Feasibility studies of  $\Lambda$  transverse polarization in inelastic proton–proton interactions at beam momentum 158 GeV/c at NA61/SHINE at the CERN SPS

Yehor Bondar

NA61/SHINE Collaboration, CERN

05.09.2024





Yehor Bondar

# $\Lambda$ hyperon particle

Discovered in 1950  $\Lambda = uds$   $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ Mass: m = 1.116 GeV/cLifetime:  $\tau = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ s}$ ,  $c\tau = 7.89 \text{ cm}$ . Main decay mode:  $p\pi^-$  (BR = 63.9%)

In the weak decay  $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ , the proton tends to be emitted along  $\Lambda$  polarization vector. If  $\theta^*$  is the angle between daughter proton momentum and  $\Lambda$  polarization vector in hyperon rest frame, then:

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha P \cos \theta^*).$$







# $\Lambda$ polarization



Pics: The STAR Collaboration, Yu. Naryshkin.

### Motivation

None of the theoretical models (Lund  $model^{[1,2]}$ , DeGrand-Miettinen  $model^{[3,4]}$ , etc.) explains all experimental data, including other hyperons/antihyperons.

The comprehensive data collected by the NA61/SHINE experiment during a two-dimensional scan in beam momentum and system size (p+p, p+Pb, Be+Be, Ar+Sc, Xe+La, Pb+Pb) give a potential for systematic studies of dependence of the  $\Lambda$  polarization on these parameters.

[1]B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, Phys. Lett. B 85 (1979) 417-420
[2]B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, T. Sjöstrand, Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31-145
[3]T. A. DeGrand, H. I. Miettinen, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 2419-2427
[4]T. A. DeGrand, H. I. Miettinen, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 1227-1230

## NA61/SHINE Experimental setup in 2009-2011







### Transverse polarization definition and calculation

#### Transverse polarization definition:

i. Rotate from Lab frame to production plane coordinate system:

$$\hat{n}_x = \frac{\vec{p}_{\rm beam} \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}}{|\vec{p}_{\rm beam} \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}|}, \ \hat{n}_z = \frac{\vec{p}_{\Lambda}}{|\vec{p}_{\Lambda}|}, \ \hat{n}_y = \hat{n}_z \times \hat{n}_x$$

ii. Boost along  $\hat{n}_z$  to  $\Lambda$  rest frame. iii. Calculate cosine of angles between proton momentum  $\vec{p_p}$  and axes:  $\cos \theta_i = p_{p\,i}/|\vec{p_p}|, i = x, y, z$ iv. Fit distribution of the  $\cos \theta_i$  to the theoretical prediction and extract  $P_i$  – projection of polarization.

$$f(\cos \theta_i) = \frac{1 + \alpha P_i \cos \theta_i}{2},$$

where  $\alpha = 0.732 \pm 0.014$  [PDG].



According to parity conservation in the strong interaction,  $P_y \equiv P_z \equiv 0$  if the incident proton beam is unpolarized. Thus, the measurements of  $P_y$  and  $P_z$  are usually used to check the systematic uncertainties.

# $x_F - p_T$ distribution



 $\Lambda$  candidates in EPOS MC after data selection cuts



The  $\Lambda$  transverse polarization measured by ATLAS and others. For  $p_{\text{beam}} = 158 \text{ GeV/c}, \sqrt{s} = 17 \text{GeV}.$ 

**Expected signal:**  $P \approx 0.1$  at  $x_F \approx 0.25$ ; zero for  $p_T \approx 0$  and saturates at  $p_T \approx 1$  GeV/c.

Feynman variable  $x_F$  defined as  $x_F = (p_z)^{\text{CMS}} / (p_{\text{beam}})^{\text{CMS}}$ . Fig. 2: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.032004

Yehor Bondar

### Magnetic field impact on $\Lambda$ polarization

- $\Lambda$  hyperon has a magnetic moment  $\mu_{\Lambda} = -0.613 \mu_N$
- Its interaction (*precession*) with the magnetic field inside TPCs ( $B \approx 1.5$ T) may bias the measurements
- We showed that magnetic field impact on  $\Lambda$  polarization due to precession is smaller than detector acceptance-based polarization bias

Using Bargmann–Michel–Telegdi (BMT) equation

$$\frac{dS^{\alpha}}{d\tau} = \frac{ge}{2m} \left[ F^{\alpha\beta} S_{\beta} + u^{\alpha} \left( S_{\lambda} F^{\lambda\mu} u_{\mu} \right) \right] - u^{\alpha} \left( S_{\lambda} \frac{du^{\lambda}}{d\tau} \right)$$

Or, simplified and in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame:

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} = \mu_{\Lambda}\mu_{N} \left[\vec{S} \times \vec{B'}\right]$$

## $\Lambda$ precession in magnetic field

The equation of motion of the spin vector  $\vec{S}$  in  $\Lambda$  rest frame is

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{d\tau} = \frac{\mu_{\Lambda}\mu_{N}}{\hbar} \left[\vec{S} \times \vec{B}\right]$$

Considering  $dz = \frac{p_z}{mc} c d\tau$ , **integrate eq.** using NA61/SHINE magnetic field  $\vec{B}$ <sup>[7]</sup>. Initial condition: generate random spin vectors  $\vec{S}$  uniformly distributed on unit sphere. Among these vectors, choose one with maximum angle change,  $\phi_{\text{max}} = \max(\angle(\vec{S}_{\text{init}}, \vec{S}_{\text{final}})).$ 



To estimate magnetic field impact on  $\Lambda$  polarization bias, for every  $\Lambda,$ 

- Assign polarization vector  $\vec{S}$  uniformly distributed value,
- Propagate it in magnetic field until decay,
- Project  $\vec{S}$  on  $\hat{n}_x$ ,  $\hat{n}_y$ ,  $\hat{n}_z$  and fit their distributions.

# Magnetic field impact: $\Lambda$ polarization bias



Polarization vector  $P_i$  distribution for different  $(x_F, p_T)$  binning.



## Analysis procedure

- Build  $m_{\rm inv}$  distributions based on selected  $p\pi^-$  pairs, fit them, and extract number of  $\Lambda$  in particular bin.
- Determination of  $\cos \theta_{x,y}$  distributions.
- Evaluation of corrections to the distributions based on experimental data and simulations.
- Calculation of the corrected  $\cos \theta_{x,y}$  distributions.
- Calculation of statistical and systematic uncertainties.

## Reconstructed MC and data selection cuts

Event (collision) selection cuts

- Main vertex exists
- Vertex fit is perfect
- Main vertex fitted within the 20 cm  $H_2$ target  $\pm 10$  cm -VtxZ  $\in$ (-600; -560) cm.
- Event is inelastic (S4 scintillator cut)

#### Tracks selection cuts

- One track is negatively charged, second positive
- Min 10 clusters in at least one of VTPC1 and VTPC2 for both tracks
- Energy loss cut: dE/dx within  $3\sigma$  around Bethe-Bloch
- MC analogy: reconstructed proton and pion matches to sim tracks

#### $\Lambda$ candidate selection cuts

- To reduce background, z difference between  $\Lambda$  vertex and primary vertex  $\Delta z = z_{\Lambda} - z_{PV} > 10$  cm
- $V^0$  momentum 'points' at the target: impact parameter at z fulfil  $(0.5 \cdot b_x)^2 + (b_y)^2 < 1 \text{ cm}^2$
- $|\cos \theta_z| < 0.9$

### $m_{\rm inv}$ distributions fitting procedure



 $x_{F} \in (-0.05,0), p_{T} \in (0.2,0.4) \text{ GeV/c}, \cos \theta_{x} \in (0.0,0.1)$ 

Red line - asym. Breit-Wigner, blue - asym. q-Gaussian, dashed lines - background fit

Signal as asymmetric q-Gaussian (Breit-Wigner if q = 2):

$$S(m) = N \left[ 1 + (q-1) \frac{(m-m_{\Lambda})^2}{0.25\Gamma^2} \right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}$$

Background part is fitted with 2nd order polynomial.

# MC correction on MC data (closure test)

Divide into bins  $(x_F, p_T, \cos \theta_j)$ ,  $\cos \theta_j$ : 20 bins in [-1, 1]. **Unfolding methods**: **2.** Response matrix inversion. **3.** Bayesian Unfolding: (init guess is uniform, then update using Bayes' theorem).

Used MC models are EPOS and FTFP (we expect  $P_x \equiv P_y \equiv 0$ ).



 $\Lambda$  candidates in EPOS MC after data selection cuts

# Response Matrix: FTFP

## Sim-Rec migration $x_{F} \in (-0.05,0)$ , $p_{T} \in (0.2,0.4)$ GeV/c



### EPOS data unfolded by inversion using FTFP model



### Summary

- NA61/SHINE has a large potential to study  $\Lambda$  transverse polarization in p–p and p–A collisions.
- Magnetic field impact on  $\Lambda$  polarization due to precession is zero within stat. uncertainty.
- EPOS-FTFP correction: introduced bias up to several % that may be treated as systematic uncertainty.
- Data (31 mln of inelastic p-p events) is currently under analysis.

#### Thank you!

This work supported by the Polish National Science Centre Grant No. 2023/49/N/ST2/02299.