

Status of PRs towards a release (plus CMS DY+jets and a few other things)

Andrea Valassi (CERN)

(describing also work done with and/or by Olivier - thanks!)

Madgraph on GPU development meeting, 17th September 2024 <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1355162</u>

(previous update was last week on September 17 – only mentioning changes since then)



Packaging – 4 weeks ago

A tale of two repositories (now)

mg5amcnlo

https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo

- *the* MG5AMC repo (previously launchpad)
- python framework, fortran codegen
- permissive NCSA-style license
- A specific commit is in madgraph4gpu -

Important branches for GPU/SIMD work:

- gpucpp (the baseline: merge here!)
- gpucpp_june24 (channelid array) MERGED
- gpucpp_goodhel (new helicity filter) wip
- gpucpp_for360 (complete 3.6.0 sync) wip

madgraph4gpu

https://github.com/madgraph5/madgraph4gpu

- cudacpp plugin (cuda/c++ codegen)
- generated code, tests, results (+legacy stuff)
- more restrictive LGPL license

Includes mg5amcnlo as a git submodule

Important branches for GPU/SIMD work:

- master (the baseline: merge here!)
- master_june24 (...) MERGED
- master_goodhel (...) WIP
- master_for360 (...) WIP

Status: finally merged "june24" this week; now fixing the conflicts with "goodhel" and "for360" Aim for a v3.6.0 release including the GPU/SIMD support... possibly by end September!?



A. Valassi – status of MG5AMC (LO) on GPU and SIMD

CERN A.

Packaging – 4 weeks ago

cudacpp plugin (LGPL)

mg5amcnlo cudacpp (OLD WIP AUG 2023)

https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo_cudacpp

A specific commit is in mg5amcnlo

Still a tale of two repositories (later)?

Option 1 – our assumption so far

mg5amcnlo

https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo

the MG5AMC repo (NCSA-style)
 Includes cudacpp as a git submodule
 in PLUGIN/CUDACPP OUTPUT

Option 2? - recent discussion AV/OM

mg5amcnlo https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo Includes cudacpp as a subdirectory in PLUGIN/CUDACPP_OUTPUT

Advantages/Disadvantages?

- Option 1 gives cleaner separation; but merge conflicts with git submodules are hard
- Option 2 is easier to manage, but more monolithic; following up if licensing is ok



A. Valassi – status of MG5AMC (LO) on GPU and SIMD

6 September 2024 4/8

CERN

Packaging – two weeks ago

Next priority: packaging (3)

- I am not entirely sure which option I prefer I ask here before doing real work...
 - Option 1 gives cleaner separation; but merge conflicts with git submodules are hard
 - Option 2 easier to manage, but more monolithic; following up (OSPO) if licensing is ok
 - (Option 3 keep madgraph4gpu and restructure it? probably better not...)
- I have a slight preference for Option 2 however (i.e. a single repo)
 - a specific version of cudacpp needs a ~specific version of mg5amcnlo
 - a specific version of mg5amcnlo needs a ~specific version of cudacpp
 - having them in a single repo simplifies this bi-directional dependency
 - and, again, simplifies the handling of PRs, which may be a complete mess with git submodules
- Concrete proposal for mg5amcnlo?
 - mg5amcnlo has its own main branch for releases (currently branch "3.x" IIUC?)
 - permanently maintain our "gpucpp" branch now including PLUGIN/CUDACPP_OUTPUT
 - periodically merge gpucpp into 3.x (this is what other development lines do too, right?)
- Things to do (AV), whether we go for Option 1 or Option 2
 - Prepare the move out of madgraph4gpu, including history and preserving links
 - Prepare some scripts for further resync from/to madgraph4gpu (there is still WIP there...)



A. Valassi – status of PRs, CMS DY+jets, etc.

17 September 2024

5



Packaging – two weeks ago now

Next priority: packaging (3)	Very useful discussion at the meeting 17 Sep (and later with OM)
 I am not entirely sure which option I prefer – I ask here before doing real work – Option 1 gives cleaner separation; but merge conflicts with git submodules are hard – Option 2 easier to manage, but more monolithic; following up (OSPO) if licensing is ok – (Option 3 keep madgraph4gpu and restructure it? probably better not) 	And the answer is ~ Option 3!
 I have a slight preference for Option 2 however (i.e. a single repo) a specific version of cudacpp needs a ~specific version of mg5amcnlo a specific version of mg5amcnlo needs a ~specific version of cudacpp having them in a single repo simplifies this bi-directional dependency and, again, simplifies the handling of PRs, which may be a complete mess with git submodules 	Keep mg5amcnlo as a git submodule in cudacpp Download cudacpp as a tarball into mg5amcnlo
 Concrete proposal for mg5amcnlo? mg5amcnlo has its own main branch for releases (currently branch "3.x" IIUC?) permanently maintain our "gpucpp" branch now including PLUGIN/CUDACPP_OUTPUT periodically merge gpucpp into 3.x (this is what other development lines do too, right?) 	
 Things to do (AV), whether we go for Option 1 or Option 2 Prepare the move out of madgraph4gpu, including history and preserving links Prepare some scripts for further resync from/to madgraph4gpu (there is still WIP there) 	May keep madgraph4gpu ~as-is for the moment (and clean it later) Issues and PRs remain
A. Valassi – status of PRs, CMS DY+jets, etc. 17 September 2024 5	Commit history remains



A tale of two repositories (again)

<u>TODO on madgraph4gpu (some of this may be done after the release!)</u> Remove legacy stuff (e.g. epoch0, epoch1; alpaka, kokkos; sycl??...) Simplify the directory structure Rename the repo (from madgraph4gpu to mg5amcnlo_gpucpp?) Move the repo (from madgraph5 to mg5amcnlo)

mg5amcnlo

https://github.com/mg5amcnlo/mg5amcnlo

- the MG5AMC repo (previously launchpad)
- python framework, fortran codegen
- permissive NCSA-style license
 A specific commit is in madgraph4gpu

Important branches for GPU/SIMD work:

- 3.x (the release: now includes gpucpp!)
- **gpucpp** (still the baseline, merge here?)

madgraph4gpu RENAME! MOVE!

https://github.com/madgraph5/madgraph4gpu

- cudacpp plugin (cuda/c++ codegen)
- keep generated code, tests, results?
- keep sycl in a separate directory?
- more restrictive LGPL license
- Includes mg5amcnlo as a git submodule

Important branches for GPU/SIMD work:

• **master** (the baseline: merge here!)



Quick overview of recent work (AV + OM)

- Will give details in PR #1008
- Manual script: "./gitTag.sh v1.0.0_pre1"
 - Creates tag "cudacpp_for3.6.0_v1.0.0_pre1" (finds 3.6.0, checks 1.0.0)
- CI machinery "archiver"
 - Triggered on new tags "cudacpp_for*"
 - Creates cudacpp.tar.gz and VERSION.txt
 - Creates github release from git tag, uploads cudacpp.tar.gz and VERSION.txt
 - Creates a second running git tag "cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest"
 - Creates a second github release from this second git tag, uploads the same files
 - Creates version_info.dat
 - This links 3.6.0 to cudacpp.tar.gz for "cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest
- HEPToolsInstaller patches
 - Initially hardcoded rules to find cudacpp.tar.gz for "cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest
 - Now back to Olivier's preferred mechanism using version_info.dat



Example release

https://github.com/valassi/madgraph4gpu/releases/tag/valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest

Releases / valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest

valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest (Latest		Compare 🔹 🖉 🖞
💽 github-actions released this 1 hour ago 🕠 0 commits to actions since this release 🛛 🔊 valassi_cudacp 👴 ff6bbfc		
Running tag valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest This is equivalent to version tag valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_v1.0.0_test001		
TARBALL DATE: 2024-10-01_12:31:54 UTC commit ff6bbfc		
▼ Assets (4)		
∲cudacpp.tar.gz	6.43 MB	1 hour ago
⊘ VERSION.txt	539 Bytes	1 hour ago
Source code (zip)		1 hour ago
Source code (tar.gz)		1 hour ago
\odot		



Example version_info.dat

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/valassi/madgraph4gpu/refs/heads/INFO/version_info.dat

3.6.0 https://github.com/valassi/madgraph4gpu//releases/download/valassi_cudacpp_for3.6.0_latest/cudacpp.tar.gz

