

CLIC CB, Updates, University of Oslo Prof. Erik Adli, Dec 13, 2023



FIG. 1. Overview of the most relevant components of the CLEAR Plasma Lens Experiment and the path of the beam. Insert: Plasma lens capillary during a discharge.



FIG. 3. Beam displacement as a function of lens offset in argon on peak current, for measuring the field gradient.

[1] K. Sjøbæk, E. Adli et al., "S<u>Strong focusing gradient in a linear active plasma lens</u>" **Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24, 121306 (2021)**[2] S-Y. Kim, K. Moon, M. Chung, K. Sjøbæk, E. Adli et al., "Witness electron beam injection using an active plasma lens for beam-driven plasma wakefield accelerators" **Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24, 121304 (2021)**

Development of novel active plasmas lenses for strong beam focusing and future colliders. Two paper published, first post-covid experimental run Aug. 2023.



Collaboration with CERN, Oxford and DESY

New master student, Elisabeth R. Lindstad.

 K. N. Sjobak, NFR YRT Application,
"Hyperfocus": Particle accelerator final focus systems for improving precision of
dose delivery for medical applications and irradiation test stands

Development of advanced beam focusing for proton therapy (application of CERN-technology)

We study how our expertise in advanced beam optics, for example needed for future particle colliders, can be applied to improve proton therapy.



Principle of advanced beam shaping techniques for proton therapy. From [4].

[3] **F. Reaz**, "Advanced beam shaping for spatially fractionated proton beam therapy", Master thesis, UiO, 2021

[4] F. Reaz, K. N. Sjobak, E. Malinen, N. Edin, E. Adli, "Sharp dose profiles for high precision proton therapy using focused proton beams", Nature Sci Rep 12, 18919 (2022)]

[5] K. Kokurewicz et al., "An experimental study of focused very high energy electron beams for radiotherapy", Nature Commun Phys 4, 33 (2021)

https://www.nature.com/articles/s42005-021-00536-0



Norwegian Centre for CERN-related Research

CLIC Wake Field Monitor as a detuned Cavity Beam Position Monitor: Explanation of center offset between TE and TM channels

Kyrre Ness Sjobak,^{1, 2, *} Hikmet Bursali,^{2, 3} Antonio Gillardi,^{2, 4} Reidar Lillestøl,¹ Wilfrid Farabolini,² Steffen Doebert,² Erik Adli,¹ Nuria Catalan Lasheras,² and Roberto Corsini²

¹Department of Physics, University of Oslo, 0316 Oslo, Norway ²CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland ³Department of SBAI, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy ⁴University of Naples Federico II, DIETI - IMPALab, Napoli, Italy (Dated: September 26, 2023)

The Wake Field Monitor (WFM) system installed on the CLIC prototype accelerating structure in CERN Linear Accelerator for Research (CLEAR) has two channels for each horizontal/vertical plane, operating at different frequencies. When moving the beam relative to the aperture of the structure, a disagreement is observed between the center position of the structure as measured with the two channels in each plane. This is a challenge for the planned use of WFMs in the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC), where they will be used to measure the center offset between the accelerating structures and the beam. Through a mixture of simulations and measurements, we have discovered a potential mechanism for this, which is discussed along with implications for improving position resolution near the structure center, and the possibility determination of the sign of the beam offset.

Kyrre N. Sjobak et al, arXiv:2307.06681 (2023)





(a) Horizontal scan, TM hybrids bypassed.

Finally, the results are in itself interesting in that they point out important issues with the type of beam position monitoring systems as are used here, where unlike with a typical resonant cavity BPM there is no strong mode that is being excited. This could inform both future wake field monitor designs, and other beam position monitoring systems based on diffraction of the beam field through apertures in the beam pipe.

Conclusion of a long story started by postdoc Reidar Lillestøl, in 2014 !

NorCC

Norwegian Centre for CERN-related Research

Vilde F. Rieker, CERN-UiO PhD VHEE/FLASH Real Time Dosimetry Monitoring

Benchmarking against well established and dose-rate independent dosimetry methods





Calibrate

Irradiate



Scan (one by one)



Process to find relationship between dose and darkening for given batch



... which are "passive", i.e. manual, time consuming and repetitive.



Cut and engrave

radiochromic films

Process to determine dose distribution and correlate with beam parameters

Vilde F. Rieker, CERN-UiO PhD



Figure 1: The C-Robot holding the YAG screen inside water in front of the beam. The Cherenkov light emitted as the beam passes through water is clearly visible.

Experimental Setup









Figure 4: The evolution of the 1σ beam size as a function of depth, as measured by the films and YAG screens irradiated under CONV conditions.



BEAM INSTRUMENTATION FOR REAL TIME FLASH DOSIMETRY: EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES IN THE CLEAR FACILITY*

V. F. Rieker^{1†}, A. Aksoy², A. Malyzhenkov, L.M. Wroe, R. Corsini, W. Farabolini, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland E. Adli, K.N. Sjobak, University of Oslo, Norway

J. J. Bateman³, P. Korysko³, C. S. Robertson ³, University of Oxford, United Kingdom ¹also at University of Oslo, Norway, ²also at University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey ³also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland



Figure 5: The evolution of the 1σ beam size as a function of depth, as measured by the films and YAG screens irradiated under FLASH conditions.

Plasma acceleration (FRIPRO project)

Comparison of proposed positron schemes (+electron schemes and RF)

e⁻ nonlinear 10³ Ion-motion limit for sim. (1.5 TeV) Positron acceleration in plasma wakefields $=\eta_{extr}\tilde{Q}/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$ flat e⁻ bunch at 1 TeV (argon Conventional technology (CLIC Gevy J. Cao,* Carl A. Lindstrøm, and Erik Adli 10² G. J: Cao et al, arXiv:2309.10495 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, 0316 Oslo, Norway $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathsf{P}}$ Dimensionless luminosity per power, Sébastien Corde Energy spread per gain, rms (%) 10^{1} LOA, ENSTA Paris, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91762 Palaiseau, France Nonlinear Donut driver #2 e⁻ nonlinear (5.2 GeV) Finite-radius 10^{0} (1 GeV) 🦰 Spencer Gessner exp. (1.1 GeV) hannel (5.5 GeV) 1 GeV SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA Asymmetric hollow Donut driver #1 Ouasi-linear channel (15 GeV) (Dated: September 20, 2023) (35 GeV) sim. (1 GeV) 10 GeV 10^{-1} Quasi-linear exp. (21 GeV) Thin, warm, hollow 100 GeV channel (1 5 GeV) A hybrid, asymmetric, linear Higgs factory based on Non-relativistic electron-motion imit for round e + bunch at 1 TeV 10 plasma-wakefield and radio-frequency acceleration Laser-augmented blowout (10 GeV) B Foster^{1,2,*}, R D'Arcy^{1,2} and C A Lindstrøm³ 10^{-1} 100 Normalized accelerating field, E_z/E_0 John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science at University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom ² Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany B. Foster, R. D'Arcy and C. A. Lindstrøm, New J. Phys. 25, 093037 (2023) ³ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway * Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed. Facility length: ~3.3 km Turn-around loops E-mail: brian.foster@physics.ox.ac.uk Damping rings Positron (31 GeV e⁺/drivers) (3 GeV) Driver source. source Keywords: plasma-wakefield, Higgs, factory, hybrid **RF** linac RF linac (5 GeV) Electron Interaction point (5-31 GeV e⁺/drivers) (250 GeV c.o.m.) source Postdoc, Carl Lindstrøm Postdoc, Ben Chen **RF** linac PhD Ole Gunnar Finnerud Beam-delivery system Plasma-accelerator linac (5 GeV e⁻) Positron transfer line Beam-delivery system (500 GeV e-) Master Daniel Kalvik (16 stages, ~32 GeV per stage) (31 GeV e⁺) with turn-around loop 2024+: Additional *ERC-project*, Carl Lindstrøm (31 GeV e⁺) Scale: 500 m