Enabling Specialized Unfolding Methods with Modern Machine Learning (ML) **Overview of Binned ML Unfolding Methods**

Jingjing Pan (Jing) France-Berkeley PHYSTAT Workshop, June 11, 2024





Unfolding: Bridging the Gap...





Necessary to compare data across different experiments / with theory

Figure credit: Wouter Waalewijn (theory overview @BOOST 2020)





- 1. Unfolding as a classification task
- 2. Optimizing reco-level observables with ML
- Fast regularized neural posterior estimation with normalizing flows



- 1. Unfolding as a classification task
- 2. Optimizing reco-level observables with ML
- Fast regularized neural posterior
 estimation with normalizing flows



1. Unfolding as a classification task

<u>1712.01814</u>

with ML

East regularized neural posterior estimation with flows

4. (Bonus) Enabling profiling with 1L





Number of the input variables (can readily adapt to high dim)





Number of the input variables (can readily adapt to high dim)





Number of the input variables (can readily adapt to high dim)

Analogous to the response matrix





 $N_{
m bin}^2$

Number of the input variables (can readily adapt to high dim)

Analogous to the response matrix





 $N_{
m bin}^2$

Loss function N_{evt} N_{bin} $H(p,q) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{i}^{e} \log q_{i}^{e}$ e=1 i=1

> Number of the input variables (can readily adapt to high dim)

Analogous to the response matrix





- Iterate to reduce prior dependence
 - Can start with flat prior $F(x_g) = const$.

• Update and obtain $F'_n(x) = \frac{1}{N_{evt, data}} \sum_{e=1}^{N_{evt, data}} q_i^{e, data}$ for iteration *n*

- Re-sample the MC & re-train the classifier
- (Ensembling to get the result)

















1. Unfolding as a classification task

Optimizing reco-level observables
 with ML <u>2203.16722</u>

 Fast regularized neural posterior estimation with flows

4. (Bonus) Enabling profiling with 1L





• Usually we discuss the art of matrix inversion (i.e. How)



- Usually we discuss the art of matrix inversion (i.e. How)
- Step 0: What is the observable?



Can we do better than simply adding variables / going to higher dimension?



- Usually we discuss the art of matrix inversion (i.e. How)
- Step 0: What is the observable?
 - Can we do better than simply adding variables / going to higher dimension?
 - YES!
 - Detector-level: from energy flow objects
 - Particle-level: from a list of 4-vectors, must be linked to theory for comparison













- ML-assisted reconstruction goals
 - Reduce bias
 - Improve resolution



Mean,





- ML-assisted reconstruction goals
 - Reduce bias
 - Improve resolution
- QED-regression DNN for a Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) example: learns Q^2 , x, y from distorted inputs









- ML-assisted reconstruction goals
 - Reduce bias
 - Improve resolution
- QED-regression DNN for a Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) example: learns Q^2 , x, y from distorted inputs

QED-regression DNN gives the finest resolution & corrects for acceptance effects









<u>2203.16722</u>

Normalized response matrix, Sigma



^Ш14000 12000 10000 8000 6000 4000 - Unfolded 2000 Gen -0.5 -1.5 -2.5 -2 -1 0 log10(x)







Correlation coefficients, Sigma



a start and the start of the start







 ML-assisted reconstruction reco-level observables has the most diagonal fractions throughout phase space

2203.16722

Normalized response matrix, Sigma



山14000 12000 10000 8000 6000 4000 - Unfolded 2000 Gen -0.5 -2.5 -1.5 -2 -1 0 log10(x)







Correlation coefficients, Sigma



a start and the start of the start of the







- ML-assisted reconstruction reco-level observables has the most diagonal fractions throughout phase space
- Loss function = (particle-level target) + (particle-level detector-level)²

Normalized response matrix, Sigma



^ш14000 12000 10000 8000 6000 4000 - Unfolded 2000 Gen -2.5 -1.5 -0.5 -2 -1 0 log10(x)







Correlation coefficients, Sigma









- 1. Unfolding as a classification task
- 2. Optimizing reco-level observables with ML
- Fast regularized neural posterior
 estimation with normalizing flows

4. (Bonus) Enabling profiling with ML



Fully Bayesian Unfolding: 1201.4612 **Neural Posterior Unfolding** 2406.xxxxx Fast regularized neural posterior estimation with NFs

- Motivations
 - Bayesian: access to full posterior
 - Circumvent MCMC for sampling to fit parameters via amortized ML: directly learn $Pr(t_i | m_i)$ from prior pairs that are passed through R
 - Normalizing Flows (NFs) as the density estimator is inherently regularized through model selection (i.e. the validation loss)
 - Still sensible in phase space poorly constrained by data (i.e. response matrix) with degeneracy







Correlation coefficient = 1 Small migration





Correlation coefficient = 0 **Response degenerate**









































Thanks!



Backup