UMASSAMHERST

College of Natural Sciences Department of Physics Fundamental Interactions Theory group

Correlated scalar perturbations and gravitational waves from axion inflation

Sofia Corbà Lorenzo Sorbo

DPF - PHENO 2024

05/16/2024

<u>Aim:</u>

Study of the correlation between the curvature perturbation $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$ and the squared amplitude of the tensor modes $h_{ij}(\mathbf{x})h_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$, within the framework of axion inflation.

• Gravitational Waves

- Gravitational Waves
- Inflation

- Gravitational Waves
- Inflation
- Axion Inflation

- Gravitational Waves
- Inflation
- Axion Inflation
- Final Results



(NASA)







STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BACKGROUND

How can we distinguish between astrophysical and cosmological gravitational wave backgrounds?



STOCHASTIC GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BACKGROUND

How can we distinguish between astrophysical and cosmological gravitational wave backgrounds?

↓ Anisotropies



How can we distinguish between astrophysical and cosmological gravitational wave backgrounds?



$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$



Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

(Siegel)

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right)$$





Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

(Siegel)

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi)\right)$$



- Horizon problem
- Flatness problem



Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi)\right)$$



- Horizon problem
- Flatness problem
- CMB anisotropies



Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi)\right)$$



- Horizon problem
- Flatness problem
- CMB anisotropies
- Large-scale structure



Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi)\right)$$



- Horizon problem
- Flatness problem
- CMB anisotropies
- Large-scale structure
- Primordial GW



(Siegel)

Initial period of accelerated expansion governed by a scalar field.

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \right)$$



- Horizon problem
- Flatness problem
- CMB anisotropies
- Large-scale structure
- Primordial GW

 \longrightarrow Theory of Cosmological Perturbations

$$\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x})$$

$$\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \zeta = -\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0}\delta\phi$$

$$\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \zeta = -\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0}\delta\phi$$



Fourier decomposition:

$$\delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \delta\phi_k(\tau) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\delta\phi_k'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\phi_k' + k^2\delta\phi_k = 0$$

$$\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \zeta = -\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0}\delta\phi$$



Fourier decomposition:

$$\delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \delta\phi_k(\tau) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\delta\phi_k'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\phi_k' + k^2\delta\phi_k = 0$$

Wavelengths are stretched \rightarrow

Macroscopic modes with constant amplitudes

$$\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \zeta = -\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}_0}\delta\phi$$



Fourier decomposition:

$$\delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \delta\phi_k(\tau) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\delta\phi_k'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\phi_k' + k^2\delta\phi_k = 0$$

Wavelengths are stretched \rightarrow Macroscopic modes with constant amplitudes

Scalar Power Spectrum:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}_0^2} |\delta\phi_k|^2 \xrightarrow{k\tau \to 0} \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi\dot{\phi}_0}\right)^2$$

Gravitational Waves

Gravitational Waves

 $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) dx^i dx^j \right], \quad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$

Gravitational Waves

 $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) \ dx^i \ dx^j \right], \quad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$

Einstein - Hilbert action 2nd order around FRW $S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{M_P^2}{8} \int d^4x \, a^2(h'_{ij}h'_{ij} - \partial_k h_{ij}\partial_k h_{ij})$

Gravitational Waves

 $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) \ dx^i \ dx^j \right], \quad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$

Einstein - Hilbert action 2nd order around FRW $S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{M_P^2}{8} \int d^4x \, a^2(h'_{ij}h'_{ij} - \partial_k h_{ij}\partial_k h_{ij})$

$$h_k'' + 2\mathcal{H}h_k' + k^2h_k = 0$$

Gravitational Waves

 $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) \ dx^i \ dx^j \right], \quad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$



Gravitational Waves

 $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) \ dx^i \ dx^j \right], \quad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$



Tensor Power Spectrum:

$$\mathcal{P}_h = 2\left(\frac{2}{M_P}\right)^2 \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |h_k|^2 \xrightarrow{k\tau \to 0} \frac{2H^2}{\pi^2 M_P^2}$$

Action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \,\partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\phi}{8f} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\lambda} \right]$$
$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

Action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \,\partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\phi}{8f} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\lambda} \right]$$
$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

Perturbations:

Inflaton:
$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta\phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$$

Metric: $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) dx^i dx^j \right]$
 $a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H\tau} \qquad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$

Action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \,\partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\phi}{8f} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\lambda} \right]$$
$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

Perturbations:

Inflaton:
$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \phi_0(\tau) + \delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$$

Metric: $ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)) dx^i dx^j \right]$
 $a(\tau) = -\frac{1}{H\tau} \qquad h_{ii} = \partial_i h_{ij} = 0$

Canonically Normalized Perturbations:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = a(\tau) \,\delta\phi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \qquad H_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \equiv \frac{M_P}{2} \,a(\tau) \,h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau)$$















$$A_i(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} e_i^{\lambda}(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \left[A_{\lambda}(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) + A_{\lambda}^*(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) \right]$$

$$A_i(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} e_i^{\lambda}(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \left[A_{\lambda}(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) + A_{\lambda}^*(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) \right]$$

$$\frac{d^2 A_{\pm}}{d\tau^2} + \left(k^2 \pm 2 k \frac{\xi}{\tau}\right) A_{\pm} = 0 \qquad \qquad \xi = \frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{2 f H}$$

$$A_i(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} e_i^{\lambda}(\widehat{\mathbf{k}}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \left[A_{\lambda}(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) + A_{\lambda}^*(k,\,\tau) \, \hat{a}_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) \right]$$

[M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, 2006]

$$\Phi_{\rm S}(\mathbf{q},\,\tau) = i \,\int d\tau' \,G_q(\tau,\,\tau') \frac{H\tau'}{f} \,\epsilon^{ijk} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \,A'_i(\mathbf{p},\,\tau') \,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p})_j A_k(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p},\,\tau')$$
$$H_{ij,\,\rm S}(\mathbf{q},\,\tau) = \int d\tau' \,G_q(\tau,\tau') \,\frac{H\,\tau'}{M_P} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \,A'_i(\mathbf{p},\,\tau') \,A'_j(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p},\,\tau')$$

$$G_k(\tau, \tau') = \frac{(1+k^2 \tau \tau') \sin(k(\tau-\tau')) + k(\tau'-\tau) \cos(k(\tau-\tau'))}{k^3 \tau \tau'} \Theta(\tau-\tau')$$

$$\Phi_{\rm S}(\mathbf{q},\,\tau) = i \,\int d\tau' \,G_q(\tau,\,\tau') \frac{H\tau'}{f} \,\epsilon^{ijk} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \,A_i'(\mathbf{p},\,\tau') \,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p})_j A_k(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p},\,\tau')$$

$$H_{ij,S}(\mathbf{q},\,\tau) = \int d\tau' \,G_q(\tau,\tau') \,\frac{H\,\tau'}{M_P} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \,A'_i(\mathbf{p},\,\tau') \,A'_j(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p},\,\tau')$$

$$G_k(\tau, \tau') = \frac{(1+k^2 \tau \tau') \sin(k(\tau-\tau')) + k(\tau'-\tau) \cos(k(\tau-\tau'))}{k^3 \tau \tau'} \Theta(\tau-\tau')$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \mathcal{P}_{\zeta,V} + \mathcal{P}_{\zeta,S} \simeq \frac{H^4}{4\pi^2 \dot{\phi}_0^2} + 4.8 \times 10^{-8} \frac{H^8}{\dot{\phi}_0^4} \frac{e^{4\pi\xi}}{\xi^6}$$

[N. Barnaby and M. Peloso, 2011]

$$\mathcal{P}_h = \mathcal{P}_{h,V} + \mathcal{P}_{h,S} \simeq \frac{2H^2}{\pi^2 M_P^2} + 8.7 \times 10^{-8} \frac{H^4}{M_P^4} \frac{e^{4\pi\xi}}{\xi^6}$$

[L. Sorbo, 2011]

13/28

$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$



Correlation of gravitational waves with the amplified vacuum scalar fluctuations

 $\langle h_{ij,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,h_{ij,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x},\,\tau)\rangle$

Correlation of gravitational waves with the sourced scalar fluctuations

 $\langle h_{ij,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,h_{ij,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,\zeta_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x},\,\tau)\rangle$

$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h\,\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,\zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$



$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h\,\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau)\,\zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$



Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_V$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_V$

$$\left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right)\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle \simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}))\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$
$$\simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{d\left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_{0}}\,\delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_V$

$$\left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right)\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle \simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}))\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$
$$\simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{d\left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_{0}}\,\delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$

$$\frac{d \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_0} \simeq 4\pi \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{1}{f}$$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_{V}$

$$\left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right)\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle \simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}))\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$
$$\simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{d\left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_{0}}\,\delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$

$$\frac{d \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_0} \simeq 4\pi \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{1}{f}$$

$$\left(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}} \simeq \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{h}\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \frac{4\pi}{f} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \left\langle h_{ij}h_{ij} \right\rangle_{\mathrm{S}} \left\langle \delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle$$

$$\left(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}} = 8\pi\,\xi\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta,\mathrm{V}}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left(\frac{\eta}{2} - \epsilon\right)$$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_{V}$

$$\left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right)\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle \simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}))\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$
$$\simeq \left\langle \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{d\left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_{0})\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_{0}}\,\delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x})\right\rangle$$

$$\frac{d \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}}}{d\phi_0} \simeq 4\pi \left[h_{ij}h_{ij}(\phi_0)\right]_{\mathrm{S}} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \frac{1}{f}$$

$$\left(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}\right)_{\mathrm{V}} \simeq \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{h}\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \frac{4\pi}{f} \left(\epsilon - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \left\langle h_{ij}h_{ij} \right\rangle_{\mathrm{S}} \left\langle \delta\phi_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \zeta_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{V}} = 8\pi \, \xi \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta,\mathrm{V}}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\eta}{2} - \epsilon\right) \longrightarrow |(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{V}}| \lesssim O(10^{-3})$$

$$\xi \simeq 10, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\zeta,\mathrm{V}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}$$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_{\rm S}$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_{\rm S}$

$$(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{S}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{h}\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ab,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ab,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$

Final Results: $(C_{h\zeta})_{S}$

$$(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{S}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{h}\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ab,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ab,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$

$$\langle h_{ab,\,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\tau)\,h_{ab,\,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\tau)\,\zeta_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\tau)\rangle = -\frac{4\,H(\tau)}{M_{P}^{2}\,\dot{\phi}_{0}(\tau)\,a^{3}(\tau)}\langle H_{ab,\,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\tau)\,H_{ab,\,\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{2},\tau)\,\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{k}_{3},\tau)\rangle$$

$$= \frac{4 H(\tau)}{M_P^4 \dot{\phi}_0(\tau) a^3(\tau) f} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{d\tau_1}{a(\tau_1)} \frac{d\tau_2}{a(\tau_2)} \frac{d\tau_3}{a(\tau_3)} G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_3)$$

$$\times \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}_1 \, d\mathbf{q}_2 \, d\mathbf{q}_3}{(2\pi)^{9/2}} e_a^+(\widehat{\mathbf{q}_1}) e_b^+(\widehat{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1}) e_a^+(\widehat{\mathbf{q}_2}) e_b^+(\widehat{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2}) e_i^+(\widehat{\mathbf{q}_3}) e_i^+(\widehat{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}_3}) |\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}_3|$$

 $\times \langle A'_{+}(q_{1},\tau_{1}) A'_{+}(|\mathbf{k}_{1}-\mathbf{q}_{1}|,\tau_{1}) A'_{+}(q_{2},\tau_{2}) A'_{+}(|\mathbf{k}_{2}-\mathbf{q}_{2}|,\tau_{2}) A'_{+}(q_{3},\tau_{3}) A_{+}(|\mathbf{k}_{3}-\mathbf{q}_{3}|,\tau_{3}) \rangle$

$$\left(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}\right)_{\mathrm{S}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-4} \, \frac{e^{2\pi\,\xi\,H}}{\xi^4\,f} \qquad \longrightarrow \left(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}\right)_{\mathrm{S}} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \, (f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{equil}})^{1/3} \quad \lesssim \quad 0.05$$

Thanks for your attention.

DPF-PHENO 2024

Thanks for your attention.





Future work

$(C_{h\zeta})_{\rm S} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \, (f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equil})^{1/3} \lesssim 0.05$

Observability will depend on the amplitude of the anisotropies in the gravitational wave spectra.

₩

 $\langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) h_{ab}(\mathbf{y}) h_{ab}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$

$$\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta}(\mathbf{k},\,\tau) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_h \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}}} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{y}} \langle h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y},\,\tau) \, \zeta(\mathbf{x},\,\tau) \rangle$$

GW anisotropies



(Ricciardone, Dall'Armi, Bartolo, Bertacca, Liguori, Matarrese)

CMB anisotropies



(ESA/Planck Collaboration)

 $(\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{S}} \gg (\mathcal{C}_{h\zeta})_{\mathrm{V}}$

$$(C_{h\zeta})_{\rm S} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \, (f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equil})^{1/3} \lesssim 0.05$$

Pulsar Timing Arrays

NANOGrav: North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS, 951:L8 (24pp), 2023 July 1 0 2023. The Authority. Published by the American Astronomical Society. OPEN ACCESS

https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdac6

The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Evidence for a Gravitational-wave Background

Gabriella Agazie¹, Akash Anumarlapudi¹, Anne M. Archibald², Zaven Arzoumanian³, Paul T. Baker⁴, Bence Bécsy⁵, Laura Blecha⁶, Adam Brazier^{7,8}, Paul R. Brook⁹, Sarah Burke-Spolaor^{10,11}, Rand Burnette⁵, Robin Case⁵, Maria Charisi¹², Shami Chatterice⁷, Katerina Chatzijoannou¹³, Belinda D. Choeseboro^{10,11}, Siyuan Chen¹⁴ Tyler Cohen¹⁵, James M. Cordes⁷, Neil J. Cornish¹⁶, Fronefield Crawford¹⁷, H. Thankful Cromartie^{7,79} Kathryn Crowter¹⁸0, Curt J. Cutler^{13,19}0, Megan E. DeCesar²⁰0, Dallas DeGan⁵, Paul B. Demorest²¹0, Heling Deng⁵, Timothy Dolch^{22,23}, Brendan Drachler^{24,23}, Justin A. Ellis²⁶, Elizabeth C. Ferrara^{27,28,29}, William Fiore^{10,11} Emmanuel Fonseca^{10,11}, Gabriel E, Freedman¹, Nate Garver-Daniels^{10,11}, Peter A, Gentile^{10,11}, Kyle A, Gersbach¹¹ Joseph Glaser^{10,11}^(a), Deborah C, Good^{30,31}^(a), Kayhan Gültekin³²^(a), Jeffrey S, Hazboun⁵^(a), Sophie Hourihane¹³^(a), Kristina Islo¹, Ross J. Jennings^{10,11,73}, Aaron D. Johnson^{1,13}, Megan L. Jones¹⁰, Andrew R. Kaiser^{10,11}, David L. Kaplan¹⁰ Luke Zoltan Kellev³³[®], Matthew Kerr³⁴[®], Joev S. Kev³⁵[®], Tonia C. Klein¹, Nima Laal⁵[®], Michael T. Lam^{24,25}[®], William G. Lamb¹², T. Joseph W. Lazio¹⁹, Natalia Lewandowska³⁶, Tyson B. Littenberg³⁷, Tingting Liu^{10,11} Andrea Lommen³⁸⁽⁰⁾, Duncan R. Lorimer^{10,11}⁽⁰⁾, Jing Luo^{39,71}⁽⁰⁾, Ryan S. Lynch⁴⁰⁽⁰⁾, Chung-Pei Ma^{33,41}⁽⁰⁾ Dustin R. Madison⁴², Margaret A. Mattson^{10,11}, Alexander McEwen¹, James W. McKee^{43,44}, Maura A. McLaughlin^{10,11} Natasha McMann¹², Bradley W. Meyers^{18,45}, Patrick M. Meyers¹³, Chiara M. F. Mingarelli^{30,31,46}, Andrea Mitridate⁴⁷ Priyamvada Natarajan48,49,0, Cherry Ng59, David J. Nice51,0, Stella Koch Ocker7, Ken D. Olum52, Timothy T. Pennucci 30, Benetge B. P. Perera 40, Polina Petrov 20, Nihan S. Pol 20, Henri A. Radovan 50. Scott M. Ransom 90. Paul S. Rav 90. Joseph D. Romano 90. Shashwat C. Sardesai 9. Ann Schmiedekamp 90. Carl Schmiedekann⁵⁸, Kai Schmitz⁵⁹, Levi Schult¹², Brent J. Shaniro-Albert^{10,11,00}, Xavier Siemens^{1,5}, Joseph Simon^{61,72}, Maedalena S, Siwek⁶², Inerid H, Stairs¹⁸, Daniel R, Stinebrine⁶³, Kevin Stovall²¹, Jerry P, Sun⁵, Abhimanyu Susobhanan¹⁽⁰⁾, Joseph K. Swiggum^{51,72}⁽⁰⁾, Jacob Taylor⁵, Stephen R. Taylor¹²⁽⁰⁾, Jacob E. Turner¹ Caner Unal^{64,65}⁽⁶⁾, Michele Vallisneri^{13,19}⁽⁶⁾, Rutger van Haasteren⁶⁶⁽⁶⁾, Sarah J. Vigeland¹⁰, Haley M. Wahl^{10,11}⁽⁶⁾, Qiaohong Wang12, Caitlin A. Witt67,680, and Olivia Young24,250 The NANOGray Collaboration⁶⁶

Abstract

We report multiple lines of evidence for a stochastic signal that is correlated among 67 pulsars from the 15 yr pulsar timing data set collected by the North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves. The correlations follow the Hellings-Downs pattern expected for a stochastic gravitational-wave background. The presence of such a gravitational-wave background with a power-law spectrum is favored over a model with only independent pulsar noises with a Bayes factor in excess of 1014, and this same model is favored over an uncorrelated common power-law spectrum model with Bayes factors of 200-1000, depending on spectral modeling choices. We have built a statistical background distribution for the latter Bayes factors using a method that removes interpulsar correlations from our data set, finding $p = 10^{-3}$ ($\approx 3\sigma$) for the observed Bayes factors in the null no-correlation scenario. A frequentist test statistic built directly as a weighted sum of interpulsar correlations yields $p = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ to 1.9×10^{-4} ($\approx 3.5\sigma - 4\sigma$). Assuming a fiducial $f^{-2/3}$ characteristic strain spectrum, as appropriate for an ensemble of binary supermassive black hole inspirals, the strain amplitude is $2.4^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-15}$ (median + 90% credible interval) at a reference frequency of 1 yr⁻¹. The inferred gravitationalwave background amplitude and spectrum are consistent with astrophysical expectations for a signal from a population of supermassive black hole binaries, although more exotic cosmological and astrophysical sources cannot be excluded. The observation of Hellings-Downs correlations points to the gravitational-wave origin of this signal

JUNE 2023



(Max Planck Institute for Radio Astronomy)

Use of a set of pulsars embedded in our Galaxy to probe the passage of gravitational waves that modulate their radio signals.

UV sensitivity of inflationary potentials Solution:

symmetry that protects the potential against large radiative corrections

∜

Shift symmetry: $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{const}$

Axion

First model of axion inflation: Natural inflation (1990) [K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, 1990] Natural inflation compatible with phenomenology for $f \gg M_P$ but theoretical predictions $f < M_P$.

Solutions:

• Spontaneous summetry breaking through the **coupling with 4-forms**.

[N. Kaloper, L. Sorbo, 2009]

[N. Kaloper, A. Lawrence, L. Sorbo, 2010]

• More than one axion.

[J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles, M. Peloso, 2004]
[M. M. Anber, L. Sorbo, 2006]
[M. Berg, E. Pajer, S. Sjörs, 2009]

• Additional dynamics through the coupling with **abelian** or **non-abelian gauge fields**.

[M. M. Anber, L. Sorbo, 2006]

Phenomenology of Axion Inflation

• Magnetogenesis.

- **Backreaction** on the inflatonary dynamics.
- Production of scalar fluctuations:
 - (1). Correction to the power spectrum.
 - (2). Non-Gaussianities.
- Production of tensor fluctuations.
 - (1). Correction to the power spectrum.
 - (2). Non-Gaussianities.
- Production of primordial black holes.
- Production of **fermions**.

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_k\Phi\partial_k\Phi + \frac{a''}{2a}\Phi^2\right)}_{\text{free scalar perturbations}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}H'_{ij}H'_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_kH_{ij}\partial_kH_{ij} + \frac{a''}{2a}H_{ij}H_{ij}\right)}_{\text{free tensor perturbations}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}A'_iA'_i - \frac{1}{2}\partial_kA_i\partial_kA_i - \frac{\phi_0}{f}\epsilon^{ijk}A'_i\partial_jA_k\right)}_{\text{free gauge field modes}} - \underbrace{\frac{H_{ij}}{aM_P}\left[A'_iA'_j - (\partial_iA_k - \partial_kA_i)\left(\partial_jA_k - \partial_kA_j\right)\right] - \frac{\Phi}{fa}\epsilon^{ijk}A'_i\partial_jA_k}_{\text{interactions}}$$