







# Studies of the mass composition of cosmic rays and proton-proton interaction cross-sections at ultra-high energies with the **Pierre Auger Observatory**

K. Almeida Cheminant on behalf of the Pierre Auger Collaboration

New Trends in High-Energy and Low-X Physics 2024

Sfântu Gheorghe, Romania







#### ★ Water-Cherenkov Surface Detectors

**(SD)**: signal of secondary particles at the ground.





NTHELP 2024



2

#### ★ Water-Cherenkov Surface Detectors

(SD): signal of secondary particles at the ground.

★ Fluorescence Detectors (FD): longitudinal development of EAS.







2

#### ★ Water-Cherenkov Surface Detectors

(SD): signal of secondary particles at the ground.

★ Fluorescence Detectors (FD): longitudinal development of EAS.

★ Underground Muon Detectors: muon signal.





2

#### ★ Water-Cherenkov Surface Detectors

(SD): signal of secondary particles at the ground.

★ Fluorescence Detectors (FD): longitudinal development of EAS.

- ★ Underground Muon Detectors: muon signal.
- ★ And many others: radio antenna, LIDARs, etc...





Primary of energy  $E_0$  and mass A

> Electromagnetic component formed by the decay of  $\pi^0$ .

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024



#### Primary of energy **E**<sub>0</sub> and mass **A**

PIERRE AUGEF

- > Electromagnetic component formed by the decay of  $\pi^0$ .
- Detection of isotropic fluorescence light.





Primary of energy **E**<sub>0</sub> and mass **A** 

AUGEF

- > Electromagnetic component formed by the decay of  $\pi^{0}$ .
- Detection of isotropic fluorescence light.
- <u>Nearly-calorimetric</u> measurement of energy.



#### Primary of energy **E**<sub>0</sub> and mass **A**

- > Electromagnetic component formed by the decay of  $\pi^0$ .
- Detection of isotropic fluorescence light.
- <u>Nearly-calorimetric</u> measurement of energy.
- Measurement of the depth of maximum development X<sub>max</sub>.

(dependent of the **inelastic cross-section** → deeper shower for lower primary mass).



UGEI





- Composition getting lighter up to a few EeV.
- > Above a few EeV, towards mixed and heavier composition.
- > Trends supported by  $X_{max}$  fluctuations measurements.



NTHELP 2024



PIERRE AUGER





Large fluctuations prevent primary identification on an event-by-event basis.

NTHELP 2024







 Large fluctuations prevent primary identification on an event-by-event basis. Fit the X<sub>max</sub> distribution for different energy bins by considering MC simulations of different primaries.

NTHELP 2024

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Nik hef

# **X<sub>max</sub>** measurements & **F**ractions

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Air showers simulated with
  CONEX (1D) and 2 different
  high-energy hadronic models.
- > Mix of primaries: H, He, N and Fe.
- From 10<sup>17.8</sup> eV to above 10<sup>19.6</sup> eV.
- > **10**<sup>4</sup> showers / primary / energy bin.
- Systematics driven by the X<sub>max</sub> scale uncertainties.

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

![](_page_12_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **X<sub>max</sub>** measurements & **F**ractions

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Air showers simulated with
  CONEX (1D) and 2 different
  high-energy hadronic models.
- > Mix of primaries: H, He, N and Fe.
- From 10<sup>17.8</sup> eV to above 10<sup>19.6</sup> eV.
- > **10**<sup>4</sup> showers / primary / energy bin.
- Systematics driven by the X<sub>max</sub> scale uncertainties.

Mix of H, He and N, with He and N dominating at the highest energies.

Fraction of **Fe** consistent with **zero**.

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

Radboud Universiteit 🧳

Niklhef

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

7

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

 Depth of first interaction X<sub>1</sub> related to proton-air cross-section.
 not directly accessible!

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

Radboud Universiteit 💮 Nik hef

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Depth of first interaction X<sub>1</sub> related to proton-air cross-section.
   not directly accessible!
- 1. Find an **air-shower observable** that is sensitive to the proton-air cross-section.

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

7

Niklhef

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Depth of first interaction X<sub>1</sub> related to proton-air cross-section.
   not directly accessible!
- 1. Find an **air-shower observable** that is sensitive to the proton-air cross-section.
- 2. **Convert this observable to a proton-air cross-section** measurement using MC simulations.

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

Niklhef

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Depth of first interaction X<sub>1</sub> related to proton-air cross-section.
   not directly accessible!
- 1. Find an **air-shower observable** that is sensitive to the proton-air cross-section.
- 2. **Convert this observable to a proton-air cross-section** measurement using MC simulations.
- Use Glauber formalism to infer on the proton-proton cross-section.

Nik

1. Observable: X<sub>max</sub> distribution tail

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fitting the X<sub>max</sub> interval containing the 20% of the deepest showers (proton-dominated region)

 $\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}X_{\mathrm{max}} \propto \exp(-X_{\mathrm{max}}/\Lambda_{\eta})$ 

→ measurement of  $\Lambda_{\eta}$ , sensitive to the proton-air cross-section.

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

PIERRE

1. Observable: X<sub>max</sub> distribution tail

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fitting the X<sub>max</sub> interval containing the 20% of the deepest showers (proton-dominated region)

AUGEF

 $\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}X_{\mathrm{max}} \propto \exp(-X_{\mathrm{max}}/\Lambda_{\eta})$ 

 $\rightarrow$  measurement of  $\Lambda_{\eta}$  , sensitive to the proton-air cross-section.

 Systematics uncertainties driven by 25% helium contamination.

Niklhef

2. Proton-air cross-section

- PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY
- UHECR measurements at energies greater than what is achievable at the LHC.
  LHC-tuned hadronic models relying on extrapolations to extend predictions to the UHE domain.

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

Niklhef

2. Proton-air cross-section

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

- UHECR measurements at energies greater than what is achievable at the LHC.
  LHC-tuned hadronic models relying on extrapolations to extend predictions to the UHE domain.
- > The model-dependent mapping from  $\Lambda_{\eta}$  to  $\sigma_{p-air}$  has an energy-dependent rescaling factor to account for the extrapolations uncertainties:

$$f(E) = 1 + H(E - E_0)(f_{19} - 1) \frac{\lg(E/E_0)}{\lg(10^{19}/E_0)}$$

(based on PRD 83:054026, 2011)

- ★  $E_0$  the energy up to which hadronic models are tuned to LHC data (~ 10<sup>17</sup> eV) → no rescaling below this energy.
- $f_{19}$  rescaling at 10<sup>19</sup> eV (model-dependent) that best reproduces  $\Lambda_n$ .

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

2. Proton-air cross-section

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

- UHECR measurements at energies greater than what is achievable at the LHC.
  LHC-tuned hadronic models relying on extrapolations to extend predictions to the UHE domain.
- > The model-dependent mapping from  $\Lambda_{\eta}$  to  $\sigma_{p-air}$  has an energy-dependent rescaling factor to account for the extrapolations uncertainties:

$$f(E) = 1 + H(E - E_0)(f_{19} - 1) \frac{\lg(E/E_0)}{\lg(10^{19}/E_0)}$$

(based on PRD 83:054026, 2011)

- ★  $E_0$  the energy up to which hadronic models are tuned to LHC data (~ 10<sup>17</sup> eV) → no rescaling below this energy.
- $f_{19}$  rescaling at 10<sup>19</sup> eV (model-dependent) that best reproduces  $\Lambda_n$ .
- > The hadronic cross-sections are rescaled by f(E) in the MC simulations.

![](_page_22_Picture_13.jpeg)

Nik

2. Proton-air cross-section

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

> Systematics driven by helium contamination in the tail, hadronic models uncertainties and  $\Lambda_n$  systematics.

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

2. Proton-air cross-section

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

PIERRE AUGER

- 3. Proton-proton cross-section
- Study recently **updated by Olena Tkachenko** to include up-to-date hadronic  $\succ$ models and additional energy bins.

PIERRE AUGER

Radboud Universiteit

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY

Nik hef

Radboud Universiteit

12

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

We follow the following scheme:

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

- PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY
- > We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

13

PIERRE

PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY

13

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

Radboud Universiteit 💮 Nik hef

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{mod}}^{\mathrm{pp}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{orig}}^{\mathrm{pp}} f(E)$$

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

PIERRE AUGER

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

$$\sigma_{\rm mod}^{\rm pp} = \sigma_{\rm orig}^{\rm pp} f(E)$$

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

PIERRE AUGER

> We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{pp}$  on the Auger mass composition.

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

We investigate the impact of a **modified**  $\sigma_{_{\rm DD}}$  on the Auger mass composition.  $\succ$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\sigma_{\rm mod}^{\rm pp} = \sigma_{\rm orig}^{\rm pp} f(E)$ 

K. Almeida Cheminant

NTHELP 2024

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

K. Almeida Cheminant

# nt 💮 Niklhef 17

# Summary & Outlook

- Mass composition fit results consistent with other analysis:
  - $\star$  Light component at low energies.
  - ★ Intermediate mass nuclei at higher energies.
- p-p cross-section measurements consistent with model extrapolations.

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

Radboud Universiteit

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### K. Almeida Cheminant

#### Summary & Outlook

- Mass composition fit results consistent with other analysis:
  - $\star$  Light component at low energies.
  - ★ Intermediate mass nuclei at higher energies.
- p-p cross-section measurements consistent with model extrapolations.

#### Perspectives

- > Upgrade of the Pierre Auger Observatory → a better mass discrimination is expected (see next talk by Jan Ebr).
- ➢ p-O collisions.
- Forward direction measurements (FASER, LHCf, FPF, and others).

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)