



# PR and release status: makefile targets, BSM processes (EWdim6) etc

Andrea Valassi (CERN)

*Madgraph on GPU development meeting, 28<sup>th</sup> May 2024*

<https://indico.cern.ch/event/1355153>

*(previous update was on May 14 – only mentioning changes since then)*

# Status update for my PRs – Makefiles

- Update on my PRs and issues (since May 14 meeting)
  - **MERGED: PR #798 (separate Makefile targets)** – two reviews by OM (thanks!)
    - This completely separates C++ and CUDA or HIP targets (extends/completes Jorgen’s earlier PR)
    - NB: we now build EITHER “check.exe” OR “gcheck.exe” – we no longer build them together
      - “make BACKEND=cuda” and “make BACKEND=hip” build gcheck.exe
      - “make BACKEND=avx2” and other SIMD modes (none,sse4,512y,512z) build check.exe
    - NB: we no longer link together C++ and CUDA implementations of the same classes
      - Main example: runTest.exe EITHER tests C++ OR tests cuda
      - (But still keep separate namespaces, because it is cleaner, and in case one day we move to fat binaries...)
  - **MERGED: PR #841 (rename Makefile targets and variables)** – review by OM
    - This (hopefully!) rationalizes the naming conventions of build targets and internal makefile variables
    - NB: we no longer build “check.exe” or “gcheck.exe” (or runTest.exe) – the names have changed
      - “make BACKEND=cuda” builds check\_cuda.exe, runTest\_cuda.exe, etc
      - “make BACKEND=hip” builds check\_hip.exe, runTest\_hip.exe, etc
      - “make BACKEND=avx2” (none,sse4,512y,512z) builds check\_cpp.exe, runTest\_cpp.exe, etc
      - This is consistent with the naming convention for madevent\_cuda, madevent\_hip, madevent\_cpp
      - (Note: I kept a single “\_cpp” suffix, but if needed it is easy to switch to “\_cppnone”, “\_cppavx2” etc...)
    - This is also true for intermediate .o objects, not only for the final .exe executables
      - CPPProcess.o becomes CPPProcess\_(cpp|cuda|hip).o
- In my opinion this completes what we needed to change in Makefiles for the moment
  - NB: standalone builds are still functional and should remain so (POWHEG, reweighting...)
    - Cleanup in earlier PRs – cudacpp makefile can either be invoked standalone or from Fortran makefile

# Updates and recap – BSM & other processes

- Brief update on my PRs (since May 14 meeting) – Beyond Standard Model models
  - **New WIP PR #847 (EWdim6)** – adds EWdim6 “ $u d \sim \rightarrow w^+ z$ ” to the repo (Zenny’s process)
    - Verified that my earlier BSM patches for SUSY/EFT fix code generation for this too ([#615](#) is fixed)
    - Code also builds ok, but HRDCOD=(0|1) builds give different results at runtime (*new issue #846*)
- Recap of pending process-specific issues (mainly BSM, but not only)
  - SUSY: [#825](#) (*susy\_gg\_tt madevent tests – xsec mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp*)
  - SUSY: [#826](#) (*susy\_gg\_t1t1 madevent tests – no xsec in cudacpp madevent*)
  - HEFT: [#833](#) (*heft\_gg\_bb madevent tests – LHE mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp, FPTYPE=f*)
  - EWdim6: [#846](#) (*ewdim6\_ud\_wz cudacpp tests – ME mismatch HRDCOD=0 vs HRDCOD=1*)
  - SM: [#806](#) (*gq\_ttq cudacpp tests – segmentation fault on AMD GPUs on LUMI*)
  - Anyone interested in taking a look? Otherwise we can fix these after the release?

# AOB and some next steps

- More tests in the 'launch' interface, more tweaks in the runcards
  - Olivier's PR [#835](#) (default FPTYPE=m in runcard) has been merged
  - However, I would suggest adding also HELINL and HRDCOD to runcard (issue [#700](#))
  - And then make the syntax more consistent (e.g. cudacpp\_fptype, cudacpp\_helinl, etc)
  - And more tests, tests, tests
- Next priority for me: git repos and transfer scripts
  - See also the discussion in issue [#661](#) and in Olivier's issue [#815](#)
  - There was some discussion at the general mg5amcnlo meeting about git subrepos
  - Keep the madgraph4gpu repo anyway (generated processes, older branche like Lugano, etc)
- When the above is done we can think of a release?
  - Wait for Stefan's PR [#830](#) on warps and channel ID arrays (issue [#765](#)) or not?
  - Nathan's Intel GPU support in cudacpp ([#805](#)): maybe after the release?
  - (Aim for end June or beginning July – I am on holiday for the next 2-3 weeks)