CERN Accelerator School Santa Susanna (ES)

۸

# Particle - Colliders past-present-future

Hermann Schmickler (ex-CERN)



#### Copyright statement and speaker's release for video publishing

The author consents to the photographic, audio and video recording of this lecture at the CERN Accelerator School. The term "lecture" includes any material incorporated therein including but not limited to text, images and references.

The author hereby grants CERN a royalty-free license to use his image and name as well as the recordings mentioned above, in order to post them on the CAS website.

The material is used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research. The author hereby confirms that to his best knowledge the content of the lecture does not infringe the copyright, intellectual property or privacy rights of any third party. The author has cited and credited any third-party contribution in accordance with applicable professional standards and legislation in matters of attribution.

# Outline

- Why colliding beams?
- Past high energy frontier colliders
- A glimpse at physics experiments
- Collider figures of merits:
   c.m.s. energy and luminosity
   Details on luminosity
   Detector Occupancy in hadron collisions
- Possible future colliders: circular, linear, pp, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>



#### Fixed-target vs head-on beam collisions





#### Past/Existing Highest Energy Frontier Colliders

#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders:

- LEP (Large Electron Positron Colliders)
  - Z<sub>0</sub> factory at 90GeV electron-positron cms energy
  - W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> factory at 160GeV
  - Maximum 209 GeV cms energy for higgs search (bad luck: e+e- → Z<sup>0</sup>H needs about 250 GeV)
  - Closed in the year 2000
- SLC (Standford Linear Collider)
  - Z<sub>0</sub> factory at 90GeV electron-positron cms energy
  - Single linac for e+ and e-, two return arcs for collision
  - Closed in summer 1998

#### pp collider

- LHC (Large Hadron Collider):
  - Proton-proton with 13TeV
  - Ion-ion operation



H.Schmickler, CAS Intro 2024





#### Considered Future High Energy Frontier Colliders

Circular colliders:

- FCC (CERN) (Future Circular Collider)
  - FCC-hh: 100TeV proton-proton cms energy, ion operation possible
  - FCC-e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>: Potential intermediate step 90-350 GeV lepton collider
  - FCC-he: Lepton-hadron option
- CEPC / SppC (China)

(Circular Electron-positron Collider/Super Proton-proton Collider)

- CepC : e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> 240GeV cms
- SppC : pp 70TeV cms

Linear colliders

- ILC (International Linear Collider): e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, 500 GeV cms energy, Japan considers hosting project
- CLIC (Compact Linear Collider): e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, 380GeV-3TeV cms energy, CERN hosts collaboration

Others

- Muon collider (has gained again interest, also studied at CERN)
- Plasma wakefield acceleration (PWA) as linear collider...not yet ready
- lepton hadron colliders (LHeC, e-RHIC)

### Possible future colliders: Some physics arguments

- Hadron collisions: collision of compound particles
  - Mix of quarks, anti-quarks and gluons: variety of processes
  - Parton energy spread
  - QCD processes large background sources total cross section increases with log s; "interesting cross sections" decrease with s
  - Hadron collisions  $\Rightarrow$  large discovery range
- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions: collision of elementary particles
  - Collision process known
  - Well defined energy (except TeV range)
  - Other physics background very limited
  - All cross sections decrease with c.m.s. energy
- Lepton-hadron is also possible (last HERA e-p @DESY)
   small physics potential
- Muons same arguments as for Electrons, but
  - no synchrotron radiation
  - short lifetime of muons
  - difficult to get high luminosity (cooling of produced muon beams)





#### QCD lecture 2 (p. 11) Quark distributions

#### All quarks



These & other methods  $\rightarrow$  whole set of quarks & antiquarks NB: also strange and charm quarks ▶ valence quarks  $(u_V = u - \overline{u})$  are hard  $x \rightarrow 1$ :  $xq_V(x) \sim (1-x)^3$ quark counting rules  $x \rightarrow 0$ :  $xq_V(x) \sim x^{0.5}$ Regge theory ▶ sea quarks  $(u_S = 2\bar{u}, ...)$  fairly *soft* (low-momentum)  $x \rightarrow 1$ :  $xq_S(x) \sim (1-x)^7$  $x \to 0$ :  $xq_{5}(x) \sim x^{-0.2}$ 

### The LHC: signals much smaller than "bkg"

- General event properties
- Heavy flavor physics
- Standard Model physics
  - QCD jets
  - EWK physics
  - Top quark
- Higgs physics
- Searches for SUSY
- Searches for 'exotica'



### Higgs Physics in e+e- Collisions



- Precision Higgs measurements
- Model-independent
  - Higgs couplings
  - Higgs mass
- Large energy span of linear colliders allows to collect a maximum of information:
  - ILC: 500 GeV (1 TeV)
  - CLIC: ~350 GeV 3 TeV



# What do physicists with their data? ...short outline in a nutshell

- The primary interaction is not visible.
- Physicists measure identity and energy/momentum of secondary particles, which emerge from the primary interaction
- Physicists make model assumptions about the primary interaction and compare observables like the angular distribution of the produced secondary particles with the model. If it fits in all aspects, they declare the model the "truth". (historic example: Rutherford scattering)
- Quantitative measurements like the mass of a new particle are possible, if all secondary particles are measured and the invariant mass is computed.
- It is very useful to know the total energy of the original collision, which is only the case for collisions of elementary particles (leptons)
- Most of the processes have "background" signals with similar signature. Very careful simulations of this background must accompany every measurement.
- Nowadays particle detectors are industrial installations with 1000's of collaborating scientists and engineers. They have enormous dimensions.





#### Discovery of the 125 GeV Higgs boson (2012) The decays of the Higgs boson, observed in the CMS and ATLAS detectors

$$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

$$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$$









#### Discovery of the 125 GeV Higgs boson (2012) The decays of the Higgs boson, observed in the CMS and ATLAS detectors



 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 

 $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ 

# Collider figures of merit:

- 1. c.m.s. energy: higher energy means particles with higher masses can be produced
- Luminosity: A number characterizing a collider to produce a certain number of events of a given process in a given time→



• First: The cross section of a physics process:

cross-section  $\sigma_{ev}$  expresses the likelihood of the process to be produced by particle interaction

- $\sigma_{ev}$  can be understood as an "area", which the beam has to hit.
- Unit for cross-section: [m<sup>2</sup>]
- in nuclear- and high energy physics samller units: 1 barn (1 b =  $10^{-24}$  cm<sup>2</sup>)



# definition: Luminosity (L)

$$R = \frac{dN_{ev}}{dt} = L(t)S_{ev}$$

$$N_{ev} = S_{ev} \grave{0} L(t) dt$$

- luminosity L relates cross-section  $\sigma$  and event rate R = dN<sub>ev</sub>/dt at time t:
  - quantifies performance of collider
  - relativistic invariant and independent of physical reaction
- accelerator operation aims at maximizing the total number of events  $N_{\rm ev}$  for the experiments
  - $\sigma_{ev}$  is fixed by Nature for every event type
  - aim at maximizing  $\int L(t) dt$

- Luminosity unit : [m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]
- The integrated luminosity ∫Ldt is frequently expressed as the inverse of a cross section pb<sup>-1</sup> = 10<sup>36</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> or fb<sup>-1</sup> = 10<sup>39</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>



### Example: LHC





Total integrated luminosity LHC Run 2: 150 fb<sup>-1</sup> Total cross section pp collisions: 100 mb  $\rightarrow N_{collisions} = 150 * 10^{12} mb^{-1} * 100 mb = 15 * 10^{15}$  events !!!  $\rightarrow$  On average a bit less than 100 charged tracks per event!  $\rightarrow$  Only a small fraction gets recorded....still Pbytes of data

→ Total cross section for Higgs production: About 60 pb → About 9 \* 10<sup>6</sup> Higgs produced → Higgs cross-section for Diphoton-decay: About 60 fb → 9000 events to analyse

Three main present study lines for future colliders

- Big LEP/LHC-type collider rings
  - FCC-ee (or/and CepC in China)
  - Later a proton collider in the same tunnel have produced CDRs, working on TDR
- Linear colliders
  - CLIC and ILC

have produced CDRs, presently using developed technologies for other projects

Muon colliders

(Production chain and acceleration/decay ring)

long standing study, very difficult technologies needed, has gained new momentum in the last years



### e+ e- Ring Collider Energy Limitation

Advantage: Beam is used many times for collisions

Lepton beam energy is low on a big radius -> magnets are not a problem

But synchrotron radiation is:

 $\Delta E \propto \left(\frac{E}{m}\right)^4 \frac{1}{R}$ At LEP2 lost 2.75GeV/turn for E=105GeV

Pay for installed voltage ( $\Delta E$ ) and size (R), so scale as:  $R \propto E^2$  $\Rightarrow \Delta E \propto E^4 / E^2$  $\Rightarrow \Delta E \propto E^2$  $\Rightarrow \Delta E \propto E^2$ 



$$C_R = a_R E^2 + b_R$$

-> use heavier particles, e.g. muons-> or linear collider(-> or try to push a bit harder on cost)

### Linear Collider Energy Limitation



Hardly any synchrotron radiation

$$C_L = a_L E + b_L$$

Beam can only be used only once

-> strong beam-beam effects

Acceleration gradient is an important issue



Simplified Cost Scaling Comparison



There will always be an energy range where linear colliders are more cost effective



### Circular vs. Linear Colliders

F. Gianotti



#### **Future Circular Collider Study (FCC)**

as

### International FCC collaboration (CERN as host lab) to study:

- *pp*-collider (*FCC-hh*)
   → main emphasis, defining infrastructure requirements
- **80-100 km tunnel infrastructure** in Geneva area, site specific
- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider (FCC-ee), potential first step
- *p-e (FCC-he) option*, integration one IP, FCC-hh & ERL
- **HE-LHC** with *FCC-hh* technology

#### ~16 T $\Rightarrow$ 100 TeV *pp* in 100 km



### **CepC/SppC study**



![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **CLIC near CERN**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Muon beams specific properties**

Muons are leptons like electrons & positrons but with a mass (105.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>) 207 times larger

- Negligible synchrotron radiation emission (α m<sup>-2</sup>)
  - Multi-pass collisions (1000 turns) in collider ring
    - High luminosity with reasonable beam power and wall plug power consumption
      - relaxed beam emittances & sizes, alignment & stability
    - Multi-detectors supporting broad physics communities
    - Large time (15  $\mu$ s) between bunch crossings
  - No beam-strahlung at collision:
    - narrow luminosity spectrum
  - Multi-pass acceleration in rings or RLA:
    - Compact acceleration system and collider
    - Cost effective construction & operation
    - No cooling by synchrotron radiation in standard damping rings

Requires development of novel cooling method

![](_page_25_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_16.jpeg)

### The "beauty" of Muons

# Strong coupling to Higgs mechanism through the s channel

- Cross section enhanced by  $(m_{\mu}/m_{e})^{2}$  =4. 10<sup>4</sup> with sharp peak at 126 GeV resonance
  - Muon-based Higgs factory with unique properties
    - 10<sup>3</sup> less luminosity required than with e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup>
    - at half colliding beam energy (63 GeV/beam)
    - Enabling direct Higgs mass and width measurements by energy scan with high resolution thanks to narrow luminosity spectrum
- Requires colliding beams with extremely small momentum spread (4 10<sup>-5</sup>) and high stability
  - → The Muon based Higgs Factory concept

![](_page_26_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Muons: Issues & Challenges**

- Limited lifetime: 2.2 μs (at rest)
  - Race against death: generation, acceleration & collision before decay
  - Muons decay in accelerator and detector
    - Shielding of detector and facility irradiation
    - Collider and Physics feasibility with large background environment? Not by beamshtrahlung as with e+/e- but by muon decay (e, v) Reduced background at high energy due to increased muon lifetime
    - Decays in neutrinos:
      - Ideal source of well defined electron & muon neutrinos in equal quantities whereas Superbeams by pion decay only provide muon  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$

The neutrino fa

concept

$$\begin{array}{c} \mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} \nu_{e} \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \\ \mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \overline{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\mu} \end{array}$$

### 8

Generated as tertiary particles in large  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^- + \nu_{\mu}$ 

- powerful MW(s) proton driver and pion decay
- novel (fast) cooling and acceleration methods
   nickler, CAS Intro 2024

#### **Muon Accelerator Program (MAP)** Muon based facilities and synergies

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

H.Schmickler, CAS Intro 2024

Mark

Palmer

### Future Colliders: The main technology challenges

• FCC - hh

- SC dipole magnets with 16T or 20T field strength
- machine protection and beam collimation

FCC - e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

- design limited to 100 MW synchrotron radiation power
- @350 GeV cms energy > 10GV energy loss/turn
- huge RF plants based on SC-RF

• CLIC

- 100 MV/m gradient for acceleration
- Uses drive-beam of 100 A! (electrons) to power main linac
- vertical beam size at IP = 1nm for high luminosity (10  $^{34}$ )
- very high demand on alignment of RF (wakefields) and on quadrupoles ← very small vertical emittance

Muon collider

- 6D cooling in short time
- fight against short lifetime of muons  $\rightarrow$  rapid cycling magnets
- superconducting magnets in collider ring for high collision rate (small circumference)
- full beam gets "lost" inside the SC magnets →shielding

![](_page_29_Picture_18.jpeg)

### If time permits: Details on luminosity

- derivation from machine parameters
- reduction factors
  - transverse offset
  - crossing angles
  - hourglass effect
  - for electrons in addition
  - beam disruption
  - beam strahlung
- Need for luminosity control in high energy pp collisions

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

# L from machine parameters -1-

• intuitively: more L if there are more protons and they more tightly packed

 $L \propto N_{b1} N_{b2} W_{x,y}$   $N_{b1} \rho_1(x,y,z,-z_0)$   $N_{b1} \rho_2(x,y,z,z_0)$   $N_{b2} \rho_2(x,y,z,z_0)$ 

$$L \mid N_{b1} N_{b2} K \stackrel{`}{\mathbf{0}}_{x,y,z,z_0} \Gamma_1(x, y, z, -z_0) \Gamma_2(x, y, z, z_0) \, dx \, dy \, dz \, dz_0$$

- K = kinematic factor (CAS lecture, "Kinematics of Particle Beams I Relativity")
- N<sub>b1</sub>, N<sub>b2</sub>: bunch population
- $\rho_{1,2}$ : density distribution of the particles (normalized to 1)
- x,y: transverse coordinates
- z: longitudinal coordinate
- $z_0$ : "time variable",  $z_0 = c t$
- $\Omega_{x,y}$ : overlap integral

![](_page_31_Picture_11.jpeg)

# L from machine parameters -2-

- for a circular machine can reuse the beams f times per second (storage ring)
- for n<sub>b</sub> colliding bunch pairs per beam
- for uncorrelated densities in all planes:

$$\Gamma(x, y, z, t) = \Gamma_x(x)\Gamma_y(y)\Gamma_z(z - vt)$$

$$L = 2fn_b N_{b1} N_{b2} \overset{``}{\bigcirc} \Gamma_{1x}(x) \Gamma_{1y}(y) \Gamma_{1z}(z-z_0) \Gamma_{2x}(x) \Gamma_{2y}(y) \Gamma_{2z}(z+z_0) dx dy dz dz_0$$

for Gaussian bunches:

• for equal beams in x or y:  $\sigma_{1x} = \sigma_{2x}$ ,  $\sigma_{1y} = \sigma_{2y}$ 

can derive a closed expression:

$$L = \frac{n_b N_{b1} N_{b2} f}{4\rho S_x S_y}$$

- f: revolution frequency
- n<sub>b</sub>: number of colliding bunch pairs at that Interaction Point (IP)
- N<sub>b1</sub>, N<sub>b2</sub>: bunch population
- $\sigma_{x,y}$ : transverse beam size at the collision point

LHC  

$$n_b = 2808$$
  
 $N_{b1}, N_{b2} = 1.15 \ 10^{11} \text{ ppb}$   
 $f = 11.25 \text{ kHz}$   
 $\sigma_x, \sigma_y = 16.6 \ \mu\text{m}$   
 $L = 1.2 \ 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_16.jpeg)

## need for small $\beta^*$

- expand physical beam size  $\sigma_{x,y}$ :
  - \* means "at the IP"

$$S_x^* = S_y^* = \sqrt{\frac{b^*e}{g_r}} \rightarrow$$

$$L = \frac{n_b N_{b1} N_{b2} f g_r}{4\rho b^* e}$$

- try and conserve low  $\epsilon$  from injectors
  - In addition explicit dependence on energy  $(1/\gamma_r)$
- intensity  $N_b$  pays more than  $\epsilon$  and  $\beta^*$
- design low β\* insertions
  - limits by triplet aperture, protection by collimators
  - in LHC nominal cycle: "squeeze"

![](_page_33_Figure_11.jpeg)

Relative beam sizes around IP1 (Atlas) in collision

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Luminosity reduction factors ( $F_i$ ) L = L<sub>ideal</sub> \* $F_1$ \* $F_2$ \* $F_3$ ....

transverse offsets crossing angles and crab cavities hourglass effect

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

### transverse offsets -1-

• in case the beams do not overlap in the transverse plane (e.g. in x)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

H.Schmickler, CAS Intro 2024

### For experts: transverse offsets -2-

- more general expression including different beam sizes:
  - $\sigma_{1x} \neq \sigma_{2x}, \sigma_{1y} \neq \sigma_{2y}$

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

# crossing angles

- to avoid parasitic collisions when there are many bunches
  - otherwise collisions elsewhere than in interaction point only
    - e.g.: CMS experiment is 21 m long, common vacuum pipe is 120 m long
- luminosity is reduced as the particles no longer traverse the entire length of the counter-rotating bunch

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

# hourglass effect

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\beta$  depends on longitudinal position z •
  - see W.Hillert, • see W.Hillert, "Transverse Beam Dynamics"

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

distance from IP [m]

- then beam size  $\sigma_{x,y}$  depends on z if  $\beta^* >> \sigma_z$ , effect is negligible

  - if  $\beta^* >> \sigma_z$ , energinate if  $\beta^* \sim \sigma_z$ , bunch samples bigger  $\beta$  than  $\beta^*$   $S_{x,y}(z) \approx S_{x,y}^* \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{z}{b_{x,y}^*}\right)^2}$

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_10.jpeg)

L reduction is non-negligible for long bunches and small  $\beta$ 

LHC	HL-LHC
$\beta^*/\sigma_z > 7$	$\beta^*/\sigma_z \sim 2$
F ~ 1	F ~ 0.90

0.5

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)

### beam-beam force

$$F(r) \propto \frac{N_b}{S} \frac{1}{r} \left[ 1 - e^{\frac{-r^2}{2S^2}} \right]$$

- important for high brilliance beams
  - i.e. high luminosity ...
- · gives an amplitude dependent tune shift
  - for small amplitude, linear tune shift
- the slope of the force at zero amplitude is called the *beam-beam parameter*

$$F \mid -Xr$$
 with  $X = \frac{b^*}{4\rho} \frac{\partial (Dr')}{\partial r} = \frac{N_b r_0 b^*}{4\rho g_r S^2}$ 

- · indicates the strength of the beam-beam force
  - but does not describe changes to the optical functions, nonlinear part...

![](_page_39_Figure_10.jpeg)

LHC			
σ <sub>x,y</sub> = 16.6 μm			
β* = 0.55 m			
$N = 1.15 \times 10^{11} \text{ ppb}$			
ξ = 0.0037			

![](_page_39_Picture_12.jpeg)

### linear colliders: additional reduction/enhancement factors for the luminosity

disruption, pinch effect beamstrahlung

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

### disruption effects

- strong field by one beam bends the opposing particle trajectories
- quantified by disruption parameter

$$D_{x,y} = \frac{2r_e N_b S_z}{g_r S_{x,y} \left(S_x + S_y\right)} \quad \mathbf{D}_{x,y} \text{ normally > 1}$$

- nominal beam size is reduced by the disruptive field (pinch effect)
  - additional focusing for the opposing beam

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

- r<sub>e</sub>: electron classical radius
- N<sub>b</sub>: bunch population
- $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ : beam size at the collision point
- $\gamma_r$ : relativistic factor

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

# beamstrahlung

- disruption at the interaction point is a strong bending:
- results in synchrotron radiation (beamstrahlung)
  - causes spread of centre-of-mass energy
  - high energy photons increase detector background
- quantified by beamstrahlung parameter Y

$$Y = g_r \frac{\left\langle E + B \right\rangle}{B_C} \gg \frac{5}{6} \frac{r_e^2 g_r N_b}{\partial S_z \left( S_x + S_y \right)}$$

• with 
$$B_C \circ \frac{m^2 c^3}{e\hbar} \gg 4.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ Gauss}$$

![](_page_42_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Summary

- Interesting time ahead of us in high energy physics
   → LHC still "useful" until about the years 2035-2040
  - → LHC will get a luminosity upgrade around the year 2027 (5-10 times integrated luminosity/year)
- HE-LHC (LHC tunnel filled with FCC pp magnets) is also an actively discussed option
- CERN presently tries to rewrite LEP-LHC history by scheduling FCC e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> before FCC-pp
- Cost of new projects big issue in crisis times
- In parallel research for alternatives: PWA, linear colliders, µcollider
- All options require a lot of resources and collaboration across the whole world → maybe your future?

# **Backup Slides**

#### Not too much Luminosity please (in pp)...

- LHC pp experiments will need luminosity control
  - if too high can cause high voltage trips then impact efficiency
  - might have event size or bandwidth limitations in read-out
  - too many simultaneous event cause loss of resolution
- ... experiments also care about:
  - time structure of the interactions: *pile up*  $\mu$ 
    - average number of inelastic interactions per bunch crossing

$$\langle R \rangle = \left\langle \frac{dN_{ev}}{dt} \right\rangle = Mf \begin{bmatrix} \text{design} & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2015 & 2016 \\ \mu & 21 & 4 & 17 & 37 & 17 & 41 & 140 \end{bmatrix}$$

- f = bunch repetition frequency
- spatial distribution of the interactions: *pile-up density* 
  - e.g. HL-LHC: accept max pile up density of 1.3 events/mm
- quality of the interactions (e.g. background)
- size of luminous region
  - e.g. need constant length (input to MonteCarlo simulations)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Mon May 28 01:16:20 2012 CE91 Run/Event: 1950994 35488125 Lumi.section: 65 Oxbit/Crossing: 16992111 (2295

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Luminosity levelling

- some experiments need to limit the pile-up
  - thus luminosity per bunch pair
    - e.g.  $\mu$  < 2.1 at LHCb in 2012
- stay as long as possible at the maximum value that experiment can manage
  - which is lower than what the machine could provide
- maintain the luminosity constant over a period of time (i.e. the fill)
- possible techniques (making use of the mentioned reduction factors)
  - by transversely offsetting the beams at the IP
  - by changing  $\beta^*$
  - by decreasing the crossing angle
  - by bunch length variations

#### Drive beam time structure

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Power extraction structure PETS

- must extract efficiently >100 MW power from high current drive beam
- passive microwave device in which bunches of the drive beam interact with the impedance of the periodically loaded waveguide and generate RF power
- periodically corrugated structure with
- ON/OFF mechanism

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

IOW DIMERED CAN GEAR HIS CAS impedance structure: Design input parameters PETS design  $P = I^2 L^2 F_b^2 W_0$ P - RF power, determined by the accelerating structure needs and the module layout. I - Drive beam current L - Active length of the PETS  $F_b$  - single bunch form factor (≈ 1)

### 12 GHz PETS assembly

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

H.Schmickler, CAS Intro 2024

### Past/present circular colliders

Machine	Years in operation	Beam type	Beam energy [GeV]	Luminosity [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]
ISR	1971-'84	рр	31	>2x10 <sup>31</sup>
LEP I	1989-'95	e+ e-	45	3x10 <sup>30</sup>
LEP II	1995-2000	e+ e-	90-104	10 <sup>32</sup>
KEKB	1999-2010	e+ e-	8 x 3.5	2x10 <sup>34</sup>
SppS	1981-'84	p anti-p	315 (400)	6x10 <sup>30</sup>
TEVATRON	1983-2011	p anti-p	980	2x10 <sup>32</sup>
LHC	2008-?	pp(Pb)	7000	10 <sup>34</sup>
HL-LHC	~2026- 2037	p p (Pb)	7000	5x10 <sup>34</sup>
FCC-hh	2040+	pp(Pb)	50000	2-3x10 <sup>35</sup>
FCC-ee	2040+	e+ e-	45-175	~10 <sup>36</sup>

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)