



FASERv: a non-unitary of the leptonic mixing matrix

Jesús Miguel Celestino Ramírez

Physics department, Cinvestav

Based on: 2309.00116



FASER experiment and FASER ν (FASER ν 2) detector

FASER ν features¹:

FASER: ForwArd Search ExpeRiment at the LHC

- has a total Tungsten target mass of 1.2 tons.
- A baseline of 480 m.
- Works from 100 GeV to 1 TeV.
- Expects, approximately, 1000 v_e , 3000 v_μ and 20 v_τ



The PDG parametrization

$$U = R_{23}(\theta_{23}; 0)R_{13}(\theta_{13}; \delta)R_{12}(\theta_{12}; 0)P$$

$$Majorana phase$$

$$R_{13}(\theta_{13}; \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$
Dirac phase
$$Majorana phase$$

Neutrino oscillation probability

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) = \left| \sum_{j} U_{\alpha j}^{*} U_{\beta j} e^{\frac{-i m_{j}^{2}}{2E}L} \right|^{2} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \Re\{U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta i} U_{\beta j}^{*}\} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}}{4E}L\right) - 2 \sum_{i>j} \Im\{U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta i} U_{\beta j}^{*}\} \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}}{2E}L\right)$$

The symmetric Parametrization and the non-unitary effects

$$\mathsf{K} = \omega_{23}(\theta_{23}; \phi_{23})\omega_{13}(\theta_{13}; \phi_{13})\omega_{12}(\theta_{12}; \phi_{12})^{2,3}$$

$$\omega_{23}(\theta_{23};\phi_{23}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & e^{-i\phi_{23}}s_{23} \\ 0 & -e^{i\phi_{23}}s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can extend this formalism to an arbitrary number of neutral heavy leptons :

$$U_{n \times n} = \omega_{n-1,n} \times \omega_{n-2,n} \times \cdots \times \omega_{1,n} \times \omega_{n-2,n-1} \times \cdots \times \omega_{23} \times \omega_{13} \times \omega_{12}$$

$$U_{n \times n} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{3 \times 3} & S_{3 \times m} \\ T_{m \times 3} & V_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix}, \ n = m + 3 \quad \text{The light neutrino sector is no longer unitary.} \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} \end{pmatrix} U^{SM}$$

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) = \sum_{ij}^{3} N_{\alpha i}^{*} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta i} N_{\beta j}^{*} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \Re\{N_{\alpha i}^{*} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta i} N_{\beta j}^{*}\} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}}{4E_{\nu}}L\right) - 2 \sum_{i>j} \Im\{N_{\alpha i}^{*} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta i} N_{\beta j}^{*}\} \sin\left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2}}{2E_{\nu}}L\right)$$

²J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle. Phys. Rev. D, 25:2951, 1982. ³W. Rodejohann , J.W.F. Valle. Phys.Rev.D 84 (2011), 073011

The features and approximations of symmetric parametrization

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) \approx \sum_{ij}^{3} N_{\alpha i}^{*} N_{\alpha j} N_{\beta i} N_{\beta j}^{*}$$

$$P_{ee} = \alpha_{11}^4$$

$$P_{\mu e} = \alpha_{11}^2 |\alpha_{21}|^2$$

$$P_{\mu \mu} = (|\alpha_{21}|^2 + \alpha_{22}^2)^2$$

$$P_{e\tau} = \alpha_{11}^2 |\alpha_{31}|^2$$

$$P_{\mu \tau} \approx \alpha_{22}^2 |\alpha_{32}|^2$$

$$P_{\tau \tau} = (|\alpha_{32}|^2 + |\alpha_{31}|^2 + \alpha_{33}^2)^2$$

$$\frac{\nu_{\mu}}{W^{-}} = G_{F} \sqrt{(NN^{\dagger})_{11}(NN^{\dagger})_{22}} = G_{F} \sqrt{\alpha_{11}^{2}(\alpha_{22}^{2} + |\alpha_{21}|^{2})}$$

$$\left|\alpha_{ij}\right| \leq \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_{ii}^2)(1 - \alpha_{jj}^2)}$$

 ${}^{6}G_{\mu}$ =

Neutrinos events computation

| | FAS | SERν | FASERv2 | | |
|------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|
| Lepton flavor | 10 ² - 10 ⁴ GeV | 100-600 GeV | 10 ² - 10 ⁴ GeV | 100-600 GeV | |
| е | 1095 <u>+</u> 937 | 307±101 | 44230 | 20775 | |
| μ | 2807±909 | 1163±190 | 193630 | 85044 | |
| τ | 19 <u>+</u> 19 | 6±4 | 767 | 314 | |

 $N_{\alpha}^{SM} = \epsilon_{\alpha} N_T \int f(E_{reco}) R(E_{reco}, E_{\nu}) \sigma_{\alpha}(E_{\nu}) \phi_{\alpha} dE_{\nu} dE_{reco}$



 χ^2 analysis

We take into account each disappearance and appearance channel for each flavor.



We have included priors to the values of α_{ij} that will be marginalized in our fit, using as errors, σ_{ij} , the current constraints⁶.

$$N_{\alpha}^{NU} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{11}^{2}(\alpha_{22}^{2} + |\alpha_{21}|^{2})} (N_{\alpha}P_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} P_{\alpha\beta}N_{\beta})$$

FASER ν Results



FASER ν 2 Results



J. M. Celestino-Ramírez, F. J. Escrihuela , L. J. Flores, O. G. Miranda.Phys. Rev. D, 109(1):L011705, 2024.

9

| | FASERv | | FASERv2 | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Parameters | 10^{2} - 10^{4} GeV | 100-600 GeV | 100-600 GeV (5%) | 100-600 GeV (10%) | Current limit |
| $\alpha_{11} \ge$ | 0.818 | 0.894 | 0.97 | 0.944 | 0.969 |
| $\alpha_{22} \geq$ | 0.760 | 0.873 | 0.944 | 0.928 | 0.995 |
| $\alpha_{33} \ge$ | - | - | 0.945 | 0.932 | 0.890 |
| $\alpha_{21} \leq$ | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.013 |
| $\alpha_{31} \leq$ | 0.118 | 0.114 | 0.083 | 0.089 | 0.033 |
| $\alpha_{32} \leq$ | 0.048 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.009 |

J. M. Celestino-Ramírez, F. J. Escrihuela , L. J. Flores, O. G. Miranda.Phys. Rev. D, 109(1):L011705, 2024. D. V. Forero, C. Giunti, C. A. Ternes, and M. Tortola. Phys.Rev.D, 104(7):075030, 2021

Conclusions

- We find that the expected FASERv sensitivity to non-unitarity parameters might give complementary information, useful perhaps in a global analysis.
- On the other hand, for the FASERv2 case, the perspectives are much better and the sensitivity to the α_{11} (related with the electron neutrino disappearance channel) and α_{33} (related with the tau neutrino disappearance channel) parameters could be quite competitive with current restrictions.



Back up

The charged current (CC) lagrangian

$$L = \frac{-g}{\sqrt{2}} W_{\mu}^{-} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \,\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu} P_{L} v_{j}$$

The CC interaction does not concern the Neutral heavy leptons because they are singlets under the electro-weak symmetry. Just the new massive state is taking account in the CC interaction.

$$U_{n \times n} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{3 \times 3} & S_{3 \times m} \\ T_{m \times 3} & V_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad K = (N_{3 \times 3} \quad S_{3 \times m})$$

The process of marginalizing the α parameters

As we said, all the Alpha parameters are involved in the analysis. We did a scan of all the Alpha parameters and the marginalized part is to find the combination of the other 5 Alpha parameters (that you are not interested in) that minimize the chi-squared value for each value of the Alpha parameter that we are interested in analysis.