







ICSC. Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in HPC, **Big Data and Quantum Computing** 

# Determination of Diffractive PDEs from HERA Data using Neural Networks and Fracture Functions

*Hadi Hashamipour*











### **Introduction**

- **DPDFs** are important in understanding **diffractive processes** in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS).
- Traditional methods involve analytical approaches; introduce the idea of using a **neural network** as a modern, flexible alternative.











## **Introduction**

#### Triple differential Cross section

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{ep \to epX}}{d\beta dQ^2 dx_{I\!\!P}} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{\beta Q^4} \left[ 1 + (1-y)^2 \right] \sigma_r^{D3}(\beta, Q^2; x_{I\!\!P}).
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_r^{D(3)}(\beta, Q^2; x_{I\!\!P}) = F_2^{D(3)}(\beta, Q^2; x_{I\!\!P}) - \frac{y^2}{1 + (1-y)^2} F_L^{D(3)}(\beta, Q^2; x_{I\!\!P}).
$$

Using the factorization theorem on Fracture functions

$$
F_k^D(\beta, Q^2; x_{I\!\!P}) = \sum_i \mathcal{F}_i^D(\beta; x_{I\!\!P}, Q^2) \otimes C_{ki}(\beta, Q^2, \alpha_s)
$$



Fracture functions: An Improved description of inclusive hard processes in QCD Phys. Lett. B 323, 201 (1994)

 $(t)$ 









#### Neural Network Approach to Parameterization

- **Feed-forward neural network** used to model the non-linear relationships in diffractive processes.
- Benefits of using NNs: **data-driven flexibility**, no need for strict theoretical assumptions.

$$
\beta \mathcal{F}_q^D(\beta, Q_0^2; x_{I\!\!P}) = \mathcal{W}(x_{I\!\!P}) \left( NN1(\beta, Q_0^2) - NN1(1, Q_0^2) \right)^2 \beta \mathcal{F}_g^D(\beta, Q_0^2; x_{I\!\!P}) = \mathcal{W}(x_{I\!\!P}) \left( NN2(\beta, Q_0^2) - NN2(1, Q_0^2) \right)^2
$$











#### Training the Neural Network

- NN trained using **HERA reduced cross-section data**.NN adjusts weights iteratively, learning the correct mapping between input momentum fraction and DPDFs.
- **Optimization**: Chi-squared minimization between predicted and observed diffractive cross-sections.
- NN adjusts weights iteratively, learning the correct mapping between input momentum fraction and DPDFs.
- We use H1 Large rapidity gap data and H1/Zeus combined FPS data.
- Kinematical cuts:
	- $β ≤ 0.80$
	- $Q^2 > 8.5$  GeV<sup>2</sup> for all the datasets
	- Study the sensitivity of chi-squared to variations in  $Q_{min}$  in future.











#### Results and Uncertainty Estimation

- NN results closely match previous DPDF determinations.
- **Monte Carlo method** applied for uncertainty estimation: generating pseudo-datasets (replicas) to calculate central values and uncertainties.
- Fair agreement between **data and NN predictions**.











#### Conclusions and Future Directions

- NN approach offers a **robust and flexible parameterization** of DPDFs.
- Confirms reliability of existing models and opens new avenues for **future studies** at the **Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)**.
- NN-based parameterization is a powerful, **data-driven tool** for advancing diffractive scattering research.









# Thank You!