# Theoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via Photon Fusion at Muon Colliders

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics Wichita State University

> May 16 2024 DPF-PHENO 2024 Based on PhysRevD.109.075015



イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

#### Introduction

- Double Higgs production is important for testing the Higgs self-coupling  $(\lambda_{hhh})$  which is responsible for giving mass to elementary particles and the shape of the Higgs potential.
- $\lambda_{hhh}$  and  $\lambda_{hhhh}$  are challenging to measure directly, as it requires the production of two or more Higgs bosons simultaneously.
- To measure the tri-linear/ quartic Higgs coupling at the LHC requires high luminosity.
- Muon colliders can reach higher center of mass energies than proton colliders  $(\sqrt{s} \sim O(10 TeV))$ , which can increase the production rate of triple Higgs events.

(E. Asakawa, CO 2008)



## Higgs Triplet Model

• The motivation for the Higgs Triplet Model (Type II Seesaw Model) stems from the observation that two doublets can be decomposed into a triplet and a singlet representation  $(2 \otimes 2 = 3 \oplus 1)$ .

$$\Delta = egin{pmatrix} rac{\delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \delta^{++} \ \delta^0 & -rac{\delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

**2** The general scalar potential term ,  $V(\Phi, \Delta)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \begin{split} \swarrow(\Phi, \Delta) &= -\mu_{\Phi}^{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^{2} + \mu_{\Delta}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \\ &+ \left[ \mu (\Phi^{T} i \sigma^{2} \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi) + \text{h.c} \right] + \lambda_{1} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_{2} (\operatorname{Tr} \Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{3} \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^{2} + \lambda_{4} \Phi^{\dagger} \Delta \Delta \Phi \end{split}$$

• There are 7 physical Higgs states:  $h^0, H^0, A^0, H^{\pm}, H^{\pm\pm}$ 

(A. Arhrib, CO 2011)

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We can establish two distinct parameter spaces as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\mu, \lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \tan\beta, \cos\alpha\},\$$

 $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{ M_{h^0} = 125 \text{GeV}, M_{H^0}, M_{A^0}, M_{H^{\pm}}, M_{H^{\pm\pm}}, v_{\Delta}, v_{\Phi}, \cos \alpha \}.$ 

 $\mu$  - LFV parameter.

 $\alpha,\,\beta$  denote the rotation angles respectively in the CP-even and CP-odd sectors.

**2** The  $\rho$  parameter

$$\rho = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2} \tan^2 \beta}{1 + \tan^2 \beta}.$$

Where tan  $\beta = 2v_{\Delta}/v_{\Phi}$ . The experimental value of the rho parameter,  $\rho^{exp} = 1.0008^{+0.0017}_{-0.0007}$ , being close to unity, leads to the bound tan  $\beta \lesssim 0.0633$ .

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一 臣 - のへで

Tachyonic modes Vacuum stability For the neutral pseudoscalar field  $(A^0)$ .  $\mu > 0 = \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0; \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \geq 0; \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \geq 0$ For the singly charged field  $(H^{\pm})$ .  $\mu > \frac{\lambda_4 M_W S_W}{4\sqrt{2\pi\alpha_e}} \frac{\tan\beta}{\sqrt{1+\frac{\tan^2\beta}{2}}} = \mu_1,$  $\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \ge 0; \lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2})} \ge 0$ For the doubly charged field  $(H^{\pm\pm})$  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \ge 0; \lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2})} \ge 0$  $\mu > \frac{M_W S_W}{4\sqrt{2\pi\alpha_e}} \frac{\left(2\lambda_4 \tan\beta + \lambda_3 \tan^3\beta\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\tan^2\beta}{2}}} = \mu_2.$ In the Higgs Triplet model, vacuum stability For the Heavy Higgs state ( $H^0$ ),  $\mu \in [\mu_L = max\{\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_-\}, \mu_+]$ is required to ensure that the electroweak symmetry breaking minimum of the Higgs  $\mu_{\pm} = \frac{M_W S_W}{8 \tan \beta / \pi \alpha} \Big[ A_1(\lambda, \lambda_{1,4}, \tan \beta) \pm A_2(\lambda, \lambda_{1,2,3,4}, \tan \beta) \Big]$ potential is stable.

 $A_1 = \lambda + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_4) \tan^2 \beta$ ,  $A_2 = \sqrt{2\lambda^2 + 8\lambda} \tan^2 \beta (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \tan^2 \beta + \lambda_3 \tan^2 \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$  under the vacuum stability.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト



 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow h^0 h^0 \Rightarrow 430 \text{ diagrams}$  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow A^0 A^0 \Rightarrow 262 \text{ diagrams}$ 

< □ > < 🗇 >

-

10.0

#### EPA and Iterative Solutions for QED

The case of collinear photon emission from an muon at leading order (LO) can be described using the equivalent photon approximation (EPA) or Weizsacker-Williams Approximation,

$$f_{\gamma,l}(x) pprox rac{lpha_e}{2\pi} P_{\gamma,l}(x) \ln rac{E^2}{m_l^2}$$

Where the splitting function are,  $P_{\gamma,l} = (1 + (1 - x^2))/x$  for  $l \to \gamma$ . By solving iteratively the DGLAP equations, the approximate solutions for the PDF,  $(LO + O(\alpha_e^2 t^2))$ ,

$$f_{\gamma}(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_{e}}{2\pi} t P_{vf}^{f} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{e}t}{2\pi}\right)^{2} [(P_{\gamma}^{v} + P_{f}^{v})P_{vf}^{f} + I_{vfff}].$$

$$t = \log\left(\frac{E^{2}}{M_{\mu}}\right), P_{f}^{v} = 3/2, P_{f}^{v} = -40/9, P_{vf}^{f} = \frac{1 + (1-x)^{2}}{x}$$

$$I_{vfff} = \left(\frac{3}{2} + 2\log(1-x)\right) P_{vf}^{f} + \frac{(1-x)(2x-3)}{x} + (2-x)\log(x)$$

## Total cross sections $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \phi\phi$

Since the Higgs pair production via photon-photon collisions is a subprocess of  $\mu^+\mu^-$  collisions at the muon collider, the total cross section can be obtained by,

$$\sigma(s,\mu^+\mu^- \to \gamma\gamma \to \phi\phi) = \int_{\frac{2M^2_{\phi}}{\sqrt{s}}}^{1} d\tau \frac{d\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}}{d\tau} \hat{\sigma}(\hat{s}=\tau s,\gamma\gamma \to \phi\phi),$$

along with the photon luminosity

$$rac{d\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}}{d au} = \int_{ au}^1 rac{dx}{x} f_{\gamma/\mu}(x) f_{\gamma/\mu}(rac{ au}{x}),$$

In our analysis, we explore various hierarchies between  $M_{H^{\pm}}$  and  $M_{H^{\pm\pm}}$ , primarily determined by the sign of  $\lambda_4$  at tan  $\beta << 1$  values.

$$\begin{split} M_{H^{\pm}}^2 - M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2 &= \frac{M_W^2 S_W^2}{4\alpha_e \pi} \lambda_4 + \frac{\mu M_W S_W}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha_e}} \tan\beta + \mathcal{O}(\tan^2\beta) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_4 > 0 \quad M_{H^{\pm\pm}} < M_{H^{\pm}} < M_H \approx M_{A^0}}{\lambda_4 = 0 \quad M_{H^{\pm\pm}} \approx M_{H^{\pm}} \approx M_H \approx M_{A^0}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_4 < 0 \quad M_H \approx M_{A^0} < M_{H^{\pm}} < M_{H^{\pm\pm}}}{\lambda_4 < 0 \quad M_H \approx M_{A^0} < M_{H^{\pm}}}$$

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy (Wichita State UrTheoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via

We have used the following input values:  $\tan \beta = 0.001$ ,  $\lambda = 0.51$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 10$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -1$ , and  $\mu = [0.2, 2]$ . The table below displays the mass ranges corresponding to these input values.

	$M_{H^{\pm}} \text{GeV}$	$M_{H^{\pm\pm}} \text{GeV}$	$M_{H^0} GeV$	$M_{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathrm{GeV}$
$\lambda_4 = 1.82$	201-809.6	114-729.5	261-826.5	261-826.5
$\lambda_4 = 0$	261-827	261-827	261-827	261-827
$\lambda_4 = -1.82$	309-843	351-859	261-826.5	261-826.5

According to the ATLAS collaboration, searches for di-W bosons are excluded from the mass region where  $M_{H^{\pm\pm}}$  lies between 200 GeV and 220 GeV for  $Br(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm}) \approx 1$ .

(P Siddharth, CO 2023)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### The calculations



 $\sigma(\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow h^0 h^0)$ 



$$\{h^0H^+H^-, h^0h^0H^+H^-\}|_{(\lambda_4>0)} > \{h^0H^+H^-, h^0h^0H^+H^-\}|_{(\lambda_4<0)_{\Box}} \to A^{\Box} \to A^$$

 $\sigma(\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \rightarrow A^0A^0)$ 



$$h^0 A^0 A^0|_{(\lambda_4 > 0)} > h^0 A^0 A^0|_{(\lambda_4 < 0)}$$
 and  $H^0 A^0 A^0 \to 0$  for small  $\mu$  (weak-coupling decoupling limit)

# $h^0 h^0 h^0$ and $H^0 h^0 h^0$ Couplings

**1** Trilinear SM-like Higgs Coupling at  $\alpha \approx 0$  and,

$$\lambda^{'} = -\frac{3\sqrt{\pi\alpha_e}}{vM_WS_W} \Big(\frac{M_h^2}{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{8}\tan^2\beta + \mathcal{O}(\tan^4\beta)}\Big)$$

behaves as follows:

$$\left. \lambda_{hhh}^{HTM} \right|_{\lambda = \lambda'} \to \lambda_{hhh}^{SM}$$

Numerically,  $\lambda pprox 0.51$ ,  $\left|rac{\Delta\lambda_{hbh}}{\lambda_{hhh}^{SM}}
ight| imes 100 \lesssim 1\%$ 

2 For tan  $\beta << 1$ , if we define

$$\mu^{d} = \frac{M_{W}S_{W}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{4})}{\sqrt{8\pi\alpha_{e}}} \tan\beta,$$

the  $\lambda_{Hhh}^{HTM}\Big|_{\mu=\mu^d} 
ightarrow 0.$  $rac{\lambda_{Hhh}^{HTM}}{10^{-6}}$  $\mid \mu^d$  $M_{H^0}(\text{GeV})$ +1.821.047 597.98 0 0.869 544.78  $10^{-}$ -1.82 $10^{-}$ 0.725 497.60 TO ,

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy (Wichita State UrTheoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via

- The cross sections of  $\mu^+\mu^- \to \gamma\gamma \to \phi\phi$  can be enhanced for  $M_{H^{\pm}} > M_{H^{\pm\pm}}$ . The lowest cross sections can be observed for  $M_{H^{\pm\pm}} > M_{H^{\pm}}$ .
- **2** We showed how the cross sections for  $\phi\phi$  production increase noticeably because of the significant contributions from interactions like  $h^0H^+H^-$ ,  $h^0h^0H^+H^-$ , and  $h^0A^0A^0$ .
- We employed the Weizsäcker-Williams approximation at leading order (*LO*) and its second-order correction ( $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_e^2 t^2)$ ), alongside the EW PDF set. Comparing the results, we observed that the cross sections obtained from the second-order corrections closely matched those from the EW PDF set.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

Thank you!

3

▲日 → ▲圖 → ▲ 田 → ▲ 田 → □

$$\begin{pmatrix} h^{0} \\ H^{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{\pm} \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta' & \sin \beta' \\ -\sin \beta' & \cos \beta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\pm} \\ \delta^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{0} \\ A^{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\ -\sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy (Wichita State UrTheoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

$$\begin{split} v_{\phi}^{2} &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \tan^{2}\beta} \frac{S_{W}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{\pi \alpha} \\ v_{\Delta}^{2} &= \frac{\tan^{2}\beta}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \tan^{2}\beta} \frac{S_{W}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{4\pi \alpha} \\ \mu &= \frac{\sqrt{2} v_{\Phi}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 4 v_{\Delta}^{2}} M_{A}^{2} \\ \lambda &= -\frac{2}{v_{\Phi}^{2}} (c_{\alpha}^{2} M_{h}^{2} + s_{\alpha}^{2} M_{H}^{2}) \end{split}$$

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy (Wichita State UrTheoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1} &= -\frac{2}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{A}^{2} + \frac{2}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 2v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} + \frac{\sin 2\alpha}{2v_{\Delta}v_{\Phi}}(M_{h}^{2} - M_{H}^{2})\\ \lambda_{2} &= \frac{1}{2v_{\Delta}^{2}} \Big( c_{\alpha}^{2}M_{h}^{2} + s_{\alpha}^{2}M_{H}^{2} + \frac{v_{\Delta}^{2}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{A}^{2} - \frac{4v_{\Delta}^{2}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 2v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{A}^{2} + 2M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^{2} \Big)\\ \lambda_{3} &= \frac{1}{v_{\Delta}^{2}} \Big( - \frac{v_{\Phi}^{2}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{A}^{2} + \frac{2v_{\Phi}^{2}}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 2v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} - M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^{2} \Big)\\ \lambda_{4} &= \frac{4}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 4v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{A}^{2} - \frac{4}{v_{\Phi}^{2} + 2v_{\Delta}^{2}}M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} \end{split}$$

Bathiya Samarakoon, Terrance Figy (Wichita State UrTheoretical Prediction for Double Higgs Production via

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣