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Dedicated meeting has been held:
- Detector requirements (M. Casarsa)
- MDI requirements (D. Calzolari)
- SC tech. for future colliders and detectors (A. Yamamoto)
- Alu. stabilised SC cables R&D at CERN (B. Cure)
- 3.6 T CLIC like detector (M. Mentink)
- Detector magnet survey (AB)

CLIC detector is considered a good starting point for the Muon Collider detector
"Traditional" aluminium stabilised NbTi based Rutherford cable is the baseline
Other possibilities should be taken into account
- different SC materials
- different cable protection
- different geometries
Aluminium stabilised cables (B. Cure slides)

- All major detector magnets are based on this technology
- Presently this is disappearing from industry
- CERN has a R&D program to resume production and disseminate in industry
- Wuxy Toly Electric Works demonstrated some capability (Chinese company)
- Collaboration has been initiated among CERN and KEK
- This is considered crucial for the future detectors generations
- Both pure aluminium and NiAl co-extrusion are of interest
- Both NbTi and other SC materials are of interest

Future proposed particle physics experiments being studied: from LDG Accelerator R&D Report, CERN 2022-001
Near future programs (A. Yamamoto slides)

BabyIAXO

- Presentation by U. Schneekloth (DESY)
- BabyIAXO detector, for studying axions emanating from the sun
- Featuring a superconducting common-coll dipole with a 2 T transverse magnetic field in two 0.7 meter warm bores of 11 meters length
- Conductor: Featuring an aluminum-stabilized Nb-Ti/Cu conductor

Alice-3

- Presentation by W. Regler (CERN)
- Planned to be installed by LS4 (which is currently foreseen to start in 2033)
- Featuring a superconducting solenoid, with 2 T in the center and additional windings at the end to augment bending power for high-precision-rapidity particles
- Also featuring a forward (superconducting) dipole
- Conductor: Proposal to use a reinforced aluminum-stabilized conductor

The PANDA detector

- Presentation by L. Schmidt (GSI)
- For fixed-target anti-matter physics at FAIR, foreseen to start operation by 2029
- With strong involvement of various Russian institutes, including the Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics
- Featuring a 2 T superconducting solenoid, with a stored magnetic energy of 22 MJ
- Conductor: Aluminum-stabilized Nb-Ti/Cu conductor technology, under development through a R&D effort by Russian institutes and industry (BRNP, VNIMM Bachvar, VNIEE SANKO)

The Electron-Ion Collider

- Presentation by R. Ragut-Ghoshal (Jefferson Lab)
- For the Electron-Ion Collider project to be hosted at BNL, with full project finalization foreseen by 2034
- Two superconducting detector solenoids, for two interaction points:
  - Solenoid 1: 2 T in solenoid with a 2.8 meter warm bore diameter and a 3.5 meter cold mass length
  - Solenoid 2: 3 T in solenoid with a 3.2 meter warm bore and a 3.6 meter cold mass length
- Conductor:
  - Solenoid 1: Initial preference for reinforced aluminum-stabilized Nb-Ti/Cu, but copper-stabilized conductor can work as well
  - Solenoid 2: A reinforced aluminum-stabilized Nb-Ti/Cu conductor is foreseen
To start, I took parameters from CLIC-based design.
I assumed a ~ 50 mm gap for muon chambers between iron layers (magnet design not so sensitive to this, at this level).
6 layers in the end-caps, 7 layers in the barrel.
Total coil length 7.8 meters, diameter 7.3 meters.
Field at centre 3.75 T.
Very similar calculations in M. Mentink slides.
Picking inspiration from CMS
CMS-like

- Current: 20 kA - equal to CMS
- No. of layers: 4 - equal to CMS
- Total winding thickness: 252 mm - equal to CMS
- Cable bare section: ~ 63 x 21 mm\(^2\) - equal to CMS
- Current density: ~ 13 MA/m\(^2\) - equal to CMS
- Stored energy: 1.93 GJ - 75% of CMS one
- Inductance: ~ 10 H - 70% of CMS one
- Field at centre: 3.5 T - CMS is 4 T
- No. of turns: ~ 1500 - CMS is > 2000

- Good: with a "known" cable, design etc. you get something very close to what you need
- Coil is larger in diameter and shorter than CMS, total cable length is similar

- Not so good: no one produces CMS cable anymore
Slightly more optimised design
4 or 6 layers

- Central field: 3.75 T
- Stored energy: 2.19 MJ
- Current density: 12.3 MA/m²
- Total coil thickness: 288 mm
- 6 layers:
  - Current: 17.7 kA
  - Cable size: 48 x 30 mm²
  - Inductance: 14 H
- 4 layers:
  - Current: 19.5 kA
  - Cable size: 72 x 22 mm²
  - Inductance: 11.5 H

No significant difference
A cable to be completely designed for both options (and a supplier must be found)

To be noticed:
Forces are non-trivially contained
No optimisation on longitudinal stress at today
Some splitting in sub-coils will be needed
This is a challenging design, overall
Some remarks on field quality

- Tracker region: $-2200 < z < 2200, 0 < r < 1500$
- $B$ at IP: 3.75 T
- $B = 3.63 \pm 0.2$ T
- Field uniformity: $\pm 5.5\%$
- (No optimisation)
- Max Br = 0.2 T
Some technicalities

- Maximum field on conductor: 4.125 T
  - NbTi stabilised in aluminium can work properly
  - CMS cable seems very promising as a starting point for the development
  - No company is producing this cable
  - No trivial alternative is available IMHO

- Forces on the coil are HUGE (super preliminary results - no sense to give numbers at this stage)
  - Hoop stress is possibly not terrible
  - Compressive forces are really large
  - Stress management via sub-coils with mechanical supports, reduction of Br and other tricks can be attempted

- No optimisation at all has been performed
  - Some interface with the detectors can possibly be defined
The energy density
(= Stored magnet energy / cold mass) = 11.6 kJ/kg (same as CMS)

At nominal current: 94 MPa maximum von Mises stress, and 0.13% tensile strain applied to conductor due to powering of the coil
Conductor alternatives (M. Mentink slides)

Aluminium-stabilised Nb-Ti conductor advantages/disadvantages:
- Nb-Ti strands are cost-effective, mechanically extremely resilient, and widely available.
- Nb-Ti gives sufficient magnetic field range for typical superconducting detector magnet applications: Comfortably up to 4 T in aluminium-stabilised conduction-cooled superconducting detector magnets
- Aluminum is lightweight, transparent, good for quench protection, stability, and mechanics
- Well-understood and extensively proven technology, has been in use for 50 years
- It requires low operating temperature (4.5 K) and commercial availability is presently unclear

(Aluminium-stabilised) MgB2 conductor technology advantages/disadvantages:
- More expensive than aluminium-stabilised Nb-Ti, requires development for use in superconducting detector magnets, less mechanically robust than
- Nb-Ti, currently only allows a limited magnetic field range (probably not suited for 4 T)
- Useful for superconducting busbars
- Allows operation at higher temperatures, and benefits from technology developments through the HL-LHC Superconducting Link project

Aluminium-stabilised High Temperature Superconducting (ReBCO / Bi-2223) conductor advantages/disadvantages:
- More expensive than aluminium-stabilised Nb-Ti, not yet available in long lengths, not yet fully understood, less mechanically robust than Nb-Ti
- High-purity aluminium-stabilisation is not needed, although aluminum is still required to carry the current during a quench
- Useful for superconducting busbars
- Enables operation at much higher temperatures and magnetic fields
Space for optimisation

- Sub-coils splitting
- Iron yoke shape
- Coils ends
- End-caps opening
- End-caps shaping
Another magnet (DUNE ND-GAr SPY@DND)

- Way lower field, similar size...
  - Asymmetric iron (2) (axis is vertical)
  - 0.5 T central field
  - 6 sub coils (1)
  - Shaped, closed end caps (3)
- BUT

Indeed, it was easier :-)

CERN, Mar. 2024
Integration with tungsten cones

- Tungsten cones protect the detectors from beams haloes
- These are large and heavy
  - Preliminary chat with JLAB people with experience shows that this could be non trivial
  - Any possible alternative (steel boxes filled with lead, as an example) should be investigated
- Companies work alloys up to 97.5% tungsten
  - Different compositions have different mechanical properties and machinability
  - Density is always very large
  - It's not fragile
- Picture: JLAB Hall B Forward Tagger, with a tungsten Moeller cone ~ 1 m long
Conclusions and outlook

- A magnet capable of 3.75 T, cold bore dia. ~ 7 m, length ~ 8 m should be technically feasible
- Using the same cable and current as for CMS one gets a field slightly lower than the goal
- Possible small modifications can make the desired field reachable
- Due to the magnet form factor (length is very similar to diameter), the field uniformity is very limited
- Forces on the coil are completely to be studied
- There is plenty of space for optimisation
- According to detectors requirements some further study can be started (manpower?)