



## Performance and longevity of CO2 based mixtures in CMS Improved Resistive Plate **Chambers in the HL-LHC environment**

João Pedro Gomes Pinheiro <sup>1</sup>, Thiago Rangel <sup>2</sup>, Lucía Eiriz Sánchez <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rio de Janeiro State University - Brazil

- <sup>2</sup> CERN Summer Student 2024
- <sup>3</sup> Dundee University Scotland











### **Outline**

- The Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) for the HL-LHC
  - iRPC for LHC Phase-II Upgrade
- The Greenhouse emission in EU and at CERN
  - Strategies for F-gases reduction in CMS-RPC
- CO2 based mixtures
- Experimental Setup
  - Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++)
  - iRPC chamber prototype and electronics
- Analysis strategy
- Results before and after irradiation campaign
- Conclusion and next steps



### The Compact Muon Solenoid for HL-LHC








Images: CERN Document Server courtesy

2028 2030 2032 2034 2036 2038 2040 2042

Year

**CMS iRPC for LHC Phase-II Upgrade** 




 $95.2\% C_2H_2F_4 + 4.5\% iC_4H_{10} + 0.3\% SF_6$ 



4

Z [cm]

**CMS iRPC for LHC Phase-II Upgrade** 



 $95.2\% C_2H_2F_4 + 4.5\% iC_4H_{10} + 0.3\% SF_6$ 



5

Z [cm]




# The Greenhouse emission in EU and at CERN

- European Union has set targets to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 55% by 2030 and achieving net-zero emissions by **2050**. The European Green Deal.
- The use of fluorinated gases (F-gases) like  $C_2H_2F_4$  is tightly regulated due to their high global warming potential (GWP).
- Around 90% of direct emissions come from experiments, where more than 78% of GHG emission is a direct result of the use of Fgases. CERN Environment Report 2021-2022.


### **CERN Fluorinated Gases (F-Gas) Policy (July 24th, 2024):**

- minimize the use of F-Gases at CERN, particularly by:
  - the promotion of research and development into F-Gas alternatives,
  - the replacement, to the extent possible, of F-Gases already used in its installations and activities with gases with no - or less - impact on the environment, and
  - the minimization, to the extent possible, the use of F-Gases in new installations and activities.
- limit its emissions of F-Gases, particularly by:
  - the prohibition of intentional releases,
  - the detection and reduction of leaks,
  - appropriate training of personnel concerned.
- monitor and manage the use and emissions of F-Gases within the Organization,
- establish and update appropriate internal procedures and regulations and monitor compliance with them,
- communicate proactively.
- collaborate with the Host States.




Target: max 138 300 tCO<sub>a</sub>e

Average purchase prices of the most commonly used HFC refrigerants





# **Strategies for F-gases reduction in CMS-RPC**






7

UERJ ESTADO NO RE

### **CO<sub>2</sub>-based mixtures**

Gas components (%)	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	i-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	SF <sub>6</sub>	GWP* <sub>MIX</sub>
GWP	1430	1	3	22800	
Density (g/L)	4.7	1.98	2.7	6.61	
STD	95.2	0	4.5	0.3	1486
30% CO <sub>2</sub> + 1.0 % SF <sub>6</sub>	64	30	5	1	1529
30% CO <sub>2</sub> + 0.5 % SF <sub>6</sub>	64.5	30	5	0.5	1337
40% CO <sub>2</sub> + 1.0 % SF <sub>6</sub>	54.5	40	5	1	1353





- The mixtures used replaces C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> by 30 40 % of CO<sub>2</sub>, increasing SF<sub>6</sub> to 0.5 1.0 % in order to decrease the streamer probability, as shown in previous EP-DT studies.
- The price of the mixture is reduced around 30 40 % and the CO2-e (exhaust volume related) is decreased around 15 26 %
- C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> partially replacement by CO<sub>2</sub> also lead to less HF- ions produced due to ionization, meaning a possible mitigation in the chemical aging of the bakelite gaps



### Experimental Setup: Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF++)

PMT4





PMT 3


PMT 2

- 100 m² bunker with 11.5 TBq <sup>137</sup>Cs (Jan 24)
- 2 symmetric radiation field with an attenuator system with different absorption factors
- H4 beam line from SPS
- Muon beam 100 GeV/c, 10<sup>4</sup> muons / spill, every 400 ms
- Service zone with electronics and gas room
- Special gas line with CO2-based mixture from the mixer in the gas room
- Largely used for muon detector system of LHC experiments in the view of HL-LHC





### **Experimental Setup: iRPC prototype and electronics**



### iRPC Prototype

- Customized chamber with gaps from KODEL laboratory
- Made with copper tape strips plane (~1.8 cm pitch), double 1.4 mm 50 x 50 cm<sup>2</sup> gap
- 1.4 mm electrode HPL thickness
- $\rho \sim 1.2 (1.3) \times 10^{10} \Omega$ .cm for bottom (top) gap
- single strip plane readout with 16 strips

### Front-end Board (FEB):

- Customized electronics also from KODEL
- Current sensitive mode for input signals
- Input impedance =  $20 \Omega$
- Amplification gain = 200 LVDS width = 60 ns
- Threshold 0.5 mV ~ 60 fC







### **Analysis strategy**

- Muons arrive in spills with a well-known frequency, while gamma contributions are homogeneous in both space and time
- Muon window is defined by a gaussian fit
- Hits outside the muon window are identified as background (noise/gamma), used to obtain the background rate
- Background contamination is removed during efficiency calculation using a window outside the muon hits

Muon window (all hits)





- Clusterization algorithm: a cluster (muon or gamma) is defined as the hits in adjacent strips inside a time window
- The time window is obtained with source OFF targeting a number of muon cluster per event equal to one
- It was found to be around 30 ± 5 ns
- Cross check with gamma clustering at low background rate



### **Analysis strategy**

HV applied is PT corrected, as here
$$HV_{app} = HV_{eff} \left[ (1 - \alpha) + \alpha \frac{P}{P_0} \frac{T_0}{T} \right]$$


$$\alpha = 0.8 \ P_0 = 990 \ \text{mbar} \ T_0 = 293.15 \ \text{K}$$
• Efficiency in each point is calculated using 
$$0.8 = 1.4 \ \text{M}$$

- Efficiency in each point is calculated using the method in previous slide
- S-curve is fitted by a sigmoid function

$$\epsilon = rac{\epsilon_{max}}{1 + e^{-\lambda \left(HV_{eff} - HV_{50\%}
ight)}} egin{aligned} \lambda : \text{Slope or steepness} \\ HV_{50\%} : HV_{\epsilon=50\%} \\ \epsilon_{max} : \text{maximum efficiency} \end{aligned}$$

Working point defined as the HV where efficiency is 95% + 150V:

$$WP = \frac{\ln 19}{\lambda} + HV_{50\%} + 150V$$





Validation with standard gas mixture:


WP consistent around 7.2 kV and efficiency > 90 % up to 2 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup> (HL-**LHC** x 3)



### **Results before the irradiation campaign**







GIF++

CMS Preliminary

WP<sub>source OFF</sub> ~ 6960 V

WP<sub>source OFF</sub> ~ 6999 V





All gas mixtures within the expectations with minor drop in efficiency due to the replacement of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> by CO<sub>2</sub>

Overall efficiency drop (~ 4%) due to electronics dead time (~80 ns)



### Results before the irradiation campaign






- Minor muon efficiency drop with the increase of CO<sub>2</sub>
- Different Working Point in different mixtures:
  - CO<sub>2</sub> addition lower mixture density: lower WP
  - SF<sub>6</sub> has high electronegativity: higher WP

All the alternative mixtures show lower WP w.r.t the standard one!



### Results before the irradiation campaign



Muon and gamma cluster size are consistent between the gas mixtures

→ SF<sub>6</sub> might be playing the role!

However, chamber resolution (1.8 cm chamber width) is not the same of CMS-iRPC chambers

→ further refinements are needed!


Background gamma rate (Hz/cm²)	Average Muon Cluster Size	Average Gamma Cluster Size
0	2.0 strips	_
800	1.75 strips	1.7 strips



15




- During no beam period, a dedicated irradiation campaign took place at GIF++
- It was collected around 40 mC/cm², corresponding to ~ 4 % of what is expected during HL-LHC within a safety factor 3
- Revalidation with standard gas mixture:
  - Consistent and stable efficiency and Working Point (~ 30 V higher) for moderate background rate
  - Drop in efficiency for high background rate, mostly driven due to the FEB aspects, which is not designed for that high radiation environment





### Results after the irradiation campaign











### Results after the irradiation campaign









No change in the efficiency without radiation,

but < 90% for 2 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup> (mostly FEB drive, no gas mixture related)

### Results after the irradiation campaign






Background gamma rate (Hz/cm²)	Average Muon Cluster Size	Average Gamma Cluster Size
0	2.0 strips	_
700	1.75 strips	1.6 strips



### **Comparison**



- No change in the working point after irradiation campaign
- Drop in efficiency with background **similar** before/after irradiation for moderate rates
- Current is shown to be ~20% higher for CO<sub>2</sub> based mixtures, with similar results after and before irradiation







### **Conclusion and next steps**

- First results of an iRPC prototype with double 1.4 mm gap with CO<sub>2</sub> based gas mixtures
- Similar efficiency and lower working point for all CO<sub>2</sub> based gas mixtures tested w.r.t the standard one
- Integrated charge around 4% of what is expected at HL-LHC x 3
- No efficiency degradation related to the gas mixture was observed
  - Efficiency drop is electronics related, similar for all tested mixtures
- No change in the working point was observed
- No change in the muon and gamma cluster size was observed
- 20 % higher currents for CO<sub>2</sub> based mixtures no change with radiation

Studies will continue at GIF++ with the aim to integrate more charge during irradiation campaign, perform further studies, as timing resolution, and investigate better the efficiency drop observed





# Thanks for your attention Questions?






### **Backup**






### **Experimental Setup: iRPC prototype and electronics**



Chamber strip plane with copper tape example





iRPC prototype opened and KODEL electronics



Adapted LV ±5 V supplier





TDC and VME bridge

