



### Particle motion in Hamiltonian Formalism I Yannis PAPAPHILIPPOU Accelerator and Beam Physics group Beams Department, CERN

### **CERN Accelerator School** Introduction to Accelerator Physics Santa Susanna, Spain September 22<sup>nd</sup> – October 5<sup>th</sup>, 2024





• The author consents to the photographic, audio and video recording of this lecture at the CERN Accelerator School. The term "lecture" includes any material incorporated therein including but not limited to text, images and references.

• The author hereby grants CERN a royalty-free license to use his image and name as well as the recordings mentioned above, in order to post them on the CAS website.

• The material is used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research. The author hereby confirms that to his best knowledge the content of the lecture does not infringe the copyright, intellectual property or privacy rights of any third party. The author has cited and credited any third-party contribution in accordance with applicable professional standards and legislation in matters of attribution.





- The objective is finding methods to derive and solve (integrate) equations of motion, in order to describe the evolution (dependence with "time") of a system ("particle")
- Introduce formalism of theoretical (classical) mechanics for analysing motion in general (linear or non-linear) dynamical systems, including particle accelerators
- Connect this **formalism** with **concepts** already studied in the introductory CAS (matrices for transverse motion, synchrotron motion, invariants,...)
- Prepare the ground for approaches followed for studying non-linear particle motion in accelerators (in the advanced CAS)

## **Principles** of **classical mechanics**

- Some key concepts of classical (analytical) mechanics reviewed in this lecture, including
  - Integrals of motion
  - Integration by quadrature
  - Period and Frequency
  - Hamilton's principle
  - Lagrangian, Euler-Lagrange equations
  - Hamiltonian, Hamilton's equations
  - Canonical variables, Symplecticity
  - Poisson brackets
  - Canonical transformations













# Equations of motion

Reminder: Newton's law



The motion of a "classical" particle in a force field is described by Newton's law:

$$m\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} = \frac{dp_u(t)}{dt} = F(u) = -\frac{\partial V(u)}{\partial u}$$

with u the position

- $p_u$  the momentum
- F(u) the force
- V(u) the corresponding potential

It is essential to solve (integrate) the differential equation for understanding the evolution of the physical (dynamical) system



A linear restoring force (**Harmonic oscillator**) is described by

$$\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u(t) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$





#### A linear restoring force (Harmonic oscillator) is described by

$$\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u(t) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

The solution obtained by **substitution**  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ 



#### A linear restoring force (Harmonic oscillator) is described by

$$\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u(t) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

The solution obtained by **substitution**  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ 

The solution obtained by substitution  $u(t) = e^{i\omega}$ through the characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^2 + \omega_0^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\pm} = \pm i\omega_0$ , which yields the general solution  $u(t) = ce^{i\omega_0 t} + c^* e^{-i\omega_0 t} = C_1 \cos(\omega_0 t) + C_2 \sin(\omega_0 t) = A \sin(\omega_0 t + \phi)$ with the "velocity"  $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = -C_1 \omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 t) + C_2 \omega_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) = A \omega_0 \cos(\omega_0 t + \phi)$ 



### A linear restoring force (Harmonic oscillator) is described by

$$\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 u(t) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

The solution obtained by **substitution**  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ 

through the characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^2 + \omega_0^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\pm} = \pm i\omega_0$ , which yields the general solution  $u(t) = ce^{i\omega_0 t} + c^* e^{-i\omega_0 t} = C_1 \cos(\omega_0 t) + C_2 \sin(\omega_0 t) = A \sin(\omega_0 t + \phi)$ with the "velocity" du(t)

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = -C_1\omega_0\sin(\omega_0 t) + C_2\omega_0\cos(\omega_0 t) = A\omega_0\cos(\omega_0 t + \phi)$$

Note that a **negative sign** in the differential equation provides a solution described by **hyperbolic sine/cosine** functions Note also that for **no restoring force**  $\omega_0 = 0$ , the motion is unbounded 10 Matrix solution



### The **amplitude** and **phase** depend on the **initial conditions**

$$u(0) = u_0 = C_1 \ , \ \frac{du(0)}{dt} = u'_0 = C_2 \omega_0 \ , \ A = \frac{\left(u'_0{}^2 + \omega_0^2 u_0^2\right)^{-/-1}}{\omega_0} \ , \ \tan(\phi) = \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0 u_0}$$

The solutions can be re-written thus as  $u(t) = u_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t)$   $u'(t) = -u_0 \omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 t) + u'_0 \cos(\omega_0 t)$  Matrix solution



### The **amplitude** and **phase** depend on the **initial conditions**

$$u(0) = u_0 = C_1 \ , \ \frac{du(0)}{dt} = u'_0 = C_2 \omega_0 \ , \ A = \frac{\left(u'_0{}^2 + \omega_0^2 u_0^2\right)^{-7}}{\omega_0} \ , \ \tan(\phi) = \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0 u_0}$$

The solutions can be re-written thus as The solutions can be re-written thus as  $u(t) = u_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t)$ or in matrix form  $\binom{u(t)}{u'(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\omega_0 t) & \frac{1}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t) \\ -\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 t) & \cos(\omega_0 t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u'_0 \end{pmatrix}$ 12 Matrix solution



#### The **amplitude** and **phase** depend on the **initial conditions**

$$u(0) = u_0 = C_1 \ , \ \frac{du(0)}{dt} = u'_0 = C_2 \omega_0 \ , \ A = \frac{\left(u'_0{}^2 + \omega_0^2 u_0^2\right)'}{\omega_0} \ , \ \tan(\phi) = \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0 u_0}$$

The solutions can be re-written thus as  $u(t) = u_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{u'_0}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t)$ or in matrix form  $\binom{u(t)}{u'(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\omega_0 t) & \frac{1}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t) \\ -\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 t) & \cos(\omega_0 t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u'_0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

By replacing  $\omega_0 \rightarrow \sqrt{k_0}$  and  $t \rightarrow s$ , this becomes the solution of a **quadrupole** (see **Transverse Linear Beam Dynamics** lectures)

# Matrix formalism



• General **transfer matrix** from  $s_0$  to s

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \mathcal{M}(s|s_{0}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s|s_{0}) & S(s|s_{0}) \\ C'(s|s_{0}) & S'(s|s_{0}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}}$$
Note that dot $(\mathcal{M}(s|s_{0})) = C(s|s_{0})S'(s|s_{0}) = S(s|s_{0})C'(s|s_{0}) = 1$ 

Note that  $det(\mathcal{M}(s|s_0)) = C(s|s_0)S(s|s_0) - S(s|s_0)C(s|s_0) = 1$ which is always true for **conservative systems ("energy" is constant)** Note also that  $\mathcal{M}(s_0|s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{I}$ 

# Matrix formalism



General **transfer matrix** from  $s_0$  to s

CERN Accelerator School, September 2024

Hamiltonian formalism,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \mathcal{M}(s|s_{0}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} C(s|s_{0}) & S(s|s_{0}) \\ C'(s|s_{0}) & S'(s|s_{0}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}_{s_{0}}$$

Note that  $\det(\mathcal{M}(s|s_0)) = C(s|s_0)S'(s|s_0) - S(s|s_0)C'(s|s_0) = 1$ which is always true for **conservative systems ("energy" is constant)** 

• Note also that  $\mathcal{M}(s_0|s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{I}$ 

The **general solution** can be built by a series of matrix multiplications

$$\mathcal{M}(s_n|s_0) = \mathcal{M}(s_n|s_{n-1}) \dots \mathcal{M}(s_3|s_2) \cdot \mathcal{M}(s_2|s_1) \cdot \mathcal{M}(s_1|s_0)$$



(see Transverse Linear Beam Dynamics lectures)

## Integral of motion



Rewrite the differential equation of the harmonic oscillator as a pair of coupled 1<sup>st</sup> order equations

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = p_u(t)$$
$$\frac{dp_u(t)}{dt} = -\omega_0^2 u(t)$$

### Integral of motion



Rewrite the differential equation of the harmonic oscillator as a pair of coupled 1<sup>st</sup> order equations.

 $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = p_u(t)$   $\frac{dp_u(t)}{dt} = -\omega_0^2 u(t)$   $\frac{dp_u}{dt} p_u + \omega_0^2 u \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (p_u^2 + \omega_0^2 u^2) = 0$   $\frac{1}{2} (p_u^2 + \omega_0^2 u^2) = I_1 \text{ with } I_1 \text{ an integral of motion}$ 

identified as the **mechanical energy** of the system



Integration by quadrature



The last equation can be solved as an explicit integral or "quadrature"

$$\int dt = \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}}, \text{ yielding } t + I_2 = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \operatorname{arcsin} \left(\frac{u\omega_0}{\sqrt{2I_1}}\right)$$
or the well-known solution  $u(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\sin(\omega_0 t + \omega_0 I_2)}$ 

 $\omega_0$ 

Integration by quadrature



The last equation can be solved as an explicit integral or "quadrature"

 $\int dt = \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}}, \text{ yielding } t + I_2 = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \operatorname{arcsin} \left(\frac{u\omega_0}{\sqrt{2I_1}}\right)$ or the well-known solution  $u(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t + \omega_0 I_2)$ Note: Although the previous route may seem complicated, it becomes more natural when **non-linear** terms appear, where an **ansatz** of the type  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}$  is **not applicable**  Integration by quadrature



The last equation can be solved as an explicit integral or "quadrature"

 $\int dt = \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}}, \text{ yielding } t + I_2 = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \operatorname{arcsin} \left(\frac{u\omega_0}{\sqrt{2I_1}}\right)$ or the well-known solution  $u(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0 t + \omega_0 I_2)$ Note: Although the previous route may seem complicated, it becomes more natural when **non-linear** terms appear, where an **ansatz** of the type  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}$  is **not applicable** 

The ability to integrate a differential equation is not just a nice mathematical feature, but deeply characterizes the **dynamical behavior** of the system described by the equation



The **period** of the harmonic oscillator is calculated through the previous integral after integration between two extrema (when the velocity  $\frac{du}{dt} = \sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}$  vanishes), i.e.  $u_{\text{ext}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}$ :

$$T = 2 \int_{-\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}}^{\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$$



The **period** of the harmonic oscillator is calculated through the previous integral after integration between two extrema (when the velocity  $\frac{du}{dt} = \sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}$  vanishes), i.e.  $u_{\text{ext}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}$ :

$$T = 2 \int_{-\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}}^{\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$$

The **period** (or the **frequency**) of linear systems is **independent** of the **integral of motion** (energy)



The **period** of the harmonic oscillator is calculated through the previous integral after integration between two extrema (when the velocity  $\frac{du}{dt} = \sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}$  vanishes), i.e.  $u_{\text{ext}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}$ :  $T = 2 \int_{-\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}}^{\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$ 

The **period** (or the **frequency**) of linear systems is **independent** of the **integral of motion** (energy)

- Note that this is not true for non-linear systems, e.g. for an oscillator with a **non-linear restoring force**  $\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + k u(t)^3 = 0$
- The integral of motion is  $I_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_u^2 + \frac{1}{4}k u^4$  and the

integration yields 
$$T = 2 \int_{-(4I_1/k)^{1/4}}^{(4I_1/k)^{1/4}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \frac{1}{2}k \ u^4}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \Gamma^2(\frac{1}{4}) (I_1 \ k)^{-1/4}$$



The **period** of the harmonic oscillator is calculated through the previous integral after integration between two extrema (when the velocity  $\frac{du}{dt} = \sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}$  vanishes), i.e.  $u_{\text{ext}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}$  :  $T = 2 \int_{-\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}}^{\frac{\sqrt{2I_1}}{\omega_0}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \omega_0^2 u^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0}$ 

- The **period** (or the **frequency**) of linear systems is **independent** of the **integral of motion** (energy)
- Note that this is not true for non-linear systems, e.g. for an oscillator with a **non-linear restoring force**  $\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + k u(t)^3 = 0$
- The integral of motion is  $I_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_u^2 + \frac{1}{4}k u^4$  and the

integration yields 
$$T = 2 \int_{-(4I_1/k)^{1/4}}^{(4I_1/k)^{1/4}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2I_1 - \frac{1}{2}k \ u^4}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \Gamma^2(\frac{1}{4}) (I_1 k)^{-1/4}$$

This means that the **period** (frequency) **depends** on the **integral of motion** (energy) i.e. the maximum "**amplitude**" <sup>25</sup>



### The pendulum



An important non-linear equation which can be integrated is the one of the **pendulum**, for a string of length *L* and gravitational constant *g* 

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + \frac{g}{L}\sin\theta = 0$$

For small displacements it reduces to a \*

harmonic oscillator with frequency

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}$$

By appropriate substitutions, this becomes the equation of **synchrotron motion** (**see Longitudinal beam dynamics lectures**) mg cost

mq

mg sino



### Solution for the pendulum



The **integral of motion** (scaled energy) is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{g}{L}\cos\theta = I_1 = E'$$

and the quadrature is written as  $t = \int \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{2(I_1 + \frac{g}{L}\cos\theta)}}$ assuming that for t = 0,  $\theta_0 = \theta(0) = 0$ 



### Solution for the pendulum



The **integral of motion** (scaled energy) is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{g}{L}\cos\theta = I_1 = E'$$

and the quadrature is written as  $t = \int \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{2(I_1 + \frac{g}{L}\cos\theta)}}$ assuming that for t = 0,  $\theta_0 = \theta(0) = 0$ 

Using the substitutions  $\cos \theta = 1 - 2k^2 \sin^2 \phi$  with  $k = \sqrt{1/2(1 + I_1 L/g)}$ , the integral is

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \int_0^\theta \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta}}$$

and can be solved using

**Jacobi elliptic functions**:  $\theta(t) = 2 \arcsin \left[ k \sin \left( t \sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}, k \right) \right]$ with "Sn " representing the **Jacobi elliptic sine** 28



### Solution for the pendulum





Minima and maxima of the potential correspond to stable and unstable fixed points

## CERN

and

# For recovering the **period**, the integration is performed between the two extrema, i.e. $\theta = 0$

 $\theta = \arccos(-I_1 L/g),$  corresponding to  $\,\phi = 0\,$  and  $\,\phi = \pi/2\,$ 

# For recovering the **period**, the integration is performed between the two extrema, i.e. $\theta = 0$ and

- $\theta = \arccos(-I_1 L/g)$ , corresponding to  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = \pi/2$ The **period** is  $T = 4\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \phi}} = 4\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \mathcal{K}(k)$ 
  - i.e. the **complete elliptic integral** multiplied by four times the period of the harmonic oscillator



### For recovering the period, the integration is performed between the two extrema, i.e. $\theta = 0$ and

- $\theta = \arccos(-I_1 L/g)$ , corresponding to  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = \pi/2$ The **period** is  $T = 4\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \phi}} = 4\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} \mathcal{K}(k)$ 
  - i.e. the **complete elliptic integral** multiplied by four times the period of the harmonic oscillator
  - By expanding  $\mathcal{K}(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \right)^2 k^{2n} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4}k^2 + \cdots \right)$ with  $k = \sqrt{1/2(1 + I_1L/g)}$ , the "amplitude" dependence of the frequency becomes apparent





- The deviation from the linear approximation becomes important at large amplitudes
- The dependence of frequency with amplitude (spread) is useful for (Landau) damping ("beam") instabilities





September 2024

Accelerator School,

CERN

Hamiltonian formalism





# Langrangian and Hamiltonian





Describe motion of particles in q<sub>n</sub> coordinates (*n* degrees of freedom) from time t<sub>1</sub> to time t<sub>2</sub>
 It can be achieved by the Lagrangian function L(q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>, q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>, t) with (q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>) the generalized coordinates and (q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>) the generalized velocities





- Describe motion of particles in q<sub>n</sub> coordinates
   (*n* degrees of freedom) from time t<sub>1</sub> to time t<sub>2</sub>
- □ It can be achieved by the Lagrangian function  $L(q_1, ..., q_n, \dot{q_1}, ..., \dot{q_n}, t)$  with  $(q_1, ..., q_n)$  the generalized coordinates and  $(\dot{q_1}, ..., \dot{q_n})$  the generalized velocities
- □ The Lagrangian is defined as L = T V, i.e. difference between **kinetic** and **potential** energy
- The integral  $S = \int L(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t) dt$ defines the **action**
- **Hamilton's principle**: system evolves so as the action becomes extremum (principle of **stationary action**)



### Euler- Lagrange equations



**D** By using **Hamilton's principle**, i.e.  $\delta S = 0$ over some time interval  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  for two stationary points  $\delta q(t_1) = \delta q(t_2) = 0$  (see appendix), the following differential equations for each degree of freedom are obtained, the Euler-Lagrange equations  $d \ \partial L$  $-\overline{\partial a}$  $\overline{dt} \ \overline{\partial \dot{q}}$ 

### Constant Euler- Lagrange equations



**\Box** By using **Hamilton's principle**, i.e.  $\delta S = 0$ over some time interval  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  for two stationary points  $\delta q(t_1) = \delta q(t_2) = 0$  (see appendix), the following differential equations for each degree of freedom are obtained, the Euler-Lagrange equations  $d \ \partial L$  $\frac{dt}{dt} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q}$ 

In other words, by knowing the form of the Lagrangian, the equations of motion can be derived





□ For a simple **force law** contained in a potential function, governing motion among interacting particles, the (classical) **Lagrangian** is (or as Landau-Lifshitz put it "experience has shown that...") n = 1

$$L = T - V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} m_i \dot{q}_i^2 - V(q_1, \dots, q_n)$$

☐ For velocity independent potentials, Lagrange equations become

$$m_i \ddot{q_i} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}$$

From Lagrangian to Hamiltonian



- Some **disadvantages** of the Lagrangian formalism:
  - No uniqueness: different Lagrangians can lead to same equations
  - Physical significance not straightforward (even its basic form given more by "experience" and the fact that it actually works that way!)
  - **Note:** The (relativistic) Lagrangian is very useful in **particle physics** (invariant under Lorentz transformations)

From Lagrangian to Hamiltonian



- Some **disadvantages** of the Lagrangian formalism:
  - No uniqueness: different Lagrangians can lead to same equations
  - Physical significance not straightforward (even its basic form given more by "experience" and the fact that it actually works that way!)
- Note: The (relativistic) Lagrangian is very useful in particle physics (invariant under Lorentz transformations)
- □ Lagrangian function provides in general *n* second order differential equations (coordinate space)
- Already observed advantage to move to system of 2n first order differential equations, which are more straightforward to solve (phase space)

Hamiltonian formalism



The Hamiltonian of the system is defined as the Legendre transformation of the Lagrangian

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum \dot{q}_i p_i - L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$$

where the **generalised momenta** are  $p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$ 

Mamiltonian formalism



□ The **Hamiltonian** of the system is defined as the **Legendre** transformation of the Lagrangian

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum \dot{q}_i p_i - L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$$

2

 $\partial L$ where the **generalised momenta** are  $p_i = \frac{1}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$ 

The generalised velocities can be expressed as a function of the generalised momenta if the previous equation is invertible, and thereby define the Hamiltonian of the system

Mamiltonian formalism



□ The **Hamiltonian** of the system is defined as the **Legendre** transformation of the Lagrangian

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} p_{i} - L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$$

where the **generalised momenta** are  $p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}}$ . The **generalised in t** 

The generalised velocities can be expressed as a function of the generalised momenta if the previous equation is invertible, and thereby define the Hamiltonian of the system **Example:** consider  $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum m_i \dot{q}_i^2 - V(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  $\Box$  From this, the momentum can be determined as  $p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}_i} = m \dot{q}_i$ which can be trivially inverted to provide the Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + V(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ 

### Hamilton's equations



The equations of motion can be derived from the Hamiltonian following the same variational principle as for the Lagrangian ("stationary" action) but also by simply taking the differential of the Hamiltonian (see appendix)

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} , \ \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} , \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$$

## Hamilton's equations



The equations of motion can be derived from the Hamiltonian following the same variational principle as for the Lagrangian ("stationary" action) but also by simply taking the differential of the Hamiltonian (see appendix)

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} , \ \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} , \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$$

These are indeed 2n + 2 equations describing the motion in the **"extended" phase space**  $(q_1, \ldots, q_n, p_1, \ldots, p_n, t, -H)$  Properties of Hamiltonian flow



The variables (q<sub>1</sub>,...,q<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>1</sub>,...,p<sub>n</sub>,t,-H) are called canonically conjugate (or canonical) and define the evolution of the system in phase space
 These variables have the special property that they preserve volume in phase space, i.e. satisfy the well-known Liouville's theorem
 The variables used in the Lagrangian do not necessarily have this property

Properties of Hamiltonian



 $\Box$  The variables  $(q_1, \ldots, q_n, p_1, \ldots, p_n, t, -H)$  are called canonically conjugate (or canonical) and define the evolution of the system in **phase space** □ These variables have the special property that they preserve volume in phase space, i.e. satisfy the well-known Liouville's theorem □ The variables used in the **Lagrangian do not** necessarily **have** this **property** Hamilton's equations can be written in **vector form**  $\dot{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla H(\mathbf{z})$  with  $\mathbf{z} = (q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and  $\nabla = (\partial q_1, \dots, \partial q_n, \partial p_1, \dots, \partial p_n)$ 

The  $2n \times 2n$  matrix  $\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$  is called the **symplectic** matrix

# Poisson brackets



- Crucial step in study of Hamiltonian systems is identification of **integrals of motion**
- □ Consider a **time dependent function** of phase space. Its time evolution is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{dq_i}{dt}\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} + \frac{dp_i}{dt}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = [H,f] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$

where [H, f] is the **Poisson bracket** of f with H

# Poisson brackets



- Crucial step in study of Hamiltonian systems is identification of **integrals of motion**
- □ Consider a **time dependent function** of phase space. Its time evolution is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{dq_i}{dt}\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} + \frac{dp_i}{dt}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = [H,f] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$

where [H, f] is the Poisson bracket of f with H
If a quantity is explicitly time-independent and its Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian vanishes (i.e. commutes with H), it is a constant (or integral) of motion (as an autonomous Hamiltonian itself)





# Canonical transformations





Find a function for transforming the Hamiltonian from variable (q, p) to (Q, P), so system becomes simpler to study
 Transformation should be canonical (or symplectic), so that Hamiltonian properties (phase-space volume) are preserved

### Canonical Transformations



□ Find a **function** for transforming the Hamiltonian from variable  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  to  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})$ , so system becomes **simpler** to study Transformation should be **canonical** (or **symplectic**), so that Hamiltonian properties (phase-space volume) are preserved □ These "mixed variable" **generating** functions are derived by  $F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) : p_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_i}, \ P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} \ F_3(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{p}) : q_i = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_i}, \ P_i = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q_i}$  $F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}): p_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_i}, \ Q_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i} \quad F_4(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{P}): q_i = -\frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_i}, \ Q_i = \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_i}$ A general **non-autonomous** Hamiltonian is transformed to  $H(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, t) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial t}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ 

### **Canonical Transformations**



□ Find a **function** for transforming the Hamiltonian from variable  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  to  $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P})$ , so system becomes **simpler** to study Transformation should be **canonical** (or **symplectic**), so that Hamiltonian properties (phase-space volume) are preserved □ These "mixed variable" **generating** functions are derived by  $F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) : p_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_i}, \ P_i = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q_i} \ F_3(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{p}) : q_i = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_i}, \ P_i = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q_i}$  $F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}): p_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_i}, \ Q_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i} \quad F_4(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{P}): q_i = -\frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_i}, \ Q_i = \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_i}$ A general **non-autonomous Hamiltonian** is transformed to  $H(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, t) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial t}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ • One generating function can be constructed by the other through Legendre transformations, e.g.  $F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}) = F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) - \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{P}$ ,  $F_3(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{p}) = F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) - \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ , with the inner product defined as  $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \sum q_i p_i$ 54





- A fundamental property of canonical transformations is the preservation of phase space volume
- □ This **volume** preservation in phase space can be represented in the **old** and **new variables** as

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} dp_i dq_i = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} dP_i dQ_i$$

Preservation of Phase Volume



- A fundamental property of canonical transformations is the preservation of phase space volume
- □ This **volume** preservation in phase space can be represented in the **old** and **new variables** as

 $\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} dp_i dq_i = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} dP_i dQ_i$ 

□ The volume elements in old and new variables are related through the **Jacobian** 

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} dp_i dq_i = \frac{\partial(P_1, \dots, P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_n)}{\partial(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} dP_i dQ_i$$

Preservation of Phase Volume



- A fundamental property of canonical transformations is the preservation of phase space volume
- □ This **volume** preservation in phase space can be represented in the **old** and **new variables** as

 $\int \prod_{i=1}^n dp_i dq_i = \int \prod_{i=1}^n dP_i dQ_i$ The volume elements in old and new variables are related through the Jacobian

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} dp_i dq_i = \frac{\partial(P_1, \dots, P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_n)}{\partial(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} dP_i dQ_i$$

These two relationships imply that the Jacobian of a canonical transformation should have determinant equal to 1

$$\frac{\partial(P_1,\ldots,P_n,Q_1,\ldots,Q_n)}{\partial(p_1,\ldots,p_n,q_1,\ldots,q_n)}\bigg| = \bigg|\frac{\partial(p_1,\ldots,p_n,q_1,\ldots,q_n)}{\partial(P_1,\ldots,P_n,Q_1,\ldots,Q_n)}\bigg| = \frac{1}{57}$$

### Examples of transformations



□ The transformation Q = -p, P = q, which **interchanges conjugate variables** is area preserving, as the Jacobian is

$$\frac{\partial(P,Q)}{\partial(p,q)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$





□ The transformation Q = -p, P = q, which **interchanges conjugate variables** is area preserving, as the Jacobian is

$$\frac{\partial(P,Q)}{\partial(p,q)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

□ On the other hand, the transformation from **Cartesian to polar** coordinates  $q = P \cos Q$ ,  $p = P \sin Q$  is not, since

$$\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(Q,P)} = \begin{vmatrix} -P\sin Q & P\cos Q\\ \cos Q & \sin Q \end{vmatrix} = -P$$





□ The transformation Q = -p, P = q, which **interchanges conjugate variables** is area preserving, as the Jacobian is

$$\frac{\partial(P,Q)}{\partial(p,q)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

□ On the other hand, the transformation from **Cartesian to polar** coordinates  $q = P \cos Q$ ,  $p = P \sin Q$  is not, since

$$\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(Q,P)} = \begin{vmatrix} -P\sin Q & P\cos Q\\ \cos Q & \sin Q \end{vmatrix} = -P$$

□ There are actually "polar" coordinates that are canonical, given by  $q = -\sqrt{2P} \cos Q$ ,  $p = \sqrt{2P} \sin Q$  for which  $\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(Q,P)} = \begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{2P} \sin Q & \sqrt{2P} \cos Q \\ -\frac{\cos Q}{\sqrt{2P}} & \frac{\sin Q}{\sqrt{2P}} \end{vmatrix} = 1$ 

## Summary of Lecture I



- 2<sup>nd</sup> order dif. equations of motion from Newton's law (configuration space) can be solved by transforming them to pairs of 1<sup>st</sup> order ones (in phase space)
- Natural appearance of invariant of motion ("energy")
- Non-linear oscillators have frequencies which depend on the invariant (or "amplitude")
  - Connected invariant of motion to system's Hamiltonian (derived through Lagrangian)
  - Shown that through the **Hamiltonian** , the **equations** of **motions** can be **derived**
  - **Poisson bracket** operators are helpful for discovering integrals of motion
  - **Canonical** (or **symplectic**) transformations are necessary for preserving the phase space-volume





Derivation of Lagrange equations



The variation of the action can be written as

$$\delta W = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( L(q + \delta q, \dot{q} + \delta \dot{q}, t) - L(q, \dot{q}, t) \right) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right) dt$$

- **Taking into account that**  $\delta \dot{q} = \frac{d\delta q}{dt}$ , the 2<sup>nd</sup> part of the
  - integral can be integrated by parts giving

$$\delta W = \left| \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q \right|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \right) \delta q dt = 0$$

The first term is zero because  $\delta q(t_1) = \delta q(t_2) = 0$ so the second integrant should also vanish, providing the following differential equations for each degree of freedom, the **Lagrange equations**  $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0$  Derivation of Hamilton's equations



The equations of motion can be derived from the Hamiltonian following the same variational principle as for the Lagrangian ("least" action) but also by simply taking the differential of the Hamiltonian

$$dH = \sum_{i} p_{i} d\dot{q}_{i} + \dot{q}_{i} dp_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} d\dot{q}_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt$$

Derivation of Hamilton's equations



The equations of motion can be derived from the Hamiltonian following the same variational principle as for the Lagrangian ("least" action) but also by simply taking the differential of the Hamiltonian

$$dH = \sum_{i} p_{i} d\dot{q}_{i} + \dot{q}_{i} dp_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} d\dot{q}_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt$$
or
$$p_{i} \qquad p_{i} \qquad p_{i}$$

$$dH(q, p, t) = \sum_{i} \dot{q}_{i} dp_{i} - \dot{p}_{i} dq_{i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} dp_{i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}} dq_{i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} dt$$

**By** equating terms, **Hamilton's equations** are derived

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} , \ \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} , \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}$$

□ These are indeed 2n + 2 equations describing the motion in the "extended" phase space  $(q_i, ..., q_n, p_1, ..., p_n, t, -H)$ 

Poisson brackets' properties The Poisson brackets between two functions of a set of canonical variables can be defined by the differential operator

$$[f,g] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

(CÉRN

Poisson brackets' properties

of canonical variables can be defined by the differential **operator** 

$$[f,g] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

□ From this definition, and for any three given functions, the following **properties** can be shown  $[af + bg, h] = a[f, h] + b[g, h], a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  bilinearity

### [f,g] = -[g,f] anticommutativity [f,[g,h]] + [g,[h,f]] + [h,[f,g]] = 0 Jacobi's identity [f,gh] = [f,g]h + g[f,h] Leibniz's rule

Poisson brackets operation satisfies a Lie algebra

### Preservation of Phase Volume



68

- □ A fundamental property of Hamiltonian systems is the **preservation** of **phase space volume** as they evolve
- □ Let's have a system evolving from  $(p_i q_i) \rightarrow (p'_i q'_i)$  after time  $\delta t$ . By Taylor-expanding and using Hamilton's equations we have:

$$q'_{i} = q_{i}(t + \delta t) = q_{i}(t) + \frac{dq_{i}}{dt}\delta t + O(\delta t^{2}) = q_{i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}\delta t + O(\delta t^{2})$$
$$p'_{i} = p_{i}(t + \delta t) = p_{i}(t) + \frac{dp_{i}}{dt}\delta t + O(\delta t^{2}) = p_{i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}\delta t + O(\delta t^{2})$$

Differentiating, we have

$$dq'_{i} = dq_{i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}}\right) dq_{i} \delta t + O(\delta t^{2})$$
$$dp'_{i} = dp_{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{i}}\right) dp_{i} \delta t + O(\delta t^{2})$$

 $\Box \text{ Multiplying the two equations}$  $dq'_i dp'_i = dq_i dp_i \left[ 1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right) \right] \delta t + O(\delta t^2) \approx dq_i dp_i$