D^0$ enhancement in simulations.

The $\Lambda_c/D^0$ ratio in the CR-BLC model depends on the event-activity, and the enhancement is connected to the underlying event activity, and does not depend significantly on the processes inside the jet region. What is the prediction for the $\Lambda_b/B^+$ ratio?

Figure 2: The $\Lambda_c/B^+$ ratio increases with the number of MPI.

Figure 3: Using event classifiers we showed that the beauty enhancement is connected to the underlying event activity ($R_T$), and not to the jet region activity ($R_{NC}$)!
Many different event-activity classifiers can be utilized!

- $N_{CH}$ - multiplicity at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 1$): number of final state charged particles, describing the activity of the whole event.
- $N_{fw}$ - forward multiplicity at forward rapidity ($2 < \eta < 5$),
- $R_T = N_{CH}^{\text{transverse}} / <N_{CH}^{\text{transverse}}>$: underlying event activity, region excluding jets from the leading process. $(\pi/3 < |\Delta \phi| < 2\pi/3)$
- $R_{NC} = N_{CH}^{\text{near-side cone}} / <N_{CH}^{\text{near-side cone}}>$: activity connected to the jet region, containing the leading process. $\sqrt{(\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2)} < 0.5$
- $S_0$: spherocity, measures how spherical or jet-like the event is.
- Flatenicity ($\rho$): the relative standard deviation of the $p_T^{\text{cell}}$ distribution (event-by-event):
  $$\rho = \sigma_{p_T^{\text{cell}}} / <p_T^{\text{cell}}>$$

On the poster: many interesting results on the other event classifiers!

Thank you for your attention!
Heavy-flavor electron production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 54.4$ GeV at STAR
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Motivation

- Dominantly produced in initial hard scatterings
- Heavy quarks: $m_q >> \Lambda_{QCD}, \ m_q >> T_{QGP}$
- Production cross-sections can be calculated in perturbative QCD
- Participate in the whole medium evolution

Heavy quarks

Heavy-flavor electrons (HFE) - Electrons from semi-leptonic decays of open heavy-flavor hadrons

HFE suppression in the QGP in Au+Au @ 200 GeV within $3.5 < p_T < 8$ GeV/c

Significant energy loss of heavy quark (HQ) in QGP

Explore HQ energy loss at lower collision energy (54.4 GeV)
General idea of the analysis

\[ N_{HFE} = \frac{N_{INCL} \cdot \text{purity} - N_{PE}/\varepsilon_{PE}}{\varepsilon_{tot} - N_{HDE}} \]

- **\( N_{INCL} \)** - inclusive electron yield
- **purity** - purity of inclusive electrons
- **\( N_{PE} \)** - photonic electron yield
- **\( \varepsilon_{PE} \)** - photonic electron identification efficiency
- **\( \varepsilon_{tot} \)** - total efficiency of electron identification and reconstruction

Ongoing + correction for HDE is planned.

**Photonic electron (PE) sources:**
1. Dalitz decays (\( \pi^0/\eta \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- \))
2. Gamma conversion (\( \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-, \pi^0/\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \))
Results

Inclusive electron yield

Uncorrected raw data

- Centrality:
  - 0 - 20%
  - 20 - 40%
  - 40 - 60%

Photonic electron yield

Uncorrected raw data

- Centrality:
  - 0 - 20%
  - 20 - 40%
  - 40 - 60%

Analysis ongoing in STAR

- 1/β cut
- nσ_e cut
- TOF matching

Simulation

- BEMC matching
- E/p cut
- TPC tracking
Flash talk

Current status and future prospects of measuring hadronic interactions in pp collisions at 13.6 TeV with ALICE
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Accessing hadronic interactions with femtoscopy

\[ C(k^*) = N \frac{N_{SE}(k^*)}{N_{ME}(k^*)} = \int S(r^*) |\Psi(k^*, r^*)|^2 d^3r^* \]

Workflow for fixing the source:
- Measure correlation function \( C(k^*) \)
- Fix interaction \( \Psi(k^*) \)
- Study source \( S(r^*) \)
Accessing hadronic interactions with femtoscopy

\[ C(k^*) = N \frac{N_{SE}(k^*)}{N_{ME}(k^*)} = \int S(r^*)|\Psi(k^*, r^*)|^2 \, d^3r^* \]

**Workflow for accessing interaction:**
- Measure correlation function \( C(k^*) \)
- Fix source \( S(r^*) \)
- Study interaction \( \Psi(k^*) \)

\( \Rightarrow \) Accessing exotic interactions, e.g.: \( p-\Omega \) and \( \Lambda-\Xi \) (multi-strange) \( p-D^+ \) (charmed)

georgios.mantzaridis@tum.de
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Common baryonic source in pp collisions

How to constrain the source size:
• Measure correlation function $C(k^*)$
• Fix interactions $\Psi(k^*) \rightarrow p-p$ & $p-\Lambda$
• Take short-lived resonances into account
• Extract source as a function of $m_T$

$S(\vec{r}^*)$

$\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*)$

ALICE pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
High-mult. ($0-0.17\%$ INEL$>0$)
Gaussian Source

$\langle m_T \rangle$ (GeV/c$^2$)

PLB 811 (2020), 135849
Common baryonic source in pp collisions

How to constrain the source size:

• Measure correlation function $C(k^*)$
• Fix interactions $\Psi(k^*) \rightarrow p-p$ & $p-\Lambda$
• Take short-lived resonances into account
• Extract source as a function of $m_T$

PLB 811 (2020), 135849
Current status: Starting femtoscopy in Run 3

- First multiplicity and $m_T$ differential measurement of $p-p$ correlations
- First baseline measurement for constraining the source for all future femtoscopy studies in Run 3 with ALICE
  ➔ statistically limited channels and three body correlations accessible with Run 3 data
- Next steps: Extend source measurement to $p-\Lambda$ and core source

600 billion MB events collected in 2022 alone

Observation:
Source radius increases with increasing multiplicity and decreases for increasing $m_T$
Calculation of Coulomb interacting Bose-Einstein correlations in Fourier space
Bose-Einstein correlation function

- **Source function:** \( S(x, p) \)
- **Single- and two-particle momentum distributions:**
  \[ N_1(p), N_2(p_1, p_2) \]
- **Bose-Einstein corr. function:**
  \[ C_2(p_1, p_2) = \frac{N_2(p_1, p_2)}{N_1(p_1)N_1(p_2)} \]
- **Non-interacting particles:**
  \[ C_2(K, K) = 1 + \left| \frac{\hat{S}(2k, \frac{K}{2})}{\hat{S}(2k, \frac{K}{2})} \right|^2 \]
New formula for Lévy-stable sources

- Koonin-Pratt formula:
  \[ C_2(k) = \int d^3 r \, D(r) |\psi_k(r)|^2 \]

- Key assumptions: spherical symmetry and Lévy-stable distribution of the source

- Calculation was done by inserting an exponential „regularization“, \( e^{-\lambda r} \), and taking \( \lambda \to 0 \) at the end

- Result:
  \[ C_2(k) = |\mathcal{N}|^2 \left( 1 + f_s(2k) + \frac{\eta}{\pi} [\mathcal{A}_{1s} + \mathcal{A}_{2s}] \right) \]
Comparison with the original numerical method

• Previous method: the values of the correlation function were pre-calculated for various parameters, and saved in a large table

• New method: simple and more exact handling of the Coulomb final state interaction

• Natural next step: extend the methodology to non-spherical sources
Multi-dimensional investigation of the pion pair-source in heavy-ion collisions with EPOS
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Theoretical framework and methods

➢ EPOS: event generator of heavy-ion collisions

➢ Event-by-event and 3 dimensional investigation to see if the Lévy shape is the result of event-averaging or direction averaging

➢ Pion pair source function fitted with Lévy distribution

\[
D(r) = \mathcal{L} \left( r, \frac{1}{2} R_{\text{out}}, \frac{1}{2} R_{\text{side}}, \frac{1}{2} R_{\text{long}}, \alpha \right)
\]

➢ Event-by-event distributions of pion pairs

➢ Separated the measurements into centrality and \( k_T \) classes

➢ 3 dimensional pair-distribution \( \Rightarrow \) 1 dimensional projections according Bertsch-Pratt-coordinates \( \Rightarrow \) fitting 1 dimensional Lévy-functions to the projections

\[
\mathcal{L}(r, R_{\text{out}, \text{side}, \text{long}}, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dq \cos qr e^{-\frac{1}{2} q R_{\text{out}, \text{side}, \text{long}}}
\]

➢ For the 3 projection of a 3 D distribution: fitting simultaneously with same Lévy exponent but different Lévy scales
Results

➢ Lévy-exponent: $\alpha \approx 1.6 - 1.7$, not Gaussian ($\alpha \neq 2$)
➢ Lévy-scale: different values for the different projections (with the same $\alpha$-s)
➢ Lévy shape is not the result of event-averaging or direction averaging
➢ Results agree with 1D analysis of Ref. D. Kincses, M. Stefaniak and M. Csanád, Entropy 24 (2022) no.3, 308

Thank you for your attention!
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- Finite size in lattice QCD
  infinite volume limit
- Infinite size in field
  theoretical calculations
- Finite size fireball in HIC
  (expanding, fluctuating ...)
- Core of a compact star
  "infinitely" large
How to account for the finite system size?
In effective models usually via momentum space constraints

Do the different approaches give the same results?
Are these constraints enough or do we miss something?
AND THE BARYON NUMBER
VOLUME DEPENDENCE

\textbf{Introduction}

Higher chemical potential in both cases, but \textbf{•} The CEP moves to lower temperatures and \textbf{•} The vacuum contribution \( \Omega \) are included in a Yukawa-type Lagrangian. \textbf{•} The fermionic one-loop correction, \textbf{•} and the Polyakov loop potential. \textbf{\textbf{Del}d, including four meson nonets and 2 + 1 flavor constituent quarks in the fermion sector,} importantly by the treatment of vacuum size, which explain certain differences between previous results. Moreover, finite extent via the restriction of the momentum integrals with discretization or a low momentum cutoff. We investigated affect the thermodynamics and the phase diagram. These effects can be studied in effective models by considering the vacuum term is \( \mathcal{L} \) dependent (bottom) \( \Omega \) was absent.

\[ q > m \text{ gives a Fermi-surface.} \]

Towards decreasing \( L \), the \( m \) broken phase vanishes around \( L \approx 2 \text{−} 2.5 \text{ fm}, \) decreases with the decreasing system size. The chirally unphysical effect disappears. This shows how the size-dependent vacuum might be still imitated by the size modification only modified in the zero mode. For the zero mode in the vacuum the trend is reversed, below \( L \approx 5 \text{ fm}. \) Including the volume dependence extending the first-order transition, can appear in the phase diagram.

The \textbf{“dominant” CEPs} for APBC with infinite volume vacuum contribution for the model in \[ 4 \] (LSM \( B \)), the \( m \)-dependent model in \[ 7 \] (LSM \( C \)) and the ELSM \[ 3 \].

The volume dependence of the critical endpoint and the baryon number fluctuations.

\[ \text{Baryon Fluctuations} \]

Towards decreasing \( L \) the CEP moves to lower \( T \) and larger \( \mu \). The size dependence of the kurtosis \( \kappa_{\sigma} = \chi / \chi_{\sigma} \) was also studied. The statistical uncertainty but can depend on the system size implicitly. The baryon fluctuations can be characterized by the \( \kappa_{\sigma} \).

\[ \text{References} \]


Localization of Dirac modes in the SU(2)-Higgs model at finite temperature

György Baranka
Eötvös Loránd University
Budapest
December 07, 2023

Work done in collaboration with Matteo Giordano
• connection between deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration in QCD is still not fully understood
• low Dirac modes could be key in understanding this connection
• chiral symmetry breaking is controlled by the density of low modes (Banks-Casher relation)
• deconfinement is signalled by the ordering of Polyakov loops
• islands of fluctuations in the sea of ordered Polyakov loops are favorable for Dirac modes \( \Rightarrow \) Dirac modes localize [Bruckmann et al. (2021)]
• this mechanism is general: test it in other gauge theories with a deconfinement transition \( \Rightarrow \) SU(2)-Higgs model [G. Baranka and M. Giordano (2023)]
Localization in the SU(2)-Higgs model

- localized/delocalized modes occupy finite amount/fraction of volume
- mode size $\sim L^\alpha$ ($\alpha$: fractal dimension)
- modes are localized up to the mobility edge $\lambda_c$

\[ \beta = 2.1, \kappa = 1.0 \text{ (Higgs phase)} \]
Phase diagram and localization

Localization absent in confined phase, $\lambda_c \rightarrow 0$ at the crossover

What is a compact star?
What is a compact star?

Is it a plum...

...or an avocado?
Studying extra dimensions in compact stars

Spacetime with \(1+3+1_c\) dimensions

Constraints on extra dimensions bases on compact star observations?
What neutron stars can tell us about QCD phase transitions
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Why study neutron stars?

Lattice QCD

Deconfinement phase transition

Quark-gluon plasma

Heavy-ion experiments

CEP (?)

Nuclear liquid-gas phase transition

Color superconductor (?)

Nuclear experiments

Neutron stars

Baryon chemical potential

Hadron gas

Temperature
Why study neutron stars?

→ is there **quark matter** inside the heaviest neutron stars?

Credits: F. Weber
Bayesian inference

- Hadronic models (consistent with $\chi$pt)
- Effective constituent quark–meson model
- Constraints from perturbative QCD
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Neutron star properties: $M$, $R$, $\Lambda$
Bayesian inference

- Hadronic models (consistent with χpt)
- Effective constituent quark–meson model
- Constraints from perturbative QCD

Dense matter equation of state

Neutron star properties: M, R, Λ

Heavy pulsars: lower bound on $M_{\text{max}}$

NICER measurements: M – R regions

GW170817: tidal deformability Λ

Hypermassive NS constraint: $M_{\text{max}}$
Bayesian inference

Hadronic models (consistent with \( \chi pt \))

Effective constituent quark–meson model

Constraints from perturbative QCD

Dense matter equation of state

Neutron star properties: \( M, R, \Lambda \)

Heavy pulsars: lower bound on \( M_{\text{max}} \)

NICER measurements: \( M - R \) regions

GW170817: tidal deformability \( \Lambda \)

Hypermassive NS constraint: \( M_{\text{max}} \)
Speed of sound and conformality

Important measure: speed of sound

\[ c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\varepsilon} \]

In the conformal limit (high density):

\[ p \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon \quad \quad c_s^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \]

Empirical conformality measures:

\[ \Delta = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \quad \quad d_c = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Delta'^2} \]

Speed of sound and conformality

Thank you for your attention!