Synchrotron Light:

Electron Beam Dynamics

Lenny Rivkin

Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) and Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne (EPFL)



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

Synchrotron Light

Lenny Rivkin

Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) and Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne (EPFL)



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

EPF

Curved orbit of electrons in magnet field





Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

EPFI

Electromagnetic waves or photons



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

— EPFI

Crab Nebula 6000 light years away





First light observed 1054 AD

GE Synchrotron New York State



G Ε Ν Е R A \mathbf{O} Ν

First light observed 24 April, 1947

- 1873 Maxwell's equations
- •1887 Hertz: electromagnetic waves
- 1898 Liénard: retarded potentials
- •1900 Wiechert: retarded potentials
- 1908Schott: Adams Prize Essay

... waiting for accelerators ... 1940: 2.3 MeV betatron,Kerst, Serber



Maxwell equations (poetry)

War es ein Gott, der diese Zeichen schrieb Die mit geheimnisvoll verborg 'nem Trieb Die Kräfte der Natur um mich enthüllen Und mir das Herz mit stiller Freude füllen. Ludwig Boltzman



Was it a God whose inspiration Led him to write these fine equations Nature's fields to me he shows And so my heart with pleasure glows. translated by John P. Blewett Synchrotron radiation: some dates

- •1873 Maxwell's equations
- •1887 Hertz: electromagnetic waves
- 1898 Liénard: retarded potentials
- •1900 Wiechert: retarded potentials
- 1908 Schott: Adams Prize Essay

... waiting for accelerators ... 1940: 2.3 MeV betatron,Kerst, Serber





THEORETICAL UNDERSTANDING \rightarrow

1873 Maxwell's equations

 \rightarrow made evident that changing charge densities would result in electric fields that would radiate outward

1887 Heinrich Hertz demonstrated such waves:







It's of no use whatsoever[...] this is just an experiment that proves Maestro Maxwell was right—we just have these mysterious electromagnetic waves that we cannot see with the naked eye. But they are there.

Synchrotron radiation: some dates

•1873 Maxwell's equations

Image: 1887 Hertz: electromagnetic waves

- 1898 Liénard: retarded potentials
- •1900 Wiechert: retarded potentials

1908 Schott: Adams Prize Essay (330 pages)

... waiting for accelerators ... 1940: 2.3 MeV betatron,Kerst, Serber

Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa

L'Éclairage Électrique

REVUE HEBDOMADAIRE D'ÉLECTRICITÉ

DIRECTION SCIENTIFIQUE

A. CORNU, Professeur & l'École Polytechnique, Membre de l'Institut. --- A. D'ARSONVAL, Professeur au Collége de France, Membre de l'Institut. - G. LIPPMANN, Professeur à la Sorbonne, Membre de l'Institut. -D. MONNIER, Professeur à l'École centrale des Arts et Manufactures. - H. POINCARE, Professeur à la Sorbonne, Membre de l'Institut. - A. POTIER, Professeur à l'École des Mines, Membre de l'Institut. -J. BLONDIN, Professeur agrégé de l'Université. CHAMP ÉLECTRIQUE ET MAGNÉTIQUE PRODUIT PAR UNE CHARGE ÉLECTRIQUE CONCENTRÉE EN UN POINT ET ANIMÉE D'UN MOUVEMENT QUELCONQUE Soient maintenant quatre fonctions 4, F, Admettons qu'une masse électrique en mouvement de densité p et de vitesse u en G, H definies par les conditions chaque point produit le même champ qu'un $\left(\mathbf{V}^{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\frac{d^{i}}{dt^{2}}\right)\boldsymbol{\psi}=-4\pi\mathbf{V}^{2}\boldsymbol{\rho}.$ (7) courant de conduction d'intensité up. En conservant les notations d'un précédent article (1) $\left(\nabla^2 \Delta - \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right) \mathbf{F} = -4\pi \nabla^2 p \mathbf{u} \mathbf{r}$ nous obtiendrons pour déterminer le champ, $\left(V^2 \Delta - \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right) G = -4\pi p u_F$ les équations (8) $\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dy} - \frac{d3}{dz}\right) = \varphi u_x + \frac{df}{dt}$ $V^2 \left(\frac{dh}{dy} - \frac{dg}{dz}\right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{dz}{dt}$ $\left(V^{2}\Delta - \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}\right)H = -4\pi V^{2}\rho u_{i}$ (1) (2) On satisfera aux conditions (5) et (6) en prenant 'avec les analogues déduites par permutation $4\pi f = -\frac{d\frac{1}{Y}}{dx} - \frac{1}{V^{2}}\frac{dF}{dt}$ $\alpha = \frac{d\Pi}{dY} - \frac{dG}{dz}.$ (9)tournante et en outre les suivantes (10) $z = \left(\frac{df}{dx} + \frac{dg}{dy} + \frac{dh}{dt_i}\right)$ $\frac{dz}{dx} + \frac{d3}{dy} + \frac{dy}{dt_i} = 0.$ (3) Quant aux équations (1) à (4), pour qu'elles (4)soient satisfaites, il faudra que, en plus de (7) et (8), on ait la condition De ce système d'équations on déduit facilement les relations $\frac{d\psi}{dt} + \frac{dF}{dx} + \frac{dG}{dx} + \frac{dH}{dz} = 0.$ $\left(V^2 \Delta - \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right) f = V^2 \frac{dz}{dx} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(z u_X\right)$ (5) Occupons-nous d'abord de l'équation (7). $\left(\nabla^2 \Delta - \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right) \mathbf{z} = 4\pi \nabla^2 \left[\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(z u_T\right) - \frac{d}{dy} \left(z u_T\right)\right] \quad (6)$ On sait que la solution la plus générale est la suivante : $\psi = \int \frac{\rho \left[x', y', \zeta, t - \frac{r}{V} \right]}{dw'} dw'$ (1) La théorie de Lorentz, L'Éclairage Électrique, t. XIV, P. 417. 4, 5, 7, sont les composantes de la force magnétique et f. g. b, celles du déplacement dans l'éther.

Fig. 1. First page of Liénard's 1898 paper

Donald Kerst: first betatron (1940)



"Ausserordentlichhochgeschwindigkeitelektronenentwickelnden schwerarbeitsbeigollitron" Synchrotron radiation: some dates

. . .

- 1946 Blewett observes energy loss due to synchrotron radiation 100 MeV betatron
- •1947First visual observation of SRNAME!70 MeV synchrotron, GE Lab
- I949 Schwinger PhysRev paper
- 1976 Madey: first demonstration of Free Electron laser





Why do they radiate?





Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

Synchrotron Radiation is not as simple as it seems

... I will try to show that it is much simpler



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

Charge at rest Coulomb field, no radiation









Uniformly moving charge does not radiate



But! Cerenkov!





EPF

Free isolated electron cannot emit a photon

Easy proof using 4-vectors and relativity

momentum conservation if a photon is emitted

$$\boldsymbol{P}_i = \boldsymbol{P}_f + \boldsymbol{P}_{\gamma}$$

square both sides

$$m^2 = m^2 + 2\mathbf{P}_f \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\gamma} + 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\gamma} = 0$$

in the rest frame of the electron

$$\boldsymbol{P}_f = (m, 0) \qquad \boldsymbol{P}_{\gamma} = (E_{\gamma}, p_{\gamma})$$

this means that the photon energy must be zero.



We need to separate the field from charge



Bremsstrahlung or "braking" radiation



Transition Radiation



$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \mu_1}} \qquad c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_2 \mu_2}}$$

Liénard-Wiechert potentials

$$\varphi(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\left[\mathbf{r}(1-\mathbf{\vec{n}}\cdot\mathbf{\vec{\beta}})\right]_{ret}} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{\vec{A}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\mathbf{q}}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \mathbf{c}^2 \left[\frac{\mathbf{\vec{v}}}{\mathbf{r}(1-\mathbf{\vec{n}}\cdot\mathbf{\vec{\beta}})}\right]_{ret}$$

and the electromagnetic fields:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = 0$$
 (Lorentz gauge)

$$\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}} = -\nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \frac{\partial \vec{\mathbf{A}}}{\partial t}$$

Fields of a moving charge

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}}(t) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left[\frac{\vec{\mathbf{n}} - \vec{\beta}}{\left(1 - \vec{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\beta}\right)^3 \gamma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}^2} \right]_{ret} + \text{``near field''}$$

$$\frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 c} \left[\frac{\vec{\mathbf{n}} \times \left[(\vec{\mathbf{n}} - \vec{\beta}) \times \vec{\beta} \right]}{\left(1 - \vec{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\beta} \right)^3 \gamma^2} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \right]_{ret}$$
 "far field"

$$\vec{\mathbf{B}}(t) = \frac{1}{c} [\vec{\mathbf{n}} \times \vec{\mathbf{E}}]$$

Energy flow integrated over a sphere

Power ~
$$E^2$$
 · Area $A = 4\pi r^2$

Near field
$$P \propto \frac{1}{r^4} r^2 \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$$

Far field
$$P \propto \frac{1}{r^2} r^2 \propto const$$

Radiation = constant flow of energy to infinity

Transverse acceleration



Radiation field quickly separates itself from the Coulomb field



Longitudinal acceleration



Radiation field cannot separate itself from the Coulomb field

Synchrotron Radiation Basic Properties

EPE



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

Beams of ultra-relativistic particles: e.g. a race to the Moon

An electron with energy of a few GeV emits a photon... a race to the Moon!

$$\Delta t = \frac{L}{\beta c} - \frac{L}{c} = \frac{L}{\beta c} (1 - \beta) \sim \frac{L}{\beta c} \cdot \frac{1}{2\gamma^2}$$

Electron will lose

- by only 8 meters
- the race will last only 1.3 seconds

$$\Delta L = L(1-\beta) \cong \frac{L}{2\gamma^2}$$







Moving Source of Waves: Doppler effect



Time compression

Electron with velocity β emits a wave with period T_{emit} while the observer sees a different period T_{obs} because the electron was moving towards the observer



The wavelength is shortened by the same factor

$$\lambda_{obs} = (1 - \beta \cos \theta) \lambda_{emit}$$

in ultra-relativistic case, looking along a tangent to the trajectory



since

$$1 - \beta = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{1 + \beta} \cong \frac{1}{2\gamma^2}$$

Radiation is emitted into a narrow cone



Sound waves (non-relativistic)

Angular collimation





Doppler effect (moving source of sound)

$$\lambda_{heard} = \lambda_{emitted} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}_s} \right)$$



Synchrotron radiation power



$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{r_e}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 8.858 \cdot 10^{-5} \left[\frac{\text{m}}{\text{GeV}^3}\right]$$



Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, September 27, 2024

The power is all too real!



ig. 12. Damaged X-ray ring front end gate valve. The power incident on the valve was approximately 1 kW for a duration estimated to 2-10 min and drilled a hole through the valve plate.

Synchrotron radiation power

Power emitted is proportional to:



$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{r_e}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 8.858 \cdot 10^{-5} \left[\frac{\text{m}}{\text{GeV}^3}\right]$$



$$P_{\gamma} = \frac{2}{3}\alpha\hbar c^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^2}$$



$$\hbar c = 197 \text{ Mev} \cdot \text{fm}$$

$$U_0 = \frac{4\pi}{3} \alpha \hbar c \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho}$$

🌒 PSL

$$U_0 = C_{\gamma} \cdot \frac{E^4}{\rho}$$

- EPFL -

The power is all too real!

SR Power [MW] = Energy loss per turn [MeV] x Beam current [A]

Collider	FCC-ee			CEPC	LEP2
Beam energy [GeV]	45	120	182.5	120	100
Beam current [mA]	1390	29	5.4	17.4	3
Energy loss/turn [MeV]	36	1720	9200	1730	3340
Total SR power [MW]		100		60	22

Typical frequency of synchrotron light

Due to extreme collimation of light observer sees only a small portion of electron trajectory (a few mm)


Short magnet: higher energy photons

When Lorentz factor is not very high (e.g. protons)...



Other Ideas? Pulse length: difference in times it takes an electron and a photon to cover this distance

$$\Delta t \sim \frac{l}{\beta c} - \frac{l}{c} = \frac{l}{\beta c} (1 - \beta)$$



Spectrum of synchrotron radiation

• Synchrotron light comes in a series of flashes every T_0 (revolution period)

 the spectrum consists of harmonics of

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{T_0}$$



 flashes are extremely short: harmonics reach up to very high frequencies

$$\omega_{typ} \cong \gamma^3 \omega_0$$

$$\omega_0 \sim 1 \text{ MHz}$$

 $\gamma \sim 4000$
 $\omega_{\text{typ}} \sim 10^{16} \text{ Hz !}$

• At high frequencies the individual harmonics overlap

continuous spectrum !



Wavelength continuously tunable !





Synchrotron radiation in the collective field of the bunch

The onset of the quantum regime: the critical photon energy, calculated with classical formulae can exceed the electron energy! Need to take into account the recoil.

$$\varepsilon_c[GeV] = 0.664 \cdot E^2[TeV] \cdot B[T]$$

- Center of mass collision energy is not well defined
- Backgrounds: direct synchrotron radiation
- Backgrounds: pair production from high energy photons



Fields of a long bunch (linear charge density λ)



 $B_{\theta}[T] = \frac{1}{2}E_r \left| \frac{v}{2} \right|$

 $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \, \frac{V \cdot s}{A \cdot m}$ $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \, \frac{C}{V \cdot m}$

Fields in the bunch

Round uniform distribution

$$E_r = \frac{eN}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 l} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \qquad r > a$$
$$E_r = \frac{eN}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 l} \cdot \frac{r}{a^2} \qquad r < a$$

Round Gaussian distribution

$$E_{r} = \frac{eN}{2\pi\varepsilon_{0}l\sigma} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}}{\frac{r}{\sigma}} \right]$$



H. Wiedemann, *Synchrotron Radiation*Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2003
H. Wiedemann, *Particle Accelerator Physics*Springer, 2015 <u>Open Access</u>

A.Hofmann, *The Physics of Synchrotron Radiation* Cambridge University Press 2004

A. W. Chao, *Lectures on Accelerator Physics*, World Scientific 2020
A. W. Chao, M. Tigner, *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*, World Scientific 2013



Radiation is emitted into a narrow cone



Radiation effects in electron storage rings

Average radiated power restored by RF

- Electron loses energy each turn to synchrotron radiation
- RF cavities accelerate electrons back to the nominal energy

Radiation damping

 Average rate of energy loss produces DAMPING of electron oscillations in all three degrees of freedom (if properly arranged!)

Quantum fluctuations

 Statistical fluctuations in energy loss (from quantized emission of radiation) produce RANDOM EXCITATION of these oscillations

Equilibrium distributions

 The balance between the damping and the excitation of the electron oscillations determines the equilibrium distribution of particles in the beam

$$U_0 \cong 10^{-3} \text{ of } E$$

gy $V_{RF} > U_0$

Radiation damping

Transverse oscillations



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

Electron Beam Dynamics, L. Rivkin, CAS Introduction to Accelerator Physics, Santa Susanna, October 1, 2023

Average energy loss and gain per turn

 Every turn electron radiates small amount of energy

$$E_1 = E_0 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} \right)$$

 only the amplitude of the momentum changes

- Only the longitudinal component of the momentum is increased in the RF cavity
- Energy of betatron oscillation



$$A_1^2 = A_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{E_0} \right)$$
 or $A_1 \cong A_0 \left(1 - \frac{U_0}{2E_0} \right)$





Damping of vertical oscillations

But this is just the exponential decay law!

$$\frac{\Delta A}{A} = -\frac{U_0}{2E} \qquad \qquad A = A_0 \cdot e^{-t/\tau}$$

 The oscillations are exponentially damped with the damping time (milliseconds!)

$$\tau = \frac{2ET_0}{U_0}$$

the time it would take particle to 'lose all of its energy'

In terms of radiation power

$$\tau = \frac{2E}{P_{\gamma}}$$

$$P_{\gamma} \propto E^4$$



Adiabatic damping in linear accelerators

In a linear accelerator:

$$x' = \frac{p_{\perp}}{p}$$
 decreases $\propto \frac{1}{E}$



In a **storage ring** beam passes many times through same RF cavity



Clean loss of energy every turn (no change in x')

- Every turn is re-accelerated by RF (x' is reduced)
- Particle energy on average remains constant

Emittance damping in linacs:



Radiation damping

Longitudinal oscillations

Longitudinal motion: compensating radiation loss U_0



- RF cavity provides accelerating field $f_{RF} = h \cdot f_0$ with frequency
 - h harmonic number
- The energy gain:

$$U_{RF} = eV_{RF}(\tau)$$

- Synchronous particle:
 - has design energy
 - gains from the RF on the average as as it loses per turn $U_{\rm 0}$



Longitudinal motion: phase stability



- Particle ahead of synchronous one
 - gets too much energy from the RF
 - goes on a longer orbit (not enough B)
 >> takes longer to go around
 - comes back to the RF cavity closer to synchronous part.
- Particle behind the synchronous one
 - gets too little energy from the RF
 - goes on a shorter orbit (too much B)
 - catches-up with the synchronous particle

Longitudinal motion: energy-time oscillations

energy deviation from the design energy, or the energy of the synchronous particle



longitudinal coordinate measured from the position of the synchronous electron

Orbit Length

Length element depends on x

$$dl = \left(1 + \frac{x}{\rho}\right) ds$$



Horizontal displacement has two parts:

 $x = x_{\beta} + x_{\varepsilon}$

- To first order x_{β} does not change L
- x_{ϵ} has the same sign around the ring

Length of the off-energy orb
$$L_{\varepsilon} = \oint dl = \oint \left(1 + \frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\rho}\right) ds = L_0 + \Delta L$$

$$\Delta L = \delta \cdot \oint \frac{D(s)}{\rho(s)} ds \quad \text{where} \quad \delta = \frac{\Delta p}{p} = \frac{\Delta E}{E} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Delta L}{L} = \alpha \cdot \delta$$

Something funny happens on the way around the ring...

Revolution time changes with energy



Particle goes faster (not much!)

while the orbit length increases (more!)

$$T_0 = \frac{L_0}{c\beta}$$

$$\frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \cdot \frac{dp}{p} \quad \text{(relativity)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta L}{L} = \mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \frac{dp}{p}$$

• The "slip factor" $\eta \cong \alpha$ since $\alpha >> \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T} = \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right) \cdot \frac{dp}{p} = \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \frac{dp}{p}$$

Ring is above "transition energy"

isochronous ring:

$$\eta = 0 \text{ or } \gamma = \gamma_{tr}$$

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma_{tr}^2}$$

Not only accelerators work above transition







$$V(\tau) = \hat{V}\sin(h\omega_0\tau + \psi_s)$$

here the synchronous phase

$$\psi_s = \arcsin\left(\frac{U_0}{e\hat{V}}\right)$$

Momentum compaction factor



Like the tunes Q_x , Q_y - α depends on the whole optics

A quick estimate for separated function guide field:

Since dispersion is approximately

But

$$D \approx \frac{R}{Q^2} \Rightarrow \alpha \approx \frac{1}{Q^2}$$
 typically < 1% and the orbit change for ~ 1% energy deviation

$$\frac{\Delta L}{L} = \frac{1}{Q^2} \cdot \delta \approx 10^{-4}$$

Energy balance

Energy gain from the RF system: $U_{RF} = eV_{RF}(\tau) = U_0 + eV_{RF} \cdot \tau$

- synchronous particle ($\tau = 0$) will get exactly the energy loss per turn
- we consider only linear oscillations

$$\dot{V}_{RF} = \frac{dV_{RF}}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}$$

Each turn electron gets energy from RF and loses energy to radiation within one revolution time T₀

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \left(U_0 + e \dot{V}_{RF} \cdot \tau \right) - \left(U_0 + U' \cdot \varepsilon \right)$$

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_0} \left(e V_{RF} \cdot \tau - U' \cdot \varepsilon \right)$$

An electron with an energy deviation will arrive after one turn at a different time with respect to the synchronous particle

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = -\alpha \, \frac{\varepsilon}{E_0}$$

Synchrotron oscillations: damped harmonic oscillator



the solution is then:

 $\varepsilon(t) = \hat{\varepsilon}_0 e^{-\alpha_{\varepsilon} t} \cos\left(\Omega t + \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)$

similarly, we can get for the time delay:

 $\tau(t) = \hat{\tau}_0 e^{-\alpha_{\varepsilon} t} \cos\left(\Omega t + \theta_{\tau}\right)$

Synchrotron (time - energy) oscillations

The ratio of amplitudes at any instant

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{\alpha}{\Omega E_0} \hat{\varepsilon}$$

Oscillations are 90 degrees out of phase



The motion can be viewed in the phase space of conjugate variables





Longitudinal Phase Space Longitudinal motion: $P_{\gamma} \propto E^2 B^2$ damping of synchrotron oscillationsDuring one period of synchrotron oscillation:• when the particle is in the upper half-plane, it loses more
energy per turn, its energy gradually reduces



 when the particle is in the lower half-plane, it loses less energy per turn, but receives U₀ on the average, so its energy deviation gradually reduces

The synchrotron motion is damped

the phase space trajectory is spiraling towards the origin

Robinson theorem: Damping partition numbers

- Transverse betatron oscillations are damped with
- Synchrotron oscillations are damped twice as fast

$$\tau_x = \tau_z = \frac{2ET_0}{U_0}$$

$$\tau_{\varepsilon} = \frac{ET_0}{U_0}$$

The total amount of damping (Robinson theorem) depends only on energy and loss per turn

$$\frac{1}{\tau_x} + \frac{1}{\tau_y} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{2U_0}{ET_0} = \frac{U_0}{2ET_0} (J_x + J_y + J_{\varepsilon})$$

the sum of the partition numbers $J_x + J_z + J_{\varepsilon} = 4$



Radiation loss



Displaced off the design orbit particle sees fields that are different from design values

energy deviation &

> different energy:

$$P_\gamma \propto E^2$$

different magnetic field B particle moves on a different orbit, defined by the off-energy or dispersion function D_x

both contribute to linear term in $P_{\gamma}(\mathcal{E})$

betatron oscillations: zero on average

Radiation loss



To first order in ε

$$\mathbf{U}_{rad} = \mathbf{U}_{0} + \mathbf{U}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

electron energy changes slowly, at any instant it is moving on an orbit defined by D_x

after some algebra one can write

$$\mathbf{U}' \equiv \frac{\mathbf{dU}_{\mathrm{rad}}}{\mathbf{dE}} \Big|_{\mathbf{E}_0}$$

$$U' = \frac{U_0}{E_0} \left(2 + \mathbf{\mathcal{D}}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{D} \neq 0$$
 only when $\frac{k}{\rho} \neq 0$

Damping partition numbers



Typically we build rings with no vertical dispersion

$$J_z = 1 \qquad \qquad J_x + J_\varepsilon = 3$$

 Horizontal and energy partition numbers can be modified via ①:

$$J_x = 1 - \mathcal{D}$$

$$J_\varepsilon = 2 + \mathcal{D}$$

- Use of combined function magnets
- Shift the equilibrium orbit in quads with RF frequency

Equilibrium beam sizes

Radiation effects in electron storage rings

Average radiated power restored by RF

- Electron loses energy each turn to synchrotron radiation
- RF cavities accelerate electrons back to the nominal energy

Radiation damping

 Average rate of energy loss produces **DAMPING** of electron oscillations in all three degrees of freedom (if properly arranged!)

Quantum fluctuations

 Statistical fluctuations in energy loss (from quantized emission of radiation) produce RANDOM EXCITATION of these oscillations

Equilibrium distributions

 The balance between the damping and the excitation of the electron oscillations determines the equilibrium distribution of particles in the beam

$$U_0 \cong 10^{-3} \text{ of } E_0$$

 $V_{RF} > U_0$

Quantum nature of synchrotron radiation

Damping only

- If damping was the whole story, the beam emittance (size) would shrink to microscopic dimensions!*
- Lots of problems! (e.g. coherent radiation)

* How small? On the order of electron wavelength

$$E = \gamma mc^2 = h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda_e} \implies \lambda_e = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{h}{mc} = \frac{\lambda_C}{\gamma}$$

 $\lambda_{c} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-12} m$ – Compton wavelength Diffraction limited electron emittance


Quantum nature of synchrotron radiation

Quantum fluctuations

 Because the radiation is emitted in quanta, radiation itself takes care of the problem!

 It is sufficient to use quasi-classical picture:
 » Emission time is very short
 » Emission times are statistically independent (each emission - only a small change in electron energy)

Purely stochastic (Poisson) process

Visible quantum effects

I have always been somewhat amazed that a purely quantum effect can have gross macroscopic effects in large machines;

and, even more,

that Planck's constant has just the right magnitude needed to <u>make practical</u> the construction of large electron storage rings.

A significantly larger or smaller value of



would have posed serious -- perhaps insurmountable -- problems for the realization of large rings.

Mathew Sands

Quantum excitation of energy oscillations



For large time intervals RF compensates the energy loss, providing damping towards the design energy E_{θ}

Steady state: typical deviations from E_{θ} \approx typical fluctuations in energy during a damping time τ_{ε}

Equilibrium energy spread: rough estimate

We then expect the rms energy spread to be

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} \approx \sqrt{N \cdot \tau_{\varepsilon}} \cdot u_{ph}$$

and since $\tau_{\varepsilon} \approx \frac{E_0}{P_{\gamma}}$ and $P_{\gamma} = N \cdot u_{ph}$

 $\sigma_{\epsilon} \approx \sqrt{E_0 \cdot u_{ph}}$ geometric mean of the electron and photon energies!

Relative energy spread can be written then as:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E_0} \approx \gamma \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}e}{\rho}}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_e = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \simeq 4 \cdot 10^{-13} m$$

it is roughly constant for all rings



 $\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E_0} \sim const \sim 10^{-3}$

Equilibrium energy spread More detailed calculations give

• for the case of an 'isomagnetic' lattice

 $\rho(s) = \frac{\rho_0}{\infty}$ in dipoles elsewhere

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E}\right)^2 = \frac{C_q E^2}{J_{\varepsilon} \rho_0}$$

with
$$C_q = \frac{55}{32\sqrt{3}} \frac{\hbar c}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 1.468 \cdot 10^{-6} \left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GeV}^2}\right]$$

It is difficult to obtain energy spread < 0.1%

• limit on undulator brightness!

Equilibrium bunch length

Bunch length is related to the energy spread

 Energy deviation and time of arrival (or position along the bunch) are conjugate variables (synchrotron oscillations)



 $\hat{\tau} = \frac{\alpha}{\Omega} \left(\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{E} \right)$

recall that



Two ways to obtain short bunches:

RF voltage (power!)



• Momentum compaction factor in the limit of $\alpha = 0$ isochronous ring: particle position along the bunch is frozen



<mark>3</mark>О

Excitation of betatron oscillations



Excitation of betatron oscillations

Electron emitting a photon

- at a place with non-zero dispersion
- starts a betatron oscillation around a new reference orbit

$$x_{\beta} \approx D \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}}{E}$$



Horizontal oscillations: equilibrium

Emission of photons is a random process

Again we have random walk, now in x. How far particle will wander away is limited by the radiation damping
 The balance is achieved on the time scale of the damping time τ_x = 2 τ_e

$$\sigma_{x\beta} \approx \sqrt{\mathcal{N} \cdot \tau_x} \cdot D \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}}{E} = \sqrt{2} \cdot D \cdot \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{E}$$

Typical horizontal beam size ~ 1 mm

Quantum effect visible to the naked eye!

Vertical size - determined by coupling

Beam emittance

Betatron oscillations

• Particles in the beam execute betatron oscillations with different amplitudes. $\sigma_{x'}$

Transverse beam distribution

- Gaussian (electrons)
- "Typical" particle: 1σ ellipse (in a place where $\alpha = \beta' = 0$)

Emittance
$$\equiv \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\beta}$$

Units of
$$\varepsilon \quad [m \cdot rad]$$

 $\varepsilon = \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_{x'}$
 $\sigma_x = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta}$
 $\sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta}$
 $\beta = \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{x'}}$

Area =
$$\pi \cdot \varepsilon$$

► X

 σ_{x}

Equilibrium horizontal emittance Detailed calculations for isomagnetic lattice

$$\varepsilon_{x0} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{\beta} = \frac{C_q E^2}{J_x} \cdot \frac{\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle_{mag}}{\rho}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \gamma D^2 + 2\alpha D D' + \beta D'^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[D^2 + (\beta D' + \alpha D)^2 \right]$$



2-D Gaussian distribution



Probability to be inside $n-\sigma$ ellipse

$$P_n = 1 - e^{-n^2/2}$$

FODO cell lattice





Ionization cooling



similar to radiation damping, but there is multiple scattering in the absorber that blows up the emittance

to minimize the blow up due to multiple scattering in the absorber we can **focus** the beam

Minimum emittance lattices



Quantum limit on emittance

- Electron in a storage ring's dipole fields is accelerated, interacts with vacuum fluctuations: «accelerated thermometers show increased temperature»
- synchrotron radiation opening angle is ~ $1/\gamma$ -> a lower limit on equilibrium vertical emittance
- independent of energy

$$\epsilon_y = \frac{13}{55} C_q \frac{\oint \beta_y(s) |G^3(s)| ds}{\oint G^2(s) ds}$$

G(s) = curvature, C_q = 0.384 pm

in case of SLS: 0.2 pm

isomagnetic lattice

$$\mathcal{E}_{y} = 0.09 \, \mathrm{pm} \cdot \frac{\left\langle \beta_{y} \right\rangle_{\mathrm{Mag}}}{\rho}$$



SLS beam cross section compared to a human hair:



Summary of radiation integrals

Momentum compaction factor



Energy loss per turn

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} C_{\gamma} E^4 \cdot I_2$$

$$I_{1} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} ds$$

$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}}$$

$$I_{3} = \oint \frac{ds}{|\rho^{3}|}$$

$$I_{4} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} \left(2k + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right) ds$$

$$I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds$$

$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{r_e}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 8.858 \cdot 10^{-5} \left[\frac{\text{m}}{\text{GeV}^3}\right]$$

Summary of radiation integrals (2)

Damping parameter

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{I_4}{I_2}$$

Damping times, partition numbers

$$J_{\varepsilon} = 2 + \mathcal{D}, \quad J_x = 1 - \mathcal{D}, \quad J_y = 1$$



Equilibrium energy spread



Equilibrium emittance

$$\varepsilon_{x0} = \frac{\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{\beta} = \frac{C_q E^2}{J_x} \cdot \frac{I_5}{I_2}$$

$$I_{1} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} ds$$

$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}}$$

$$I_{3} = \oint \frac{ds}{|\rho^{3}|}$$

$$I_{4} = \oint \frac{D}{\rho} \left(2k + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right) ds$$

$$I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds$$

$$C_q = \frac{55}{32\sqrt{3}} \frac{\hbar c}{(m_e c^2)^3} = 1.468 \cdot 10^{-6} \left[\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{GeV}^2}\right]$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \gamma D^2 + 2\alpha D D' + \beta D'^2$$

Damping wigglers

Increase the radiation loss per turn U₀ with WIGGLERS

reduce damping time

$$\tau = \frac{E}{P_{\gamma} + P_{wig}}$$

emittance control

wigglers at high dispersion: blow-up emittance e.g. storage ring colliders for high energy physics

wigglers at zero dispersion: decrease emittance

e.g. damping rings for linear colliders e.g. synchrotron light sources (PETRAIII, 1 nm.rad)

END OF LECTURES

FOR DREAMING AND DISCUSSION

Does charged particle radiate in gravitational field?

Yes, charged particles can radiate in a gravitational field, but the circumstances under which this happens are different from radiation in an electromagnetic field.



ChatGPT

In electromagnetism, an accelerating charged particle emits radiation. This is wellestablished and is the basis for many phenomena and applications, such as radio wave transmission.

In general relativity, which describes gravitation, thing, and a bit more nuanced. A free-falling charged particle in a gravitational field following a geodesic (the equivalent of a "straight line" in curved spacetime), does not indicte from the perspective of another free-falling observer. This is because, from the viewpoint of the falling particle, it isn't accelerating—it's in free fall.

However, if a charged particle is held stationary in a gravitational field (against the gravitational pull, perhaps by a tether or some other force), or if it is made to move in some non-geodesity way, then it can be seen as accelerating and can emit radiation.

This kind of radiation in a gravitational field is not as commonly encountered or as well-understood in practical terms as electromagnetic radiation from accelerating charges in empty space, but the underlying principles from general relativity suggest that radiation would indeed be emitted under the right circumstances.

Future Circular Collider Study: 100 km, 100 TeV





- FCC-hh center-of-mass energy 100 TeV. Bending radius for 16 Tesla field?
- Proton Lorentz factor?
- Dipole field 16 Tesla. Critical photon energy?
- Loss per turn:
- Damping time:
- In LEP we shortened the damping time using wigglers. Could we do it here?