



PR and release status: BSM processes (SUSY, SMEFT), Makefile targets etc.

Andrea Valassi (CERN)

Madgraph on GPU development meeting, 04th April 2024

<https://indico.cern.ch/event/1355149>

(previous update was on 19 March)

Status update for my PRs – summary

- Update on my PRs and issues (since March 19 meeting)
 - No update in PR [#824](#) (SUSY): was and still is ready to merge, awaiting review
 - Still pending [issue #825](#) (*susy_gg_tt madevent tests – xsec mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp*)
 - Still pending [issue #826](#) (*susy_gg_t1t1 madevent tests – no xsec in cudacpp madevent*)
 - **New: PR [#632](#) (SMEFT)** is now complete and ready to merge, awaiting review
 - Fixed various issues (614, 616, 633) blocking gg>tt~tt~ for CMS and Zenny’s reweighting
 - Pending minor [issue #827](#) (*determine number of BSM parameters when generating CPPProcess.cc*)
 - New [issue #828](#) (*heft_gg_bb has no FFS2_0 calls, unlike heft_gg_h_bb?*)
 - SM gg>bb~ has 3 diagrams, HEFT gg>h>bb~ adds 1 diagram: HEFT gg>bb~ should have 4? (it only has 3)
 - **New: PR [#798](#) (Makefile targets)** is now again ~ready to merge, awaiting review
 - After rebasing and fixing conflicts – a few large scale tests will complete today, then good to go
 - This completely separates C++ and CUDA or HIP targets (extends/completes Jorgen’s earlier PR)
- Summary of BSM status (when PRs 824 and 632 are eventually merged)
 - SUSY models ~OK (except for issues 825 and 826 above)
 - HEFT models ~OK? (but issue 828 blocks me from testing madevent)
 - SMEFT models look OK
- Next priority for me: git repos and transfer scripts
 - See also the discussion in [issue #661](#) and in Olivier’s [issue #815](#)

A few other comments

- Nathan's PR [#819](#) (latest SYCL changes in epochX/sycl) is now merged
- WIP on warps and channel ID arrays (issue [#765](#))
 - New: Stefan's PR [#830](#) from the [new interface wrap](#) branch
 - Based on Olivier's [mg5amcnlo gpucpp wrap](#) branch
 - Question: do (can) we have tests specifically for the new functionality?
- Nathan's Intel GPU support in cudacpp ([#805](#)): maybe after the release?
- Process-specific issues on AMD GPUs: segfault in gq_ttq ([#806](#))
 - I suggest we release without waiting for this and we fix it later
- Various other comments from May 2023 issue [#671](#)
 - In general: test, test, test (especially the full workflow, and parameter/run cards etc)
- Check what else we still have against madgraph4gpu (e.g. Lugano) before closing it