

# Talk 1 – I. Mases

- **Intensity ramp-up**
  - Standard beam: from  $2.2 \times 10^{11}$  ppb to  $2.3 \times 10^{11}$  ppb and explore margins to improve robustness and reproducibility
    - MKDH conditioning
      - Why did we get stuck at the end of the run? There is one main reason: 1) we were asked to not push the intensity to avoid to break the wire scanner we did just consolidation, 2) it is also true that frequency of MD decreased: scrubbing of MKDH is less effective, long flat top is critical to have efficient MKDH scrubbing 3) Moreover there were issue with RF 800 MHz cavities.
  - 8b4e beam: from  $2.15 \times 10^{11}$  ppb to  $2.5 \times 10^{11}$  ppb and explore margins to improve robustness and reproducibility
    - Vacuum activity close to TT60 junction
- **Wire scanner**
  - No issue expected during 2024 run (even during long flat top scrubbing if SFTPRO in parallel)
    - Run with SFTPRO in parallel during long flat top scrubbing. BI is implementing warning levels
- **Horizontal high bandwidth feedback system**
  - Horizontal stability ok if bunch length from PS is under control
    - Up to which intensity we can go? Should be simulated and/or tested!

# Talk 2 – I. Karpov

- **PS reliability**

- Bunch length

- 3.6 ns achieved. To make this operational best performance should be maintained during the run

- **Cavity faults vs intensity**

- Not clear correlation with beam intensity

- **SPS longitudinal instability**

- Instability driven by the 915 MHz HOM to be studied further (not limiting up to  $2.2 \times 10^{11}$  ppb with 288 bunches)

- **SPS RF power**

- Siemens power plants limited at 80% of LIU design. Other plants at design peak power

# Talk 3 – TDIS etc. (C. Sharp)

- **TDIS bellows weakness**
  - Understood but devices will remain noncompliant in 2024 run
    - Unnecessary cycles of jaws to be avoided
  - Compliant spares target summer 2024 with possibly additional instrumentations (thermocouples and feed throughs)
- **TDIS RF screen temperatures**
  - High temperature readings during 2023 not understood
  - Interlock will stay at 150 C until spares will be available
  - Strategy to be defined: opening to reduce temperature vs limiting number of bellows cycles
- **TCPC (crystal collimators)**
  - Offset for crystal channeling angle possibly due to localized heating
    - Studies are ongoing and impact on operation to be addressed
- **SPS scraper**
  - Movement issue is control driven and under investigation but no showstopper foreseen for 2024

# Talk 4 - kickers (G. Favia)

- **MKP-S**

- Defining scrubbing speed in 2023 due to heating
  - Mitigation options available on different timescale
    - 10 mm beam offset (first trial during 2024 scrubbing), cooling and design modifications (longer term)

- **MKDH**

- MKDH1 and MKDH3 (type A): significant kick reduction probably due to eddy currents in too thin lamination
  - Need to investigate if missing redundancy is still ok
  - Spare availability: presently only one MKDH2 (type B)
  - Failure requiring exchange would imply long conditioning time

- **MKI cool**

- Heating reduction confirmed during 2023 run
- MKI-cool #2 ready for installation (this YETS)

- **MKB flashover**

- Dust is a probable cause: **improved procedure for installation of plasma screens** during YETS 23/24

# Talk 5 –LHC RF fingers (C. Antuono, P. Krkotic)

- **Possible failure mechanism identified: localized heating on spring**
  - Simulation model shows localized heating on the spring consistently with observations
  - Beam induced power strongly depends on contact quality
    - No issues expected with ideal contact!
  - Contact quality of each module is unknown: intensity threshold cannot be predicted
- **Do we expect additional failures during 2024 run**
  - 24 modules with spring+finger design remain: in 2023 the failure was observed at  $1.63e11$  ppb
  - Risk of additional failures at higher intensities
    - Failure recovery similar to 2023 (from 2 days to 2 weeks depending on location)
- **Could smaller modules be affected?**
  - They are expected to be less critical: simulation studies are ongoing on 130 mm modules for a quantitative comparison
- **Presently impedance mitigation of the remaining 24 standard modules is not under consideration for this YETS**
  - Impedance mitigations are being investigated and will likely be implemented during YETS 24/25

