

Quick update on crashes and valgrind

Andrea Valassi (CERN)

Madgraph on GPU development meeting, 25th June 2024 <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1355155</u>

(previous update was on June 04 before my holidays – only mentioning changes since then)



SIGFPE crash in rotxxx

- There is a SIGFPE crash #855 in Fortran function rotxxx (aloha functions.f)
 - Only in optimized -O3 code: relevant variables in gdb show up as <optimized out>
 - Disabling optimization (IIRC –O1 is enough?) makes the crash disappear
 - My proposed workground #857; add the volatile keyword for a few Fortran variables
 - Disable optimizations of very specific lines of code (related to Fortran SIMD?) This technique is extensively used in cudacpp SIMD ixx/oxx: volatile prevents many crashes
 - Issue and fix are fully reproducible (crashes without, does not crash with volatile)
 - Not clear why it appears only for some iconfig but I would fix this independently
 - · And fixing this issue then makes it possible to see further issues down the line...

One crash I see

NB: this is not an "intermittent" crash that may sometimes be reproduced and sometimes may not: it is a crash I see all the time...

```
Program received signal SIGFPE, Arithmetic exception.
rotxxx (p=..., q=..., prot=...) at aloha_functions.f:1247
at genps.f:60 0x00000000045c865 in sample_full (ndim=10, ncall=32, itmax=1, itmin=1, dsig=0x438b00 <dsig>, ninvar=10, nconfigs=1, vecsize_used=16384) at dsample_f:172
```



AV - BSM update, new SIGFPEs etc

4 June 2024

- Olivier (thanks!) tried to reproduce it on my machine itscrd90 but was unable to
 - Can you please try again? I gave step-by-step instructions on github...
- I understand that adding volatile is controversial: hence I do more tests with valgrind
- Note: I have no evidence at all that this may be related to iconfig-ichannel mapping



Some results from valgrind

- First I tested the pure Fortran madevent_fortran through valgrind: found two issues
 - A minor leak in driver.f (file opened and not closed)
 - Issue https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo/issues/109
 - Fix https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo/pull/110 for review OM
 - An uninitialized variable goodjet in reweight.f (possible undefined behaviour)
 - Issue https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo/issues/111
 - Workaround https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo/pull/112 for review OM
 - Not a fix! A real fix is needed... the code is accessing a variable that was not properly defined!
 - After adding the patches for these two issues, valgrind is happy on madevent_fortran
 - HOWEVER: madevent_cpp is still crashing in rotxxx, no progress in this respect
- Now running valgrind on madevent_cpp
 - This is taking forever (40 minutes and not over yet)... hangs??? is there an infinite loop?
- Note: I also considered address sanitizer as suggested long ago by StephanH
 - On Fortran code, however, I do not get any useful results (from gfortran)
 - We do not support flang yet for Fortran...
 - On C++ code, I need to fix some issues with clang build options
 - Surprise however: with clang and without address sanitizer, the code also seems to hang???



A snapshot of other issues

- There is a different SIGFPE crash #845 in cudacpp function sigmakin
 - Intermittent: same binary executable sometimes crashes and sometimes does not...
 - This seems most likely related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors?
- There is a color mismatch #856 in LHE files
 - This is clearly related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors
- There is still the zero cross section I reported in #826
 - Olivier's patch does not fix this for me
- · Olivier mentioned a few issues he identified in tests with Stefan
 - Can you provide a detailed reproducer please?
 - Some of these may be related to what I described, some may not
- Last point: IMO it is imperative that we have QUICK systematic tests of "launch"
 - See discussion in #711: allow generate events with lower precision i.e. fewer events
 - We did not agree on this last year I think the issues we see now are a consequence of that
 - This would have tested systematically all iconfig for all processes
- My opinion: we need tests, tests, tests...



AV – BSM update, new SIGFPEs etc

4 June 2024

For the moment I assume this is a DIFFERENT ISSUE (in gq_ttq) from the rotxxx crash (in gg_ttgg)...

Note: unlike the rotxxx crash, this is clearly related to iconfig-ichannel mapping

Another crash I see

NB: this is an "intermittent" crash: it sometimes crashes and sometimes does not...

Open

Intermittent FPE "erroneous arithmetic operation" in gqttq tmad test (in random color selection within sigmakin) #845 valassi opened this issue on May 16 · 5 comments

```
Missing separate debuginfos, use: dnf debuginfo-install glibc-2.34-60.el9.x86_64 libgcc-11.3.1-4.3.el9.alma.x86_6
#0 0x00007ffff7f98d6f in mg5amcCpu::sigmaKin (allmomenta=0x7ffff76bf040, allcouplings=0x7ffff7b57040, allrndhel=
   allrndcol=0x6300d00, allMEs=0x6310d80, channelId=channelId@entry=1, allNumerators=0x6341000, allDenominators=
   allselhel=0x6320e00, allselcol=0x6330e80, nevt=16384) at CPPProcess.cc:1189
#1 0x00007ffff7f9fa3e in mg5amcCpu::MatrixElementKernelHost::computeMatrixElements (this=0x6340ee0, channelId=ch
   at MatrixElementKernels.cc:115
#2 0x00007fffff7fa52d2 in mg5amcCpu::Bridge<double>::cpu_sequence (goodHelOnly=false, selcol=0x7fffffc1cb50, selh
   mes=0x7fffffc3cb50, channelId=1, rndcol=0x7fffffc9ceb0, rndhel=0x7fffffcbceb0, gs=0x1d35a68 <strong_+8>, mome
   this=0x62e0a70) at /usr/include/c++/11/bits/unique_ptr.h:173
#3 fbridgesequence_ (ppbridge=<optimized out>, momenta=<optimized out>, gs=0x1d35a68 <strong_+8>, rndhel=0x7fffff
   rndcol=0x7fffffc9ceb0, pchannelId=<optimized out>, mes=0x7fffffc3cb50, selhel=0x7ffffffc2cb50, selcol=0x7ffffff
#4 0x000000000043008c in smatrix1_multi (p_multi=<error reading variable: value requires 2621440 bytes, which is
   hel_rand=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   col_rand=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, channel=1,
   out=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, selected_hel=..
   vecsize_used=16384) at auto_dsig1.f:618
#5 0x000000000431c11 in dsig1_vec (all_pp=<error reading variable: value requires 2621440 bytes, which is more
   all_xbk=<error reading variable: value requires 262144 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   all q2fact=<error reading variable: value requires 262144 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   all_cm_rap=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   all_wgt=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, imode=0,
   all_out=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, vecsize_use
#6 0x0000000000432d48 in dsigproc_vec (all_p=...,
   all_xbk=<error reading variable: value requires 262144 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   all_q2fact=<error reading variable: value requires 262144 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>,
   all_cm_rap=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, iconf=1,
   symconf=..., confsub=..., all_wgt=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than ma
   all_out=<error reading variable: value requires 131072 bytes, which is more than max-value-size>, vecsize_use
#7 0x000000000433b1f in dsig_vec (all_p=..., all_wgt=..., all_xbk=..., all_q2fact=..., all_cm_rap=..., iconf=1,
   all_out=..., vecsize_used=16384) at auto_dsig.f:327
#8 0x0000000044a922 in sample_full (ndim=7, ncall=8192, itmax=1, itmin=1, dsig=0x433d10 <dsig>, ninvar=7, ncon
   at dsample.f:208
#9 0x000000000042ebc0 in driver () at driver.f:256
#10 0x00000000040371f in main (argc=<optimized out>, argv=<optimized out>) at driver.f:301
#11 0x00007ffff743feb0 in libc start call main () from /lib64/libc.so.6
#12 0x00007ffff743ff60 in __libc_start_main_impl () from /lib64/libc.so.6
#13 0x00000000000403845 in _start ()
(gdb) 1
1184
                  const int ievt = ievt00 + ieppV;
                 //printf( "sigmaKin: ievt=%4d rndcol=%f\n", ievt, allrndcol[ievt] );
1185
                  for( int icolC = 0; icolC < ncolor; icolC++ )
1187
1188
       #if defined MGONGPU CPPSIMD
1189
                   const bool okcol = allrndcol[ievt] < ( targetamp[icolC][ieppV] / targetamp[ncolor - 1][ieppV]</pre>
1190
       #else
1191
                   const bool okcol = allrndcol[ievt] < ( targetamp[icolC] / targetamp[ncolor - 1] );</pre>
       #endif
```



A snapshot of other issues

- There is a different SIGFPE crash #845 in cudacpp function sigmakin
 - Intermittent: same binary executable sometimes crashes and sometimes does not...
 - This seems most likely related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors?
- There is a color mismatch #856 in LHE files
 - This is clearly related to the wrong/missing iconfig-ichannel map for colors
- There is still the zero cross section I reported in #826
 - Olivier's patch does not fix this for me
- Olivier mentioned a few issues he identified in tests with Stefan
 - Can you provide a detailed reproducer please?
 - Some of these may be related to what I described, some may not
- Last point: IMO it is imperative that we have QUICK systematic tests of "launch"
 - See discussion in #711: allow generate events with lower precision i.e. fewer events
 - We did not agree on this last year I think the issues we see now are a consequence of that
 - This would have tested systematically all iconfig for all processes
- My opinion: we need tests, tests, tests...

AV – BSM update, new SIGFPEs etc 4 June 2024 6

A crash others see

Can we have a reproducer for this please?
Which process, which commands...

(In GENERAL: can we have reproducers please before committing fixes?...)

Anyway: I assume it is related to my #845 crash and will test this against it...

And maybe it might fix the rotxxx crash too, but I would not bet on it...

