Latest topics in particle physics and related issues in astrophysics and cosmology

Exploring the Frontiers: Experimental Endeavors in CLFV

Gianantonio Pezzullo Yale University

APS: PARTICLES & FIELDS





- What is Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (CLFV) and why it is interesting?
- How does it fit into the search for Physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM)? \bullet
- What are the experiments that have been done, are being done now, and will being done \bullet in the future?

Outline





- A transition among μ , e, τ that doesn't conserve lepton family number
 - **Muon decay**: $\mu \rightarrow e \nu \nu$ has two neutrinos
 - CLFV is predicted in (for example) $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ or $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ with **NO neutrinos** \bullet
 - Similar τ decays: $\tau \rightarrow \mu$, e + X (and no neutrinos)
 - In neutral K system, $K \rightarrow \mu$, e, and charged B, K to dileptons
 - $H \rightarrow \mu$, e, $\tau + X$

What is CLFV?





Family number: not a fundamental Symmetry

- Family number is not a symmetry of Lagrangian like the charge
 - Quark family number is violated in weak decays in the CKM matrix \checkmark
 - \checkmark We know it's violated in neutral leptons: neutrino oscillations (PMNS matrix)
- But we've never seen it in charged leptons.
- Most "natural" new physics models predict we should have seen it already, even if small. \bullet Why haven't we?





- \bullet
- We need to extend the SM

 $egin{bmatrix} U_{e1} \ U_{\mu 1} \ U_{ au 1} \ U_{ au 1} \ U_{ au 1} \ \end{bmatrix}$

Charged leptons: SM background free search! \bullet

$$\operatorname{Br}(\mu \to e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \left| \sum_{i=2,3} U_{\mu i}^* U_{ei} \frac{\Delta m}{M_V^2} \right|_{V_{ei}}$$

CLFV in the Standard Model

The Standard Model doesn't predict neutrino oscillations nor include neutrino masses

$$egin{array}{ccc} U_{e2} & U_{e3} \ U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \ U_{ au 2} & U_{ au 3} \end{array} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{2}{1i} \Big|^2 < 7$$

$$< 10^{-54}$$



Discovery of Charged Lepton Flavor Violation is New Physics! violation of a (so-far) conservation law







Two Ways to Look at CLFV

- What is the mass scale of new physics?



- What are the symmetries and flavor structure of new physics? 2.
 - angles (the "Yukawas")

the mass scale is not everything; you don't know the couplings or the sizes of mixing





Dedicated Muon beam, colliders and B factory

- Can make very intense **muon beams** ٠
 - High statistics, focused experiments to look for very rare processes

- But muon beams can't study **Higgs**, τ , **B** and **J/\psi decays** directly
 - Colliders win there: LHC and BELLE-II
 - Large production cross-section
 - Well defined initial state in the B-factory case \bullet







Search for rare µ processes

- $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$
 - Oldest studied, most powerful limits and best experiment so far: MEG at PSI
- μ -N \rightarrow e-N
 - Muon to electron conversion: muon converts in field of nucleus, leaving nucleus unchanged (coherent process)



- Experiments upcoming at Fermilab and at JPARC
- µ→eee
 - Ambitious: coming experiment Mu3e at PSI
- μ -N \rightarrow e⁺N'

G. Pezzullo (Yale University)

 $R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- + N \to e^- + N)}{\Gamma(\mu^- + N \to all \text{ captures})}$

Sensitive also to Majorana neutrinos. Looking at in Mu2e and COMET phase I



µ-processes: comparison



Process	Signature	Pros	Cons
µ→eγ	 monochromatic e and γ same vertex same time emitted back-to-back 	 clean signature using µ⁺, we can stay away form the µ-capture products 	 background scales as (beam-intensity)²
µ→eee	 same vertex Σp = 0 invariant mass = m_μ 	 using μ+, we can stay away form the μ-capture products 	 background scales as (beam-intensity)²
µ-N→e-N	 1 monochromatic e- at large energy (~ mµ) 	 clean signature wo problems from accidental backgrounds 	 we are forced to use µ⁻ µ-capture products generates lot of activity in the detectors
µ-N→e+N'	 1 monochromatic e+ at large energy (~ 90 MeV) 	 clean signature wo problems from accidental backgrounds 	 we are forced to use µ⁻ µ-capture products generates lot of activity in the detectors





- What is Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (CLFV) and why it is interesting?
- **(BSM)**?
- \bullet in the future?

Outline

How does it fit into the search for Physics Beyond the Standard Model

What are the experiments that have been done, are being done now, and will being done









Any signal observation would be an unambiguous sign of new physics

G. Pezzullo (Yale University)

Possible contributions to CLFV





Sterile neutrinos and CLFV

- Effect on Lepton Universality and W and Z vertex \bullet
- Sterile neutrino can contribute to CLFV processes







Sterile neutrinos and CLFV

- Effect on Lepton Universality and W and Z vertex
- Sterile neutrino can contribute to CLFV processes \bullet





- **Muons** provide strong limits on LFV Higgs decays for 1st and 2nd generations
- But not if tau involved: Ist-3rd or 2nd-3rd



G. Pezzullo (Yale University)

Constrains on Higgs

 $\begin{pmatrix} Y_{ee} & Y_{e\mu} & Y_{e\tau} \\ Y_{\mu e} & Y_{\mu\mu} & Y_{\mu\tau} \\ Y_{\tau e} & Y_{\tau\mu} & Y_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}^{\text{reconstrained}}$





Two Higgs doublet models

- Induces flavor violation in both quarks and leptons
 - coupling constants $\rho_{ij} \propto \sqrt{m_i m_j}$
 - automatically suppresses 1st and 2nd generation coupling!

$$BR(h \to \tau \mu) = \frac{m_h}{8\pi\Gamma_h} \left(|g_{h\tau\mu}|^2 + |g_{h\mu\tau}|^2 \right)$$







- What is Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (CLFV) and why it is interesting?
- How does it fit into the search for Physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM)? \bullet
- What are the experiments that have been done, are being done now, and will being done in the future?

Outline







- 2-body decay at rest in $e^+ + \gamma$: \bullet
 - monochromatic products
 - angular correlation
 - timing correlation
- Current best limit: $B(\mu \to e\gamma) < 4.2 \times 10^{-13} @ 90\% C.L.$

µ+ beam

stopped beam of 3 x 10⁷ μ /s in a 205 μ m polyethylene target

e⁺ detection

magnetic spectrometer composed by solenoidal magnet and drift chambers for momentum plastic counters for timing









MEG: $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

γ detection

Liquid Xenon detector based on

scintillation light

- fast: 4 / 22 / 45 ns
- high LY: ~ 0.8 x Nal
- short X0: 2.77 cm











- MEG II: 2021~2026, aims at 4×10-14
- Detector resolution and efficiency x2
- Beam intensity x2: $3 \times 10^7/s \rightarrow 5 \times 10^7/s$.
 - Can achieve: 10⁸/s.

	MEG	MEG II (design)	MEG II
ΔE_e [keV]	380	130	9
$\Delta heta_e$ / $\Delta arphi_e$ [mrad]	9/9	7.0/5.5	8/
<i>e</i> ≁ Eff. [%]	40	70	6
ΔE_{γ} [%] (deep/shallow)	1.7/2.4	1.0/1.1	1.7/
Δpos_{γ} [mm]	5	2.4	2
γ Eff. [%]	60	70	6
$\Delta t_{e\gamma}$ [ps]	120	85	8

MEG II





Mu3e: $\mu \rightarrow eee$

- 3-body decay at rest in e⁺e⁻e⁺: \bullet
 - E=m_µ
 - $\Sigma pi = 0$ lacksquare
- Current bet limit: \bullet

 $B(\mu \to eee) < 1 \times 10^{-12} @ 90 \% C.L.$

μ + beam

stopped beam of O(10⁸ μ /s) in a Mylar double hollow cone (L=100 mm, R=19 mm) timing detection

fibers O(I ns), tiles O(0.1 ns)

G. Pezzullo (Yale University)



pixel tracker

pixel dimension: 80 x 80 μ m², thickness: 50 μ m (0.01% \times 0/

layer), time resolution: < 20 ns







- I. We need to produce lot of μ
 - $\sqrt{\sim}10^{18}$ µ to meet the sensitivity goal
- 2. We need to stop the μ in a target

 \Rightarrow slow moving μ are preferable

3. We need to detect an e- @ E=105 MeV

√accuracy <1% to provide signal-to-background separation





- I. We need to produce lot of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
 - $\sqrt{\sim}10^{18}$ µ to meet the sensitivity goal
- 2. We need to stop the μ in a target

 \Rightarrow slow moving μ are preferable

3. We need to detect an e- @ E=105 MeV

√accuracy <1% to provide signal-to-background separation



G. Pezzullo (Yale University)





- I. We need to produce lot of μ
 - $\sqrt{\sim}10^{18}$ µ to meet the sensitivity goal
- 2. We need to stop the μ in a target

 \Rightarrow slow moving μ are preferable

3. We need to detect an e- @ E=105 MeV

√accuracy <1% to provide signal-to-background separation



PHENO2024 - May 13 2024





- I. We need to produce lot of μ
 - $\sqrt{\sim}10^{18}$ µ to meet the sensitivity goal
- 2. We need to stop the μ in a target

 \Rightarrow slow moving μ are preferable

3. We need to detect an e- @ E=105 MeV

√accuracy <1% to provide signal-to-background separation





μ-conversion background: μ decay-in-orbit





PHENO2024 - May 13 2024





Muon conversion: Mu2e @ Fermilab



- Target protons at 8 GeV inside superconducting solenoid at 8kW
- Focus muons and guide through S-shaped region to Al stopping target
- Stop muons, let them fall into a "Is" state
- Check for outgoing electrons





Muon conversion: COMET @ JPARC

- Stage I aims for x100 improvement:
 - cylindrical drift chamber surround the stopping target
 - scint and Cherenkov hodoscopes for Triggering
- Stage II ~ Mu2e
 - important difference is the Cshape solenoid







µ- to e+ conversion search

- Closely related to $0v2\beta$ \bullet
 - or a leptoquark (not shown), ... \bullet



More in Michael Mackenzie's talk!











Mu2e and COMET: differences

- COMET staging has obvious advantages for learning as you go lacksquare
- Charge Symmetry of Detector: \bullet
 - Mu2e: charge symmetric, e+e- the same lacksquare
 - 2nd Bend in COMET Stage II momentum selects ~ 105 MeV e- only \bullet
- Mu2e needs a hollow, COMET does not





Search for $H \rightarrow l\tau$ (I=e or μ) in ATLAS

- The main backgrounds are: $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$, Top processes, W+jets and QCD
- Misidentified tau well modeled using a data-driven method \bullet
- MVA to discriminate signal from background \bullet











Search for $H \rightarrow l\tau$ (I=e or μ) in ATLAS

The $B(H \rightarrow l\tau)$ is related to the nondiagonal Yukawa coupling matrix elements



/ /

 $|Y_{\ell\tau}|^2 + |Y_{\tau\ell}|^2 = \frac{8\pi}{m_H} \frac{\mathcal{B}(H \to \ell\tau)}{1 - \mathcal{B}(H \to \ell\tau)} \Gamma_H(SM)$











T processes also suppressed in Standard Model but less w.r.t. µ:

SM rate ~ 10-49



CLFV and τ decays

More in Swagato Banerjee's talk!



SM rate ~ 10-14

Advantage: BSM rates can be orders of magnitude larger than in associated μ decays **Disadvantage:** T's hard to produce. ~10¹⁰ T/yr vs ~10¹¹µ/s in upcoming µ-experiments











$\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu \mu$ decay at CMS

- Search for LFV $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ decay with 90.4 fb⁻¹
- It includes tau production from heavy flavor (B, D) and W decays
 - $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ populates more the high pT
- Muon pT>7, I, I GeV fit to common vertex
- $pT(3\mu) > 15 \text{ GeV}$
- BDT to separate signal from background
 - Muon identification ${ \bullet }$
 - $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ vertex: chi2, pointing angle
- Split into three categories based on 3µ mass resolution









- the results from 2016 data
- $Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu) < 2.9 \times 10^{-8}$ at 90% CL
 - Getting very close to the world limit from Belle (2.1x10⁻⁸ at 90% CL) \bullet



$\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$ decay at CMS

Final result extracted from simultaneous parametrized fit to all the signal regions including











Majorana neutrinos at ATLAS/CMS

- number violation.
- \bullet seen.



Theories with heavy neutrinos (such as Seesaw models) may have lepton flavor and

Search for events with same-sign dileptons ($e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$ or $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$) and at least two jets. No excess



- K decays can be accurately calculated in the SM \bullet
- NA62 used only ~30% of the data collected in \bullet 2016-2018



LNV in Kaons decay

 $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^- e^+ e^+) < 2.2 \times 10^{-10}$ $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+) < 4.2 \times 10^{-11}$









Pathways to Innovation and Discovery in Particle Physics

Report of the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel 2023





The P5 acknowledged and supports the current CLFV program



Recommendation 4

Not Rank Ordered

- a. Support vigorous R&D toward a cost-effective 10 TeV pCM collider based on proton, muon, or possible wakefield technologies, including an evaluation of options for US siting of such a machine, with a goal of being ready to build major test facilities and demonstrator facilities within the next 10 years (sections 3.2, 5.1, 6.5, and Recommendation 6).
- b. Enhance research in theory to propel innovation, maximize scientific impact of investments in experiments, and expand our understanding of the universe (section 6.1).
- c. Expand the General Accelerator R&D (GARD) program within HEP, including stewardship (section 6.4).
- d. Invest in R&D in **instrumentation** to develop innovative scientific tools (section 6.3).
- e. Conduct **R&D** efforts to define and enable new projects in the next decade, including detectors for an e[±]e⁻ Higgs factory and 10 TeV pCM collider, Spec-S5, DUNE FD4 Mu2e-II, Advanced Muon Facility, and line intensity mapping (sections 3.1, 3.2, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, and 6.3).
- Support key cyberinfrastructure components such as shared software tools and a sustained R&D effort in computing, to fully exploit emerging technologies for projects. Prioritize computing and novel data analysis techniques for maximizing science across the entire field (section 6.7).
- g. Develop plans for improving the Fermilab accelerator complex that are consistent with the longterm vision of this report, including neutrinos, flavor, and a 10 TeV pCM collider (section 6.6).

CLFV within the US prioritization



Recommendation 1

In addition, we recommend continued support for the following ongoing experiments at the medium scale (project costs > \$50M for DOE and > \$4M for NSF), including completion of construction, operations, and research:

- d. NOvA, SBN, T2K, and IceCube (elucidate the mysteries of neutrinos, section 3.1).
- e. DarkSide-20k, LZ, SuperCDMS, and XENONnT (determine the nature of dark matter, section 4.1).
- f. **DESI** (understand what drives cosmic evolution, section 4.2).
- g. Belle II, LHCb, and Mu2e pursue quantum imprints of new phenomena, section 5.2).

The agencies should work closely with each major project to carefully manage the costs and schedule to ensure that the US program has a broad and balanced portfolio.

The P5 recommendations include R&D efforts towards Advanced muon facilities and Mu2e upgrade











Muon-beam based experiments - timelines

- Mu2e and COMET are under construction at Fermilab and J-PARK respectively
- Phase-I aims to reach x1,000 improvement w.r.t. the current best limit
- Phase-II will push the sensitivity at 6-8e-17











What I hope you will remember

- CLFV is about why there are flavors and generations.
 - not only the mass scale, but the couplings.
- Any signal is unambiguously new physics. We need multiple measurements to understand what we do or don't see
 - CLFV, neutrinos, K, dark matter,... are tightly linked and models have to fit all the data
 - low- and high-energy experiments are closely interrelated as well
- The experiments are challenging theories and getting better fast, with upgrades on the way.
- Within next ~5 years: muons will improve sensitivity by 104; Run-II data; e+e- and LHCb will probe τ , B sectors



backup slides





Review papers

- André de Gouvêa and P.Vogel, https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4097 S. Mihara et al. \bullet www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/ annurev-nucl-102912-144530
- Lorenzo Calibbi, Giovanni Signorelli, https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.00294 \bullet
- RHB and P. Cooper, arxiv.org/abs/1307.5787, 10.1016/j.physrep.2013.07.002 \bullet
- M. Raidal et al., Flavour physics of leptons and dipole moments, arXiv:0801.1826
- Marciano, Mori, and Roney, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 58, doi: 10.1146/ \bullet annurev.nucl.58.110707.171126
- Y. Kuno and Y. Okada, 10.1103/RevModPhys.73.151, arXiv:hep-ph/9909265





Structure of Mass/Mixing matrix

- neutrino mass via the see-saw mechanism; SUSY-GUT framework
- mixing ("PMNS-case")
- Muon-conversion experiments show the largest discovery potential



 $M_{1/2}$ is the "sleptons" mass, tan β is the ratio btw the Higgs masses (2 doublets in SUSY!)

upcoming measurements can distinguish between small-mixing ("CKM-case") and large-







G. Pezzullo (Yale University)



- Neutrino-less double β decay: rate = $|\Sigma V_{ei}^2 m_i|^2 \times uncertain nuclear physics.$



$$\tau \left({}^{36}_{32}Ge \to {}^{36}_{32}Se \ ee\bar{\nu}_e\bar{\nu}_e \right) \sim 10^{21}$$

$2v2\beta$ and $0v2\beta$

Double β decay: Ge(76,32) cannot β -decay to As(76,33) that is heavier, so it $\beta\beta$ decays





• Proton absorber:

- made of high-density polyethylene
- tesigned in order to reduce proton flux on the tracker and minimize energy loss



• Targets:

◆ 34 Al foils; Aluminum was selected mainly for the muon lifetime in capture events (864 **ns**) that matches nicely the need of prompt separation in the Mu2e beam structure.

Mu₂e detector

• Tracker:

20k straw tubes arranged in planes on stations, the tracker has 18 stations

✤ Expected momentum resolution < 200 keV/c</p>

,0.07

• Calorimeter:

✤ 2 disks composed of undoped CsI crystals

• Muon beam stop:

* made of several cylinders of different materials: stainless steel and polyethylene





Mu2e tracker

- 36 planes equally spaced with straws transverse to the beam
- Straw technology employed: $\sqrt{5}$ mm diameter, 12 µm Mylar walls $\sqrt{25} \,\mu\text{m}$ Au-plated W sense wire √80/20 Ar/CO₂ with HV ~ 1500 V
- Inner 38 cm un-instrumented: \checkmark blind to beam flash







Mu₂e calorimeter

- 2 disks; each disk contains 930 undoped Csl crystals 20 x 3.3 x 3.3 cm³
- Inner/outer radii: 35.1/66 cm
- Disk separation ~ 75 cm
- Readout system:
 - →2 large area SiPM-array/crystal
 - → 12 bit, 200 MHz waveform-based digitizer boards



undoped Csl





SiPM array





G. Pezzullo (Yale University)





Muonic atom life times





