The discovery of the odderon by the D0 and TOTEM collaborations

Christophe Royon
On behalf of D0 and TOTEM Collaborations
University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
New Trends 2024, Sfantu Gheorghe, Romania



September 1-5 2024

- Introduction to the Odderon
- D0 and TOTEM data
- Extrapolation of TOTEM data to Tevatron energies
- Comparison between D0 data and TOTEM extrapolated data

What do we want to study?

Elastic Scattering (ES), $\approx 30\,\text{mb}$



- We want to study elastic interactions: $pp \to pp$ or $p\bar{p} \to p\bar{p}$
- These are very clean events, where nothing is produced outside the two protons
- How to detect/measure these events? We need to detect the intact protons after interaction!
- Interactions explained by the exchange of a colorless object ($\geq$ 2 gluons, photon, etc...) between the two protons

How to explain the fact that protons can be intact?





- Quarks/gluons radiate lots of gluons when one tries to separate them (confinement)
- Gluons exchange color, interact with other gluons in the proton and in that case protons are destroyed in the final state
- In order to explain how protons can remain intact: we need colorless exchanges, or at least 2 gluons to be exchanged

The odderon in a nutshell



- Let us assume that elastic scattering can be due to exchange of colorless objects: Pomeron and Odderon
- Charge parity C: Charge conjugation changes the sign of all quantum charges
- Pomeron and Odderon correspond to positive and negative C parity: Pomeron is made of two gluons which leads to a $+1$ parity whereas the odderon is made of 3 gluons corresponding to a -1 parity
- Scattering amplitudes can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{pp} &= Even + Odd \\
A_{p\bar{p}} &= Even - Odd
\end{aligned}
$$

- From the equations above, it is clear that observing a difference between pp and $p\bar{p}$ interactions would be a clear way to observe the odderon

Measurement of elastic scattering at Tevatron and LHC



- Study of elastic $pp \to pp$ reaction: exchange of momentum between the two protons which remain intact
- Measure intact protons scattered close to the beam using Roman Pots installed both by D0 and TOTEM collaborations
- From counting the number of events as a function of $|t|$ (4-momentum transferred square at the proton vertex measured by tracking the protons), we get $d\sigma/dt$

D0 elastic $p\bar{p}$ $d\sigma/dt$ cross section measurements





- D0 collected elastic $p\bar{p}$ data with intact p and $\bar{p}$ detected in the Forward Proton Detector with 31 nb^{-1} Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 012009
- Measurement of elastic $p\bar{p}$ $d\sigma/dt$ at 1.96 TeV for $0.26 < |t| < 1.2$ GeV^2

TOTEM cross section measurements



TOTEM elastic pp $d\sigma/dt$ cross section measurements

- Elastic pp $d\sigma/dt$ measurements: tag both intact protons in TOTEM Roman Pots 2.76, 7, 8 and 13 TeV
- Very precise measurements at 2.76, 7, 8 and 13 TeV: Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) no.2, 91; EPL 95 (2011) no. 41004; Nucl. Phys. B 899 (2015) 527; Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) no.10, 861









Strategy to compare pp and $p\bar{p}$ data sets



- In order to identify differences between pp and $p\bar{p}$ elastic $d\sigma/dt$ data, we need to compare TOTEM measurements at 2.76, 7, 8, 13 TeV and D0 measurements at 1.96 TeV
- All TOTEM $d\sigma/dt$ measurements show the same features, namely the presence of a dip and a bump in data, whereas D0 data do not show this feature

Reference points of elastic $d\sigma/dt$



- Define 8 characteristic points of elastic *pp* $d\sigma/dt$ cross sections (dip, bump...) that are feature of elastic *pp* interactions

- Determine how the values of $|t|$ and $d\sigma/dt$ of characteristic points vary as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in order to predict their values at 1.96 TeV
- We use data points closest to those characteristic points (avoiding model-dependent fits)
- Data bins are merged in case there are two adjacent dip or bump points of about equal value
- This gives a distribution of t and $d\sigma/dt$ values as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ for all characteristic points

Bump over dip ratio



- Bump over dip ratio measured for *pp* interactions at ISR and LHC energies
- Bump over dip ratio in *pp* elastic collisions: decreasing as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ up to $\sim$ 100 GeV and flat above
- D0 $p\bar{p}$ shows a ratio of 1.00$\pm$0.21 given the fact that no bump/dip is observed in $p\bar{p}$ data within uncertainties: **more than 3σ difference between *pp* and $p\bar{p}$ elastic data** (assuming flat behavior above $\sqrt{s} = 100 GeV$)

Variation of t and $d\sigma/dt$ values for reference points

- 

$$|t| = a \log(\sqrt{s}[\text{TeV}]) + b \qquad (d\sigma/dt) = c\sqrt{s}\ [\text{TeV}] + d$$

- Alternate functional forms lead to similar results well within uncertainties

Fits of TOTEM extrapolated characteristic points at 1.96 TeV

- Last step: predict the *pp* elastic cross sections at the same t values as measured by D0 in order to make a direct comparison
- Fit the reference points extrapolated to 1.96 TeV from TOTEM measurements using a double exponential fit ($\chi^2 = 0.63$ per dof): $h(t) = a_1 e^{-b_1|t|^2 - c_1|t|} + d_1 e^{-f_1|t|^3 - g_1|t|^2 - h_1|t|}$



- Differences in normalization taken into account by adjusting TOTEM and D0 data sets to have the same cross sections at the optical point $d\sigma/dt(t=0)$
- Predict the *pp* total cross section from extrapolated fit to TOTEM data (χ^2 =0.27): *pp* σ_{tot} =82.7 $\pm$ 3.1 mb at 1.96 TeV

Relative normalization between D0 measurement and extrapolated TOTEM data: Rescaling TOTEM data

- Adjust 1.96 TeV $d\sigma/dt(t=0)$ from extrapolated TOTEM data to D0 measurement
- From TOTEM pp σ_{tot}, obtain $d\sigma/dt(t=0)$:

$$\sigma_{tot}^2 = \frac{16\pi(\hbar c)^2}{1+\rho^2}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{t=0}$$

- Assuming $\rho = 0.145$, the ratio of the imaginary and the real part of the elastic amplitude, as taken from COMPETE extrapolation
- This leads to a TOTEM $d\sigma/dt(t=0)$ at the OP of 357.1 ± 26.4 mb/GeV^2
- D0 measured the optical point of $d\sigma/dt$ at small t: 341 ± 48 mb/GeV^2
- TOTEM data rescaled by 0.954 ± 0.071
- NB: We do not claim that we performed a measurement of $d\sigma/dt$ at the OP at $t=0$ (it would require additional measurements closer to $t=0$), but we use the two extrapolations simply in order to obtain a common and somewhat arbitrary normalization point

Predictions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

- Reference points at 1.96 TeV (extrapolating TOTEM data) and 1σ uncertainty band
- Comparison with D0 data: the χ^2 test with six degrees of freedom yields the ***p*-value of 0.00061, corresponding to a significance of 3.4σ**



Combination with additional TOTEM measurement: ρ measurement



- Measure elastic scattering at very low t: Coulomb-Nuclear interference region

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \sim |A^C + A^N(1 - \alpha G(t))|^2$$

- The differential cross section is sensitive to the phase of the nuclear amplitude
- In the CNI region, both the modulus and the phase of the nuclear amplitude can be used to determine $\rho = \frac{Re(A^N(0))}{Im(A^N(0))}$ where the modulus is constrained by the measurement in the hadronic region and the phase by the t dependence

A previous measurement by TOTEM: ρ and σ_{tot} measurements as an indication for odderon



- ρ is the ratio of the real to imaginary part of the elastic amplitude at $t = 0$
- Using low $|t|$ data in the Coulomb-nuclear interference region, measurement of ρ at 13 TeV: $\rho = 0.09 \pm 0.01$ (EPJC 79 (2019) 785)
- Combination of the measured ρ and σ_{tot} values not compatible with any set of models without odderon exchange (COMPETE predictions above as an example)
- This result can be explained by the exchange of the Odderon in addition to the Pomeron

Comparison between D0 measurement and extrapolated TOTEM data

- Combination with the independent evidence of the odderon found by the TOTEM Collaboration using ρ and total cross section measurements at low t in a completely different kinematical domain
- For the models included in COMPETE, the TOTEM ρ measurement at 13 TeV provided a 3.4 to 4.6σ significance, to be combined with the D0/TOTEM result
- The combined significance ranges from **5.3 to 5.7σ depending on the model**
- Models without colorless C-odd gluonic compound are excluded including the Durham model and different sets of COMPETE models (blue, magenta and green bands on the previous slide)

Conclusion

- Detailed comparison between $p\bar{p}$ (1.96 TeV from D0) and pp (2.76, 7, 8, 13 TeV from TOTEM) elastic $d\sigma/dt$ data - FERMILAB-PUB-20-568-E; CERN-EP-2020-236
- R **ratio of bump/dip shows a difference of more than 3**σ between D0 (R=1.0±0.21), and TOTEM (assuming flat behavior above $\sqrt{s} = 100$ GeV)
- Fits of 8 "characteristic" points of elastic pp $d\sigma/dt$ data such as dip, bump, etc as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in order to predict pp data at 1.96 TeV
- pp **and** $p\bar{p}$ **cross sections differ with a significance of 3.4**σ **in a model-independent way and thus provides evidence that the Colorless** C**-odd gluonic compound i.e. the odderon is needed to explain elastic scattering at high energies**
- **When combined with the** ρ **and total cross section result at 13 TeV, the significance is in the range 5.3 to 5.7**σ **and thus constitutes the first experimental observation of the odderon**