# Theory precision for future experiments - status and needs for collider physics -



Pittsburgh May 13-17, 2024

### Laura Reina Florida State University



# Future directions: energy and precision

**Answering the big Open Questions via energy and precision** Ø**Origin of the EW scale** (SSB via Higgs mechanism, naturalness, flavor)  $\triangleright$  Origin of Baryon Asymmetry, Dark Matter, Dark Energy Ø…



Given the level of consistency of the SM, and no clear evidence of new particles in LHC searches so far, we expect new physics effects to be small.

**Precision affects the sensitivity to both direct and indirect effects of new physics since it enhance sensitivity to small deviations.**

# Precision collider phenomenology (theory precision for collider experiments)

- Precision is **intrinsic to a predictive theory,** such as the Standard Model (SM).
- **Percent-level collider phenomenology** offers a **unique opportunity to explore some of**  the core questions of particle physics and uncover new physics.
- The **physics potential of the (HL-)LHC and future colliders greatly depends on** enabling and successfully executing a **broad precision phenomenology program**.
- Precision **requires theory and experiments to reach comparable accuracy**.

# Precision phenomenology at the (HL)-LHC

**Universal limitations** Luminosity Energy resolution (particles, jets) **Both about 1 %** ATLAS, 2212.09379 CMS, 2104.01927 ATLAS, 1703.09665 CMS, 1607.03663



20 -fold increase in statistics by the end of HL-LHC

**Statistical limitations will be overcome** for a very large number of observables

Focus on systematics!

**Theoretical systematics could become the main limitation**

### Precision intrinsic to a predictive theory: SM global fits



Tensions could become real indications of NP effects with the precision of the HL-LHC or of a future  $e^+e^$ machine, if theory match the precision of experiments.







#### **A**<br>**A** *A*<sub>2</sub> (*A*<sup>1</sup>) 3.000 (1.5) 2.450 (1.5) 4.450 (1.8)  $\frac{1}{2}$  (1.8)  $\$ <u>SHOWINASS ZUZT EWN TO REPOIT = 2209.080</u>  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ Snowmass 2021 EWK TG Report – 2209.08078

## SM global fits: the  $M_W$  puzzle

 $\mathbb{B}$ 

Tevatron

LHC



Mass measured by fitting template distributions of transverse momentum and mass

Template fitting is acceptable if theory describes data with high accuracy



#### decays at the sensitivity is significant at least  $\alpha$ More constraining parameters





## Establishing the scalar sector of the SM and probing  $\Lambda_{NP}$



 $\kappa$ 

 $\kappa$ 

 $\kappa$ 

 $\kappa$ 

 $k$ 

 $\kappa$ 

Theory need to improve modeling and interpretation of LHC events, in particular when new iprove modeling and interpretation or the events, in particular when new<br>physics may not be a simple rescaling of SM interactions



The breadth of collider physics program: a unique spectrum of SM measurements and BSM direct searches!



### The realization of this program largely depend on theoretical progress

### **Beyond total rates**



Need SM precision calculations at differential level both at **lower energy,** where rates are large and at **higher energy** where rates are small but effects of new physics may be more visible.

Extending the SM via effective interactions above the EW scale  $\longrightarrow$  **SMEFT** 



# Theory for percent-level phenomenology

• A realm where mathematical progress and phenomenological studies and intuition are strongly intertwined and have brought so much progress, paving the way to tackle future challenges.

#### Dissecting the challenge • **P**urise of the challenge and  $\alpha$ to realistically describe complex collider events



### Many components to percent precision



# N<sup>X</sup>LO predictions- state of the art

For a complete summary of existing and auspicable results see **Les Houches list [Huss et al., 2207.02122, updated 2023]**



# Higgs production via gg fusion at  $N^3LO$



#### Continuous progress on a crucial process

- The leading Higgs production mode
- A benchmark test of QCD, and QCD+EW, including H+j production
- An excellent testing ground to probe theoretical accuracy



#### ... crucial to map residual uncertainties vidual diterta





4-loop splitting functions (low moments) – Moch, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren, Vogt, 2111.15561 **DY@N3LO QCD** – Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2001.07717, 2007.13313

# DY at  $N^3LO$  – input to PDF fits and  $M_W$  measurement





Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2001.07717

- Scale dependence: non-uniform behavior in all Q-regions  $\bullet$
- Important input for PDFs (not yet included)  $\bullet$
- Region around  $Q^{\sim}M_w$ : reconsider how to estimate  $\bullet$ theoretical uncertainty from scale variation

Recall from before: need 0.1% accuracy in template distributions in order to achieve  $\Delta M_w$ ~10 MeV

**CC-DY** 



Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger, 2007.13313

### $DY$  at  $N^3LO$  – dedicated PDF study



Baglio, Duhr, Mistlberger, Szafron, 2209.06138 (n3loxs – public numerical code)

Different patterns observed in CC vs NC cannot be ignored for precision measurements, since the introduced bias can be sizable at percent level.

## $DY$  at  $N^3LO+N^3LL$  – differential



### VH at N<sup>3</sup>LO, first complete calculation

#### Same color structure as DY, same characteristic behavior, same lesson learnt in assessing theoretical uncertainties



## $PDF - first approximate N<sup>3</sup>LO sets$



 $aN^3LO \rightarrow MSHT20aN^3LO$ 

McGowan, Cridge, Harland-Lang, Thorne, 2207.04739

- Based on  $N^3LO$  approximation to structure functions and DGLAP evolution
- Making use of all available knowledge to constrain PDF parametrization, including both exact, resummed, and approximate estimates of N3LO results
- Including PDF uncertainty from missing higher-orders (MHOU) as theoretical uncertainty in the fit
- **Gluon fusion to H**: the increase in the cross section prediction at  $N^3LO$  is compensated by the N3LO PDF, suggesting a cancellation between terms in the PDF and cross section theory at N3LO → **matching orders matters!**
- Ø **Vector Boson Fusion**: no relevant change in going from N2LO to N3LO PDF, due to different partonic channel involved.

### NNLO for 2→3 processes

- Several recent results for  $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$ ,  $\gamma \gamma j$ ,  $\gamma jj$ ,  $jjj$ Chawdry, Czakon, Mitov, Poncelet; Kallweit, Sotnikov, Wiesemann; Badger, Gerhmann, Marcoli, Moodie;
- Most recently first NNLO results for multi-scale processes:  $b\bar{b}W$ ,  $t\bar{t}W$ ,  $t\bar{t}H$

1 massive final-state particle (b massless) 1 and 3 massive final-state

Hartanto, Poncelet, Popescu, Zoia

particles

2205.01687 Buonocore, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Rotoli, Savoini , 2306.16311 Catani, Devoto, Grazzini, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Savoini , 2210.07846

Major bottle neck: 2-loop 5-point amplitudes Evaluated in  $t\bar{t}W$ ,  $t\bar{t}H$  calculation by soft-W/H approximation

**Very recently first results for 2-loop amplitudes** 

Major impact on LHC

phenomenology

Febres Cordero, Figueiredo, Krauss, Page, Reina, 2312.08131 Buccioni, Kreer, Liu, Tancredi, 2312.10015 Agarwal, Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Klein, 2402.03301

# ttW and ttH at NNLO





450 500 550 600 650 700 750

 $r \in \mathbb{R}$ 

# NLO: push the multiplicity challenge

Beyond on-shell production to match fiducial measurements



### ... exploring boosted kinematics and off-shell signatures



Pointing to the need for precision in modelling signatures from tT+X processes in regions where on-shell calculations may not be accurate enough

### … deploying new techniques to interpret complex signatures

*<sup>b</sup>* )*,* (18)

The case of **bbH production including QCD+EW corrections** The extraction of  $y<sub>b</sub>$  seems lost









A kinematic-shape based analysis based on game theory (Shapley values) and BDT techniques opened new possibilities LOQCD <sup>=</sup>) *<sup>O</sup>*(*y*<sup>2</sup> *<sup>b</sup>* )*,* (16) **"Resurrecting Hbb with kinematic shapes"**

NLOMS <sup>1</sup> *<sup>|</sup><sup>y</sup>t*=0 <sup>=</sup>) *<sup>O</sup>*(*y*<sup>2</sup> [Grojean et al., arXiv:2011.13945]

New techniques will open the possibility of turning problematic rate pecasing of carring processions.<br>Analog of the quantum structure of the *n* obes or the quantum structure or the processes into powerful probes of the quantum structure of the SM

<sup>2</sup> <sup>=</sup>) *<sup>O</sup>*(*y*<sup>2</sup>





## Parton-shower event generators



From S. Ferrario Ravasio, RADCOR 2023

- $\triangleright$  Standard PS are Leading Logarithmic (LL)  $\rightarrow$  becoming a limitation
- $\triangleright$  Several groups aiming for NLL hadron-collider PS Nagy&Soper, PanScales, Holguin- Forshaw-Platzer, Herren-Höche-Krauss- Reichelt-

*Slide from G. Salam*

Crucial ingredient to reproduce the complexity of collider events

Often unknown or with poor formal accuracy (built in approx., tunings, etc.)



### More challenges: non-perturbative effects  $O((\Lambda_{QCD}/Q)^p)$

Estimate of "p" for all relevant processes crucial to LHC precision program

A few tens GeV < Q < a few hundreds GeV  $\rightarrow (\Lambda_{QCD}/Q)^p$ ~ $(0.01)^p$  - $(0.001)^p$ 

Perturbative predictions at percent level will have to be supplemented with nonperturbative effects if  $p = 1$  for a particular process or observable.

No general theory. Direct calculations have shown that there are no linear non-pert power corrections in:

 $\triangleright$  Z transverse-momentum distributions

Ferrario Ravasio, Limatola, Nason, 2011.14114



 $\triangleright$  Observables that are inclusive with respect to QCD radiation

Caola, Ferrario Ravasio, Limatola, Melnikov, Nason, 2108.08897, same+Ozcelik 2204.02247

### **Summary**

- **Collider physics** remains as a **unique and necessary test of any BSM hypothesis,** and in this context **precision phenomenology will play a crucial role**.
- **The HL-LHC** will accumulate 20 times what it has so far and **will deliver precision measurements beyond expectations.**
- **Increasing the theoretical accuracy on SM observables** (Higgs, top, EW**) is crucial**: a factor of 10 in precision could allow to test scale in the 10 TeV and beyond.
- Reaching this level of theoretical accuracy has **multiple components**, all of which have been the focus of **intense and highly creative theoretical work**.
- **Direct evidence of new physics could boost this process**, as the discovery of the Higgs boson has prompted us in this new era of LHC physics.