Probing QGP formation in pp collisions with Balance Functions

Alexandru Manea*,
In collaboration with Sumit Basu, Catalina Brandibur, Andrea Danu, Alexandru Dobrin, Victor Gonzalez, Claude Pruneau

New Trends in High-Energy and Low-x Physics

Introduction



- Near side jet peak influenced by HBT and resonance decay effects

Introduction



- Near side jet peak influenced by HBT and resonance decay effects
- Collective phenomena shown to exist in small collision systems
- Initial or final state effects?

Correlation measurements can help to distinguish between the two regimes

Clocking hadronization

Difference in conditional densities

$$B(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\rho_2^{+-} - \rho_2^{--}}{\rho_1^{-}} + \frac{\rho_2^{-+} - \rho_2^{++}}{\rho_1^{+}}\right)$$



Sumit Basu et al.

Bass, Danielewicz, Pratt, PRL 85, 2689 (2000)



Measurement of correlations of charges with their respective anti-charge

Investigate late-stage hadronization and formation of quark-gluon plasma

Balance function at ALICE and STAR

arXiv:1509.07255 **[nucl-ex]**

arXiv:1301.3756 **[nucl-ex]**

- Balance function reproduced by models with hydro evolution of medium
- Do correlations survive in thermal models as in QCD string ones?







Integrals of Balance Function

- Balancing of charges almost independent of collision centrality in data mesurements of Pb-Pb collisions

- Cumulative integral of balance function

$$I^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \int_{\Delta y} B^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\Delta y')d\Delta y'$$



ALICE PLB 833 (2022), 137338



In full acceptance $I^{\alpha\beta}(4\pi) \rightarrow 1$

In finite acceptance it shows the degree to which charges are balanced -> affected by production and transport

Monte Carlo Models

We look at two state of the art models

K. Werner, arXiv: 2306.10277



C. Bierlich et al., arXiv: 2203.11601



- Macroscopic model:EPOS4
  - Core-corona model with statistical hadronization
  - Core is micro-canonical and conserves charges
- Microscopic model:PYTHIA8
  - QCD strings with LUND fragmentation
  - Implicit quantum number conservation

Difference in particle production mechanisms and system evolution results in different correlations

Generalized Balance Functions

General Balance Function definition arXiv:2209.10420 **[hep-ph]**

$$B(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\{\rho_1^{\bar{\beta}}(R_2^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - R_2^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}) + \rho_1^{\beta}(R_2^{\bar{\alpha}\beta} - R_2^{\alpha\beta})\}$$

Normalized second order cumulant

$$R_2^{\alpha\beta}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi) = \frac{\rho_2^{\alpha\beta}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\varphi)}{\rho_1^{\alpha}\rho_1^{\beta}} - 1$$

$$\rho_2^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{d^2N^{\alpha\beta}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\varphi}$$

$$\rho_1^{\alpha} = \frac{d^2N^{\alpha}}{d\eta d\varphi}$$



This method is not affected by acceptance factors and robust against efficiency corrections

Charge Balance Function



Decreasing multiplicity



- Near side peak of PYTHIA shows decay contribution

Charge Balance Function



Decreasing multiplicity



- Near side peak of PYTHIA shows decay contribution
- Very peculiar correlation in away side for EPOS

Charge Balance Function



Decreasing multiplicity



- Near side peak of PYTHIA shows decay contribution
- Very peculiar correlation in away side for EPOS

Strong dependence of multiplicity for both models

Projections of Charge BF





Scaling of near side peak is different

Widths of projections are similar but evolve differently with multiplicity

Projections of Charge BF





Scaling of near side peak is different

Widths of projections are similar but evolve differently with multiplicity





Unexpected structure in away side seen in EPOS -> depends on multiplicity

Impact of micro-canonical decay in EPOS?

Identified Particle BF



Particle balancing shows underlying production mechanisms

Identified Particle BF













- Essentially flat away side for kaons and protons in EPOS
- Proton balancing shows divergence of models for baryon balancing

$\pi\pi$ balance with multiplicity













- Anti-correlation for pions in low multiplicity collisions
- EPOS increases correlation strength in the away side



Integrals of Balance Function

- Cumulative integral of balance function

$$I^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \int_{\Delta y} B^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\Delta y')d\Delta y'$$



- Charged particles almost independent of collision multiplicity
- Different balancing trends for PYTHIA and EPOS with growing multiplicity

Summary

- Different models can be distinguished from balance function measurements

- Evidence for different decay mechanisms

- Opposite trends for integrals

- Extensive measurements of balance functions can improve models

Back-up

$v_2\{2, |\Delta\eta| > 2\}$ Monash tune

Low p_T



High p_T



- Mass ordering at low p_T at high multiplicity
- Evolution with multiplicity class
- Crossing between baryon and meson v_2
- No particle type grouping

$v_2\{2, |\Delta\eta| > 2\}$ EPOS 4

Low p_T



High p_T



- Mass ordering at low p_T at high multiplicity
- Evolution with multiplicity class
- No crossing between pion and proton v_2
- No particle type grouping

$v_2\{2, |\Delta\eta| > 2\}$ Angantyr

Low p_T



High p_T



- Heavier particles have smaller v_2 than lighter ones
- Similarities between multiplicity classes
- Crossing between pion and proton v_2
- No particle type grouping