Probing Dark Matter Energy Injection in the Cosmic Dawn with the 21-cm Power Spectrum

Based on work by **YS**, Joshua Foster, Hongwan Liu, Julian Muñoz, and Tracy Slatyer [2312.11608] and in prep.

1411 Yitian Sun Artificial Intelligence and

DPF-Pheno 2024





The first stars produce X-ray and UV, heating and reionizing the universe.



So can dark matter and other exotic energy injection! Energy injection changes IGM thermal and ionization states, radiation field states...

Yitian Sun | Probing DM energy injection with 21cm Power Spectrum

Hydrogen atom's hyperfine transition emits the 21-cm line



relative abundance

diagram on board the Voyagers



Observable: the 21-cm line

Emission / absorption depends on difference between T_S and background T_{γ}







energy

Liu, Ridgway, Slatyer (2019)



Yitian Sun | Probing DM energy injection with 21cm Power Spectrum

A python code package for dark matter energy injection in a homogeneous universe (from before the CMB to reionization) https://github.com/hongwanliu/DarkHistory





Gas with temperature T_k , ionization x, ...

Heating, ionization, excitation

backreaction

Secondary electrons e^{+/-}

Secondary photons y

Yitian Sun | Probing DM energy injection with 21cm Power Spectrum

evolves the IGM thermal state and radiation in a homogeneous universe.

Redshift z

universe:

Yitian Sun | Probing DM energy injection with 21cm Power Spectrum

Redshift z

Universe simulated with periodic BC box

X-ray photon treatment

From the receiving site, need to look back along the lightcone.

Need to perform convolution of X-ray luminosity with spherical filters.

Use GPU to accelerate convolution & interpolation. Greatly speeds up run time.

* Not actual GPU used

X-ray deposition in action

15

DM21cm works with your favorite model!

class CustomInjection:
 """Handles DarkHistory and DM21cm."""

- def __init__(self):
 pass
- def inj_rate(self, z):
 pass # [1 / pcm^3 s]
- def inj_power(self, z):
 pass # [eV / pcm^3 s]
- def inj_phot_spec(self, z, **kwargs):
 pass # [1 / eV pcm^3 s]
- def inj_elec_spec(self, z, **kwargs):
 pass # [1 / eV pcm^3 s]
- def inj_phot_spec_box(self, z, **kwargs):
 pass # [1 / eV pcm^3 s] [1]
- def inj_elec_spec_box(self, z, **kwargs):
 pass # [1 / eV pcm^3 s] [1]

Github: github.com/yitiansun/DM21cm

Examples: github.com/yitiansun/DM21cm/ blob/main/examples

Homogeneous rates

Inhomogeneous rates

HERA limits projections on decays

HERA telescope array

Summary

- We built DM21cm: a simulation for dark matter energy injection during reionization.
- It self-consistently deposit energy into the IGM, and tracks propagating photons.
- We forecast HERA's sensitivity on DM decays. Stay tuned for more.
- Many avenues for future improvements.

Thank you!

Backup slides

- In a homogeneous universe, calculates exotic energy injection and deposition from before CMB (z=3000) to reionization (given reionization model).
- Handles injected photons and electrons
 from 10⁻⁴ eV to 10¹² eV kinetic energy.
- Self-consistently modifies IGM temperature, ionization (backreaction).
- Tracks propagating photons as a photon bath.

A python code package available at https://github.com/hongwanliu/DarkHistory

Liu, Ridgway, Slatyer (2019)

- γ:
- Compton scatter -> γ , e⁻
- Pair produce $-> e^+$, e^-
- Heat, ionize, excite matter
- Just redshift

- **e**⁻ :

- **e**+ :

- Inverse Compton scatter -> γ , e⁻ - Heat, ionize, excite matter

- Annihilate with electrons $->\gamma$, γ

- Transfer functions encode all the physical processes for DarkHistory.
- Similar ones are generated for energy deposition in DM21cm.

Can dependent on: **YS**, Slatyer (2022)

- $T_{\rm CMB}$
- Ionization levels x_{HII} , x_{HeII} , x_{HeIII} , ...
- Local gas overdensity $\delta_{\rm R}$ (new!)

Plan for DM21cm

- In order to calculate 21-cm line signal, we need spatially resolved simulations.
- Naively, we can
 - track states of the universe in a periodic box.
 - track long-lived photon intensity field (very expensive!)
- If we don't want to do radiative transfer, we can
 - notice that some photons deposit energy very quickly, while others travel for a long time / space relative to time step / box size.
 - long-lived photons saturate the box quickly, but deposit energy over long period of time. Can model as a homogeneous isotropic bath.
 - What about particles in between?

Transparency window

Photons:

- High energy photons free stream and are long-lived.
- Lowering the energy to ~ keV, opacity quickly turns on as photoionization becomes efficient.
- Low energy photons from 10.2-100 eV ionize/excite IGM efficiently.
- Lower energy photons free stream.

Electrons:

- Do not propagate, as trace amount (10⁻²⁰G) of the IG magnetic field confines them. Deposit energy onthe-spot.
- We assume they promptly deposit as well. In cases where our constraints are not the strongest, this is a good approximation.
- Future work can account for long-lived localized electrons.

21 cmFAST overview

- simulates the reionizing universe in a periodic box.
- typical run ~ (128 cell * 2 Mpc/cell)³.
- tracks IGM temperature T_k , IGM ionization level $x_{\text{HII}} = x_{\text{HeII}} = x_e$, ($x_{\text{HeIII}} = 0$), overdensity $\delta_M = \delta_R.$
- EoM: $\frac{dx_e(z, \mathbf{x})}{dz} = \frac{dt}{dz} \left[\Lambda_{\text{ion}} \alpha_A C x_e^2 n_A f_{\text{H}} \right]$ $\frac{dT_k(z, \mathbf{x})}{dz} = \frac{2}{3k_B(1 + x_e)} \frac{dt}{dz} \sum_p \epsilon_p + \frac{2T_k}{3n_A}$
- construct lightcone for T_{21} after a typical simulation run from $z \sim 45$ to $z \sim 5$.

$$\frac{dn_A}{dz} = \frac{T_k}{1 + x_e} \frac{dx_e}{dz}$$

Murray, Greig, Mesinger, Muñoz, Qin, Park, Watkinson (2020)

| | | 2 |
|----------------|---|---------------------|
| _ | - | 2 |
| | - | 1 |
| | - | 1 |
| 53 | - | 1 |
| 3. | - | 1 |
| | - | 1 |
| | | 1 |
| | | 1 |
| | | 1 |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | - | 1 |
| | - | 9 |
| | | 8 |
| | | |
| | - | 7 |
| | _ | 6 |
| | | //MHz |
| | | 200 |
| - 09- - 09- | | ^T reduer |
| | | |

| 210 |
|-----|
| 200 |
| 190 |
| 180 |
| 170 |
| 160 |
| 150 |
| 140 |
| 130 |
| 120 |
| 110 |
| 100 |
| 90 |
| 80 |
| 70 |

21cmFAST's X-ray treatment

- 21cmFAST calculates astrophysical X-ray from the first stars. The luminosity is proportional to the star formation rate density (SFRD).
- It uses past overdensity δ , calculates $\overline{\delta}_R$, use the Press-Schechter formalism to calculate $f_{\text{collapse}}(\vec{x}, z)$, normalize with Sheth-Tormen $\overline{f}_{\text{collapse}}(z)$, then calculate SFRD.
- integrates over frequencies: assumes a power law spectrum to simplify redshifting.
- uses ON/OFF attenuation in the frequency domain.

δ_R

DM21cm's X-ray treatment

- We would like a more physical method. We take inspiration from 21cmFAST.
- No need for photon direction information: sources are usually isotropic, and there's almost no scattering in the X-ray regime. We only need the shell averaging.
- To keep memory manageable, we assumes the X-ray luminosity field can be separated into

 $\frac{dN_X}{dEd\tau}(z_i, \vec{x}x, E \mid z_e)$

- We physically attenuate and redshift $dN_X/dEd\tau$.
- Each previous shell has a different X-ray spectrum; their deposition happens in serial. This is enabled by faster computation of FFT and interpolation on GPUs by a factor of ~ 100.

$$(e) \approx \frac{dN_X}{dEd\tau}(z_i, E \mid z_e) \,\tilde{\epsilon}_X(\vec{x} \mid z_e)$$

Aside: computational performance

- Few lines of code in the main evolve function, very readable.
- GPU-enabled with **JAX**, FFTs, interpolations can be faster by a factor of 100 than running on 16-core CPU. (Although automatic differentiation may be hard.)
- Deposition precision constrained by size of transfer function tables from DarkHistory and the memory of GPUs. Can easily replace with neural networks (YS et al 2022). Necessary for additional dimensions in the table.

```
for i_state, state in enumerate(xray_cache.states):
    if state.isinbath:
        continue # skip states that are already in bath
    if i_state not in inds_chosen_shells:
        accumulated_shell_spec += state.spectrum
        continue
    smoothed_rel_eng_box = xray_cache.get_smoothed_box(state, z_current)
    xray_spec = state.spectrum + accumulated_shell_spec
    tfs.inject_phot(xray_spec, inject_type='xray', weight_box=smoothed_rel_eng_box)
    accumulated_shell_spec *= 0.
profiler.record('xray')
#--- bath and homogeneous portion of xray ---
tfs.inject_phot(phot_bath_spec, inject_type='bath')
#--- dark matter (on-the-spot) ---
tfs.inject_from_dm(dm_params, inj_per_Bavg_box)
```


T_{21} signal: large signal limit

T_{21} power spectrum

$$\chi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

 $m = 5 \text{ keV}$
 $\tau = 10^{26} \text{ s}$

$$\chi \rightarrow e^- e^+$$

 $m = 10 \text{ MeV}$
 $\tau = 10^{25} \text{ s}$

T_{21} signal: small signal limit

Small signal limit: universe to close to no-DM configuration. More relevant for observation forecasts.

39

Fisher information forecast of HERA sensitivity

Degeneracy with astrophysical parameters

 $t_{\star} H^{-1}$ is the characteristic star formation rate (SFR) timescale.

 $L_X^{\rm II/III}/\dot{M}_{\star}$ is X-ray luminosity per SFR for PopII/III stars.

T_{21} signal: homogeneous injection / deposition

Cross check: DarkHistory and DM21cm

X-ray injection (Sheth-Torman HMF)

Cross check: 21cmFAST & DM21cm

spatiotemporal convergence

Kinetic temperature T_k 256

 $\Delta z / (1+z) = 0.001$

Ionization fraction x_e Brightness temperature T_{21}

