Engineering for Diamond-II

Sarah Macdonell

Diamond-II: challenges and novel solutions for upgrading the national synchrotron light facility

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

19th January 2024

Introduction

Engineering for Diamond-II

➢ Storage Ring

➢ Front Ends

➢ Injection

➢ Beamlines

What are we doing?

 \triangleright Upgrading the storage ring, injector and Front Ends

- \triangleright Requires removing almost all of the current machine and replacing with the Diamond-II machine
- ➢New machine is based on a Double Triple Bend Achromat ➢Based on ESRF EBS upgrade \triangleright Reduces emittance – increasing brightness and coherent fraction ➢Introduces 24 'mid-straight' sections for new insertion devices \triangleright Energy is increasing to 3.5GeV
- ➢3 New Flagship Beamlines
- ➢ Major & Minor Upgrades to existing Beamlines

Girder Requirements

- Girder Vibration response
	- Requirement e-beam must not deviate in position by more than a 3% of the rms beam size in the straights up to 1 kHz with Fast Orbit Feed-Back (FOFB) ON
- Girder Alignment Requirements:
	- The Girder Global Position [μm] : ≤150
	- Dipole alignment/roll within girder [µm/µrad] : DQ 50/100

DL 100/100

• Quad., Sext., Oct. alignment/roll within girder [µm/µrad]: 40/100

Prototype Girder

Motion Testing

- Feasibility of manually adjusting the wedge jacks as follows:
	- 1. Moves effected by 4 end jacks (1,5,6,10)
	- 2. Next 4 jacks engaged following move to increase system stiffness (2,4,7,9)
	- 1. All 8 jacks fine tuned
- All moves monitored and measured with:
	- 8 Linear encoders on underside of Girder
	- 12 Linear encoders (DTI) between magnets
	- 4 Inclinometers (2 Pitch & 2 Roll)
	- Full magnet survey with tracker before and after moves

Requirements

5

S Macdonell, Engineering for Diamond-II, RAL, 19/01/2024

* Derived from linear axes with zero linear offsets from nominal

DTI's mounted between magnets

Prototype Girder – +0.5 mm Heave

Prototype Girder Relative Movement

- Manual alignment of the girder with 4 jacks has been shown to be feasible for relative moves
	- Test heave, pitch and roll moves all within required accuracy from linear encoders, DTI and laser tracker average values
		- Further confirmation with multi tracker setup required
	- Inclinometer measurements for pitch and roll moves are outside of required accuracy at +/- 15 µrad
		- Not driven by manual actuation
	- Potentially significant cost saving with this change
	- Confirmed feasibility of manual alignment
- Also proven that the 4 inner jacks can be engaged and preloaded without significant impact on the girder alignment
	- Improving vibration stability as secondary supports and aligning with previously reported 8 jack configuration tested

Prototype Girder Positioning

- Simulated build $-$ alignment $-$ move $-$ installation
	- Trial to simulate full assembly to installation sequence of girder carried out
	- All laser tracker measurements within measurement uncertainty from build to installation states
		- Laser tracker average difference between any two magnets 13 μ m, max 44 μ m
		- Laser tracker average difference between any adjacent magnets 6 μ m, max 27 μ m
	- At the limit of single shot laser tracker measurement uncertainty
	- Completed trials with multiple tracker setups and bundle adjustment required to confirm the above to required uncertainty

Prototype Girder Developments

Updated prototype floor plate design completion to resolve issues with current design

Girder Vibration

- Girder vibration response
	- Requirement e-beam must not deviate in position by more than a 3% of the rms beam size in the straights up to 1 kHz
		- Many contributing factors: magnet PSU noise, BPM noise, Girder vibration
		- Requirement is with FOFB ON
		- Passively the prototype girder + PSU = V 4.4%, H 2.2% of RMS beam size

Rigid roll 27 Hz Torsion / yaw 34 Hz Horizontal bending 60Hz

	Configuration	Jacks Removed	Rocking Mode (Hz)			Torsion Mode (Hz)			Horizontal Bending Mode (Hz)		
			FEA	EMA		FEA	EMA		FEA	EMA	
	9	10 Wedge Jacks	28.5	26.6	$-7%$	36.7	34.3	$-7%$	60.3	60.2	0%
	6	3 & 8	25.6	23.4	$-9%$	36.6	34.4	-6%	60.3	56.3	$-7%$
		2 & 7	25.6	21.9	$-17%$	35.5	34.4	$-3%$	69.4	59.4	$-17%$
No FOFB	8	5&6	22.6	22.7	0%	28.3	31.3	10%	59.8	58.6	$-2%$

No FOFB

Storage Ring - Other

- Key Developments
	- Vessel 2 Aluminium design changed to Copper
		- Resolving I05 power load issues
		- Improving Impedance
		- Reducing Cost*
	- Prototype Vessels
		- Most of the prototype vessels have been delivered now
	- DL magnet is undergoing magnetic measurements currently
	- Solutions for found for special B23 & B22 beam extractions and magnets

C: Steady-State Thermal
Temperature 2
Type: Temperature
Unit: "C
Time: 2
17/01/2023 09:01

$\frac{1}{2}$ 94.6 Max

Upgrade of 29 existing front ends

- \geq 24 existing insertion device front end upgrade
	- *C1: New module zero*
	- *C2: Accommodating NC cavity*
	- *C3: Front end realignment*
	- *C4: Changes to wall penetration shielding*
	- *C5: Wall hole modification*
	- *C6: Change of photon exit aperture*
	- *C7: Upgrade of Absorbers*
	- *C8: Change of beam splitters*
	- *C9: Additional lead shielding*

S Macdonell, Engineering for Diamond-II, RAL, 19/01/2024 1824 1825 1820 1820 1820 1820

Diamond-II TDR Table 2.5.4.2-1 Changes required to the existing insertion device front ends n/a= not applicable

- \triangleright 5 existing bending magnet front end upgrade
- New front end for K07.

K07 will have a ID branch and a BM branch. Two beams cross in the front end and beamline Mirror 1 is in the front end. Challenging front end design and challenging radiation management.

- New front end for B16, B18 and B21. Complications on radiation management due to the wall thickness of the existing optics hutch
- B24 new upgrades to be confirmed. Change wall penetration shielding

- Compact slits is required to solve the space shortage issue at the front end.
- The design has been developed based on a rotatable slits concept presented in MEDSI proceedings in 2014 by Oliver Schmidt from APS.
- The rotatable slits have all four beam defining blades integrated into one assembly module.
- Can vary the size of one branch of the beam while allowing the other branch of the beam to pass through unimpaired

Example 7 Analyzis For Diamond-II, 1989 1974 Slits are placed at around 13 -14m from the source

Prototype Custom Aperture

- One bottle neck of exiting front end modifications is the requirement of Ø 1mm pin hole Custom Aperture for several front ends in Diamond-II.
- To facilitate the fabrication, the copper body of the custom aperture is split.
- A prototype is made for the downstream copper body that has the \emptyset 1mm aperture.
- The aperture size is confirmed with a Go No-Go gauge. It is 0.98 to 1mm.
- Seeking precision measurements for the pin hole because of CMM limitations.

Go Ø 0.995 mm No go Ø 1 mm

S Macdonell, Engineering for Diamond-II, RAL, 19/01/2024 19 18 January 2024

Injector

- New booster
- Modifications to transfer lines
- New injection into the SR

Diamond-II Beamline Upgrades

• **3 New Flagship beamlines in design**

- SWIFT: Fast Operando Spectroscopy
- K04: Ultra-high throughput for MX and XChem
- CSXID: Coherent Soft X-Ray Imaging and Diffraction
- **4 Bending Magnet beamlines being upgraded to new sources which require major interventions with new optics and components, shielding etc**
	- B07: Versatile Soft X-ray (VerSoX) Beamline
	- B16: Test Beamline
	- B18: Core XAS
	- B21: High-throughput small-angle X-ray scattering

• **3 Bending Magnet beamlines requiring new optics in front end**

- B22: Multimode InfraRed Imaging and Microspectroscopy
- B23: Circular Dichroism
- B24: 3D Correlative Cryo-Imaging
- **Most other beamlines requiring medium or small updates**
	- Optics, shielding, slits, diagnostics, collimators, windows etc

CSXID Flagship Beamline

K04 Flagship Beamline

Project Split into 4 Workstreams:

- Beam Delivery
- MX UHT End Station
- Automated X-Chem Lab
- Software

SWIFT Flagship Beamline

Beamline Components - largely DLS design except M1/M2, KBM & Slit bodies

SWIFT Monochromators

Two Interchangeable LN2 Cooled Monochromators

- "Standard" Fixed Offset DCM
- QEXAFS DCM Channel Cut
- Two Crystal Sets
- Energy range 4-36 keV
- 400W power

Both essentially deliver beam to the same point on M2

SWIFT Monochromators QEXAFS

Scanning Range (Scans can last many hours)

- +/-0.25deg @ 25Hz (5deg Bragg Angle)
- +/-3deg @7Hz (30deg Bragg Angle)

Challenges

- Minimize Inertia
- Vibrations? (Impact on others!)
- Heat loads (400W) / Slope Errors (0.3µrad)
- Parallelism between channel cut crystal faces
- Cooling connections / leaks
- Loosening fixings / components

Acknowledgements

Entire Engineering Group

With particular mention of:

Paul Vivian, Walter Tizzano, Xia Liu, Claudio Bovo, Andy Peach, Graham Duller

Thanks for listening!

