Lévy a-stable generalization of the ReBB model of elastic pp and pp scattering



Tamás Csörgő^{1,2}, Sándor Hegyi², István Szanyi^{1,2,3}

¹HUN-REN Wigner RCP, Budapest, Hungary

²MATE Institute of Technology, KRC, Gyöngyös, Hungary ³ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

ELTE EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY

Introduction and preliminaries

Bialas-Bzdak (BB) models

A. Bialas and A. Bzdak, in 2007, published models for elastic proton-proton (pp) scattering [1, 2], the BB models for short. In these models the proton is described as a bound state of constituent quarks, and the probability of inelastic pp scattering is constructed based on R. J. Glauber's diffractive multiple scattering theory: all possible single and multiple binary inelastic collisions of the constituents is considered in a way that constituent back scattering is prohibited; as a result, the collision of two protons is inelastic if at least one constituentconstituent collision is inelastic. The elastic scattering amplitude is then calculated based on the unitarity relation neglecting the sub-dominant real part of the scattering amplitude.

The p = (q,d) Real extended Bialas-Bzdak (ReBB) model

In 2015, the BB model was extended: a real part of the scattering amplitude was added in a unitary manner [3] leading to the Real extended Bialas-Bzdak model, the ReBB model for short. It was also found that the p=(q,d) version of the BB model is consistent with the experimentally observed features of elastic pp scattering. The p = (q,d) version of the model describes the proton as a bound state of a constituent quark and a constituent diquark in a way that the diquark is treated as a single entity not as a bound state of two quarks. Two basic ingredients of the BB model are the inelastic scattering probabilities of two constituents as a function of their relative transverse position and the quark-diquark distribution inside the proton. The constituent-constituent inelastic scattering probabilities have Gaussian shapes that follow from the Gaussian-shaped parton distributions of the constituents characterized by the scale parameters R_a and R_d . The quark-diquark distribution inside the proton has also a Gaussian shape with scale parameter R_{ad} that characterizes the separation between the quark and the diquark constituents inside the proton.

ReBB model versus data

It was found in studies published in 2021 and 2022 [3, 4] that the ReBB model describes all the available data not only on elastic pp scattering but also on elastic protonantiproton $(p\bar{p})$ scattering in a statistically acceptable manner i.e. with a confidence level (CL) \geq 0.1% in the kinematic range:



$0.38 \,\mathrm{GeV^2} \le |t| \le 1.2 \,\mathrm{GeV^2}$, 546 GeV $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq$ 8 TeV, where t is the squared four-momentum

transfer and *s* is the squared center of mass energy. Based on the ReBB model analysis of elastic pp and $p\overline{p}$ scattering, statistically significant signals of the *t*-channel odderon exchange were observed [4, 5].

0.1

 $R_L)$

 T^{10}

10^{-1?}

 $\alpha_L < 2$

 $R_L = 1$

---- $\alpha_L = 1.9$

 $\alpha_L = 1.95$

 $\ldots \alpha_L = 2$

have tails behaving

 $|\vec{x}|$

Lévy a-stable distributions with

asymptotically as a power law

(infinite variance): for large x and

 $\alpha_L < 2$, $L(x | \alpha_L, R_L) \sim |x|^{-(1+\alpha_L)}$.

Need for an improvement of the ReBB model at low-[t]



Tevatron D0 pp, and LHC TOTEM pp elastic $d\sigma/dt$ data in the kinematic range, $0.38 \text{ GeV}^2 \le |t| \le 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2$, 546 GeV $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq$ 7 TeV, perfectly describes the pp σ_{tot} data as measured by the LHC ATLAS experiment being systematically below the pp σ_{tot} data as measured by the LHC TOTEM experiment. Theoretically, $\sigma_{tot}(s) = 2 \text{Im}T_{el}(s, t = 0)$. Further studies may be important within a model that describes the elastic pp data both at low-|t| and high-|t| with CL $\geq 0.1\%$.

At \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV, the ReBB model describes the ATLAS low-|t| and the TOTEM high-|t| data simultaneously with CL = 2.6% (the used χ^2 formula is the one derived by the PHENIX Collaboration [6]). At \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV, the ReBB model fails to describe the TOTEM low-|t| and the TOTEM high-|t| data simultaneously with $CL \ge 0.1\%$. The TOTEM low-|t| data shows a strong non-exponential behavior with a statistical significance grater than 7σ [7] which is not reproduced by the ReBB model containing Gaussian-shaped distributions.



 \vec{s}_d'

Gaussian shape versus Levy α -stable shapes

The use of Gaussian distributions are motivated by the central limit theorem. Generalized theorems limit central motivate the use of Levy a-stable distributions. The bivariate Gaussian and symmetric Levy a-stable distributions centered at 0 are:

$$G(\vec{x}|R_G) = \frac{1}{2\pi R_G^2} e^{-\frac{\vec{x}^2}{2R_G^2}},$$

$$d_{A}(\vec{x}|\alpha_{L},R_{L}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2} q e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{-|\vec{q}^{2}R_{L}^{2}|^{\alpha_{L}/2}},$$

where $0 < \alpha_L \leq 2$. For $\alpha_L = 2$ the Lévy a-stable distribution is the Gaussian distribution:

$$L(\vec{x}|\alpha_L = 2, R_L = R_G/\sqrt{2}) \equiv G(\vec{x}|R_G).$$

A simple model of elastic scattering with a Gaussian impact parameter amplitude

/ields a purely exponential t-distribution, while a simple model with a Levy α -stable impact parameter amplitude and $\alpha_L < 2$ yields a non-exponential t-distribution [9].

$$\tilde{T}_{el}(s,b) = \frac{i+\rho_0(s)}{2}\sigma_{tot}(s)G(b|\sqrt{B_0(s)}) \implies \frac{d\sigma}{dt}(s,-t) = a(s)e^{-tB_0(s)}$$
$$\tilde{T}_{el}(s,b) = \frac{i+\rho_0(s)}{2}\sigma_{tot}(s)L(b|\alpha_L,\sqrt{B_L(s)}) \implies \frac{d\sigma}{dt}(s,-t) = a(s)e^{-|tB_L(s)|\alpha_L(s)/2}$$

Levy a-stable generalized Bialas-Bzdak (LBB) model

In the p=(q,d) BB model the proton is a bound state of a constituent quark and a constituent diquark; the inelastic scattering probability of two protons at a fixed impact parameter vector (\mathbf{b}) and at fixed constituent transverse position vectors $(\vec{s}_q, \vec{s}_d, \vec{s}_q', \vec{s}_d')$ is given by a Glauber expansion:

$$\sigma\left(\vec{s}_{q}, \vec{s}_{d}; \vec{s}_{q}', \vec{s}_{d}'; \vec{b}\right) = 1 - \prod_{a \in \{q,d\}} \prod_{b \in \{q,d\}} \left[1 - \sigma_{ab}\left(\vec{b} + \vec{s}_{b}' - \vec{s}_{a}\right)\right].$$

The parton distributions of the constituent quark and the constituent diquark are now Levy α-stable distributions and the inelastic scattering probability for the collision of two constituents at a fixed relative transverse position \vec{x} of the constituents:

$$\sigma_{ab}(\vec{x}) = A_{ab}\pi S_{ab}^2 \int d^2 r_a L(\vec{r}_a | \alpha_L, R_a/2) L(\vec{x} - \vec{r}_a | \alpha_L, R_b/2) \equiv A_{ab}\pi S_{ab}^2 L(\vec{x} | \alpha_L, S_{ab}/2),$$

$$S_{ab}^{\alpha_L} = R_a^{\alpha_L} + R_b^{\alpha_L}, \quad a, b \in \{q, d\}.$$

The distribution of the constituents inside the proton is now given in terms of a Levy α-stable distribution:

 $D(\vec{s}_q, \vec{s}_d) = (1+\lambda)^2 L(\vec{s}_q - \vec{s}_d | \alpha_L, R_{qd}/2) \delta^2(\vec{s}_q + \lambda \vec{s}_d),$

$$\lambda = m_q/m_d, \quad \vec{s}_d = -\lambda \vec{s}_q, \quad \int d^2 s_q d^2 s_d D(\vec{s}_q, \vec{s}_d) = 1.$$

where m_{d} is the mass of the quark and m_{d} is the mass of the diquark.

Illustration of the power of the Lévy α -stable generalization





The probability of inelastic scattering of protons at a fixed \dot{b} is given by averaging over the constituent positions inside the protons:

 $\tilde{\sigma}_{in}(\vec{b}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^2 s_q d^2 s_q' d^2 s_d d^2 s_d' D(\vec{s}_q, \vec{s}_d) D(\vec{s}_q', \vec{s}_d') \sigma(\vec{s}_q, \vec{s}_d; \vec{s}_q', \vec{s}_d'; \vec{b}).$

The elastic scattering amplitude $\tilde{T}_{el}(s, b)$ is given via $\tilde{\sigma}_{in}(s, b)$ through the opacity function $\Omega(s, b)$: $\operatorname{Re}\Omega(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b}) = -1/2\ln[1-\tilde{\sigma}_{in}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b})], \quad \operatorname{Im}\Omega(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b}) = -\alpha_R\tilde{\sigma}_{in}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b}), \quad \tilde{T}_{el}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b}) = i[1-e^{-\Omega(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{b})}],$

where $b = |\vec{b}|$ and the dependence on *s* follows from the *s*-dependence of the free parameters.

The $T_{el}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ is obtained from $\tilde{T}_{el}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{b})$: $T_{el}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = \int d^2 b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \tilde{T}_{el}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{b}), \ |\vec{q}|^2 = -t.$

The new free parameter of the Levy α -stable generalized model is α_L , the Lévy index of stability; if $\alpha_L = 2$, the ReBB model with Gaussian distributions is recovered.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the ÚNKP-23-3 New National Excellence Program of the Hungarian Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund; by the NKFIH grant K147557 and 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181; by the Research Excellence Programme and the Flagship Research Groups Programme of the Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences.

Summary

The Lévy α-stable generalization of the Bialas-Bzdak model is done by generalizing from Gaussian shapes to Lévy a-stable shapes both (i) the inelastic scattering probabilities of two constituents and (ii) the quark-diquark distribution inside the proton. The LBB model is expected to describe simultaneously the low-|t| and high-|t|domains of elastic pp and $p\bar{p} d\sigma/dt$ with a Lévy index of stability $\alpha_L < 2$. Thus the next step is to apply the full LBB model to describe the data. Given that the LBB model describes the data in a statistically satisfying manner i.e. with $CL \ge 0.1\%$, it can be used to study, e.g, (i) the discrepancy between ATLAS and TOTEM cross section measurements, and (ii) after considering the effects of the Coulomb-nuclear interference, the odderon contribution to parameter $\rho_0 = \text{Re}T_{el}(s,t)/\text{Im}T_{el}(s,t)|_{t\to 0}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

References

[1] A. Bialas, A. Bzdak, *Phys. Lett.* B **649** (2007) 263 [2] A. Bialas, A. Bzdak, Acta Phys. Polon. B 38 (2007) 159 [3] F. Nemes, T. Csörgő, M. Csanád, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 14, 1550076 [4] T. Csörgő, I. Szanyi, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 7, 611

[5] I. Szanyi, T. Csörgő, *Eur. Phys. J.* C 82 (2022) 9, 827 [6] PHENIX Collab., *Phys. Rev.* C **77** (2008) 064907 [7] TOTEM Collab., Nucl. Phys. B 899 (2015) 527 [8] T. Csörgő, S. Hegyi, I. Szanyi, *Universe* 2023, **9**(8), 361 [9] T. Csörgő, S. Hegyi, I. Szanyi, Universe 2024, 10(3), 127