

Update on BSM issues and new SIGFPEs

Andrea Valassi (CERN)

4th June 2024

(attached to Madgraph on GPU development meeting, 11th June 2024) <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1355154</u>

(previous update was on May 28 – only mentioning changes since then)



AV – BSM update, new SIGFPEs etc

Updates and recap – BSM & other processes

• Brief update on my PRs (since May 14 meeting) – Beyond Standard Model models

- New WIP PR <u>#847</u> (EWdim6) - adds EWdim6 "u d~ > w+ z" to the repo (Zenny's process)

• Verified that my earlier BSM patches for SUSY/EFT fix code generation for this too (#615 is fixed)

• Code also builds ok, but HRDCOD=(0|1) builds give different results at runtime (new issue #846)

Recap of pending process-specific issues (mainly BSM, but not only)

– SUSY: <u>#825</u> (susy_gg_tt madevent tests – xsec mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp)

– SUSY: <u>#826</u> (susy_gg_t1t1 madevent tests – no xsec in cudacpp madevent)

- HEFT: <u>#833</u> (heft_gg_bb madevent tests - LHE mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp, FPTYPE=f)

- EWdim6: <u>#846</u> (ewdim6 ud wz cudacpp tests - ME mismatch HRDCOD=0 vs HRDCOD=1)

SM: <u>#806</u> (gq_ttq cudacpp tests – segmentation fault on AMD GPUs on LUMI)

Anyone interested in taking a look? Otherwise we can fix these after the release?

AV - makefile targets, BSM etc 28 May 2024 3

Today I report on investigations about this in collaboration with Olivier



From systematic tests of all processes and all FPTYPE combinations using 'tput' and 'tmad' scripts

Some "easy" bits

- SUSY: <u>#825</u> (susy_gg_tt madevent tests xsec mismatch Fortran vs cudacpp)
 - Fixed by Olivier in PR <u>#850</u> missing "Ccoeff" in some code (CODEGEN)
 - Completed by AV in PR <u>#860</u> update runTest reference files (mg4gpu) for susy processes
- Minor enhancements by AV (mg4gpu only, no CODEGEN)
 - PR <u>#851</u> add back bin/madevent script for all processes
 - PR <u>#854</u> add matrix1.pdf (from matris1.ps) for all processes to debug channel issues



A much more complex bit

Olivier's draft PR <u>#852</u> investigating the zero xsec issue 826 opened a Pandora box

 I tested Olivier's patch and other related issues in PR <u>#853</u>

– Main finding by OM: in cudacpp we miss a mapping of "iconfig" and "channel" (e.g. for colors)

- Note: all issues on my first slide come from my 'tput' or 'tmad' test suite
 - tmad test suite runs "madevent < input.txt" for Fortran/C++/CUDA, compares xsec and LHE
 - But... so far I have always only been running these for "iconfig=1"
 - Some nasty problems seem to be lurking only at iconfig>1 (including SIGFPE crashes)

• Just for reference: there are many different entities and variables involved

- And the fact that Fortran (1 to N) and C (0 to N-1) indexes differ does not help
- This is my proposal how to reference them in the code

```
// Summary of numbering and indexing conventions for the relevant concepts (see issue #826 and PR #852)
// - Diagram number (no variable) in [1, N_diagrams]: all values are allowed (N_diagrams distinct values)
// => this number is displayed for information before each block of code in CPPProcess.cc
// - Channel number ("channelId" in C, CHANNEL_ID in F) in [1, N_diagrams]: not all values are allowed (N_confi
// => this number (with F indexing) is passed around as an API argument between cudacpp functions
// - Channel number in C indexing: "channelIdC" = channelID - 1
// => this number (with C indexing) is used as the index of the channelIdC_to_iconfig array below
// - Config number ("iconfig" in C, ICONFIG in F) in [1, N_config]: all values are allowed (N_config <= N_diagr
// - Config number in C indexing: "iconfigC" = iconfig - 1</pre>
```



SIGFPE crash in rotxxx

There is a SIGFPE crash <u>#855</u> in Fortran function rotxxx (aloha_functions.f)

 Only in optimized –O3 code: relevant variables in gdb show up as <optimized out>
 Disabling optimization (IIRC –O1 is enough?) makes the crash disappear
 My proposed workaround <u>#857</u>: add the *volatile* keyword for a few Fortran variables
 Disable optimizations of very specific lines of code (related to Fortran SIMD?)

• This technique is extensively used in cudacpp SIMD ixx/oxx: volatile prevents many crashes

- Issue and fix are fully reproducible (crashes without, does not crash with volatile)

- Not clear why it appears only for some iconfig - but I would fix this independently

• And fixing this issue then makes it possible to see further issues down the line...



A snapshot of other issues

- There is a different SIGFPE crash <u>#845</u> in cudacpp function sigmakin
 - Intermittent: same binary executable sometimes crashes and sometimes does not...
 - This seems most likely related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors?
- There is a color mismatch $\underline{#856}$ in LHE files
 - This is clearly related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors
- There is still the zero cross section I reported in <u>#826</u>
 Olivier's patch does not fix this for me
- Olivier mentioned a few issues he identified in tests with Stefan
 - Can you provide a detailed reproducer please?
 - Some of these may be related to what I described, some may not
- · Last point: IMO it is imperative that we have QUICK systematic tests of "launch"
 - See discussion in <u>#711</u>: allow generate_events with lower precision i.e. fewer events
 - We did not agree on this last year I think the issues we see now are a consequence of that
 - This would have tested systematically all iconfig for all processes
- My opinion: we need tests, tests, tests...

