Multi-Messenger Probes of Primordial Black Holes formed during First-Order Phase Transitions DPF-Pheno Conference May 16 2024

Presenter: Cash Hauptmann University of Nebraska, Lincoln

In collaboration with B. Dutta, P. Huang, A. Thompson



Primordial Black Hole (PBH) Formation Mechanism

Particle Model Symmetry-breaking scalar + Yukawa fermion

• A scalar field Φ acquires some nonzero vacuum expectation value $\langle \Phi \rangle$ through thermal and radiative effects

 $V_{\text{eff}}(\Phi) = \lambda \Phi^4 + V(\Phi, T)$

• A fermionic field χ gains mass through a Yukawa interaction with Φ

$$\mathscr{L} \supset - Y \Phi \overline{\chi} \chi$$



 \rightarrow This talk uses conformal B - L models



Primordial Black Hole (PBH) Formation in first-order phase transitions (FOPTs)

- In FOPTs, stochastic quantum tunneling is responsible for $\langle \Phi \rangle = 0 \rightarrow \langle \Phi \rangle \neq 0$
- When tunneling occurs, bubbles of the new, "true" vacuum nucleate and expand
- Particles may be unable to penetrate bubble walls into the true vacuum
- As the FOPT completes, trapped particles may form PBHs



Cash Hauptmann (chauptmann2@huskers.unl.edu)

Kawana, Xie [2106.00111]





Primordial Black Hole (PBH) Formation Trapping particles

- <u>Energy conservation</u>: particles can cross bubble walls if they have sufficient momentum to overcome their mass gap
- To trap enough massless particles for PBH formation, $m_{\chi}^{\rm true} > p_{\chi}$
- Approximate trapping condition: $m_{\chi}^{\rm true} \gtrsim T_{\rm PT}$



Baker, Breitbach, Kopp, Mittnacht [2110.00005]

*f*_{PBH} Fraction of dark matter comprised of compact objects

- We find PBH distributions from conformal B - L models
- M = initial PBH mass

 $\frac{1}{\Omega_{\rm DM}} \frac{\rho_{\rm PBH}(t_0)}{\rho_c}$ $\Omega_{\rm PBH}$ • $f_{\rm PBH} \equiv f_{\rm PBH}$

• Different $f_{\rm PBH}$ constraints can probe different energy scales





Gravitational Waves (GW)

Gravitational Waves (GW) Production

- FOPTs produce stochastic GW backgrounds mainly due to
 - 1. sound waves in the plasma
 - 2. bubble wall collisions
 - 3. magnetohydrodynamic turbulence
- Wave energies and frequencies are measured
- The next slide shows energies and frequencies of the peak GW signal from scanned FOPTs



Weir 2019



Weir [1705.01783]



Gravitational Waves (GW) Signal Peak GW signals

- Point: successful FOPT
- <u>Diamond</u>: favorable for PBH formation
- <u>Colored lines</u>: *projected* experimental sensitivities
- Different GW experiments can probe different energy scales



diamonds: $m_{\chi} > T_{\rm PT}$

Multi-Messenger Probes

Mixed parameter space





Similar studies: Marfatia, Tseng <u>2022</u> Xie <u>2023</u>



Cash Hauptmann (chauptmann2@huskers.unl.edu)

Summary **Multi-messenger probes of FOPTs**

- Phase transitions occur in any particle physics model with symmetry breaking
- FOPTs can be studied through their GW production
- Some models may produce PBHs at the end of their FOPT
- Models can be constrained by GW + PBH probes (multi-messenger astronomy)

orimordial black holes



gravitational waves \rightarrow

more

Minimal B - L **Model** B - L: baryon number minus lepton number

- The SM "accidentally" conserves B L in interactions
- B L models make the symmetry explicit, introducing a vector gauge boson:

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \underbrace{ig_{s}\lambda_{\alpha}G_{\mu}^{\alpha}}_{SU(3)_{c}} - \underbrace{ig\sigma_{j}W_{\mu}^{j} - ig'YB_{\mu}}_{SU(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y}} - \underbrace{ig_{B-L}Q_{B-L}Z_{\mu}'}_{U(1)_{B-L}}$$

- Symmetry breaking is done through a scalar field ϕ

$$V_{\rm eff}(\phi) =$$

 $\mathcal{L} \supset$

Anomalies are cancelled when three right-handed neutrinos (RHNs) are introduced:

$$\lambda_{\phi}\phi^4 + V(\phi, T)$$

$$- \overline{\nu_R^c} Y \nu_R \phi/2$$

Minimal *B* – *L* Parameter Space

• Redefine parameters for convenience:

$$\alpha_{B-L} \equiv \frac{g_{B-L}^2}{4\pi}$$

$$\alpha_{B-L} = \alpha_{B-L}(t)$$

with $t \equiv \ln(\max[\phi, T]/\mu)$.

parameters for our theory:

$$\alpha_Y \equiv \frac{Y^2}{4\pi} \qquad \alpha_\lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4\pi}$$

• Renormalization requires these parameters to depend on a **renormalization scale** μ

$$\alpha_Y = \alpha_Y(t) \qquad \alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda(t)$$

Solving the RG equations (and therefore the potential) only requires "two" input



Minimal *B* – *L* **Model First-order phase transitions**

- $V_{\rm eff}$ has a global minimum at high T
- As *T* decreases, new local minima form
- All minima become degenerate at T_c
- If a barrier in $V_{\rm eff}$ separates the minima, quantum tunneling can occur
 - This is what makes the phase transition first-order



 $V_{
m eff}(\phi,T)/$

Minimal *B* – *L* Model **First-order phase transition scan**

- Red: successful FOPT
- Light red: RHN mass gain is larger than the temperature
- FOPTs favor small B Lcoupling, but are more agnostic to Yukawa coupling right-handed 0.05 neutrino mass



Gravitational Waves Signal Peaks of GW energy

- <u>Red</u>: successful FOPT
- Light red: favorable for PBH formation
- <u>Colored lines</u>: *projected* experimental sensitivities
- Discontinuity is not physical



 $\langle \Phi
angle$ = 10 TeV



Challenges of PBH Formation Trapping particles

- Suppose Φ develops a nonzero VEV $\langle \Phi
 angle$
- Suppose fermions ν_R acquire mass from Φ interactions via Higgs mechanism
- <u>Energy conservation</u>: particles can cross bubble walls if they have sufficient momentum to overcome the mass gap.
- To trap enough particles for PBH formation, $m_{\nu_R}^{\rm true} > p_{\nu_R}$

• **Or,**
$$m_{\nu_R}^{\text{true}} \gtrsim T_{\text{PT}}$$

Cash Hauptmann (chauptmann2@huskers.unl.edu)

 $\frac{\text{false vacuum}}{\langle \Phi \rangle = 0}$ $m_{\nu_R}^{\text{false}} = 0$





discontinuity





discontinuity





Benchmarks **Gravitational waves**





$_{-L}(0)$	$lpha_{Y_i}(0)$	$T_{ m PT}/\langle\Phi angle$	lpha	$eta/H(T_{ m PT})$
$\times 10^{-2}$	9.368×10^{-2}	8.694×10^{-2}	7.869×10^{-1}	9.228×10^{1}
$\times 10^{-2}$	1.149×10^{-1}	8.671×10^{-2}	8.194×10^{-1}	$9.660 imes 10^1$
$\times 10^{-2}$	1.503×10^{-1}	1.006×10^{-1}	5.451×10^{-1}	$9.021 imes 10^1$
$\times 10^{-2}$	1.444×10^{-1}	3.075×10^{-1}	4.766×10^{-2}	8.664×10^2
$\times 10^{-2}$	1.421×10^{-1}	3.953×10^{-1}	3.231×10^{-2}	1.460×10^3

Benchmarks Hawking radiation



23

Benchmarks *f*_{PBH}



PBH Distribution Collapsing false-vacuum remnants

 Calculating the nucleation and expansion rates of true-vacuum bubbles allows you to find distribution statistics of false-vacuum remnants.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{fv}}}{\mathrm{d}R_{0}} \approx \frac{I_{\mathrm{PT}}^{4}\beta^{4}}{192v_{w}^{3}}e^{(4R_{0}\beta/v_{w})-I_{\mathrm{PT}}e^{R_{0}\beta/v_{w}}}\left(1-e^{-I_{\mathrm{PT}}e^{R_{0}\beta/v_{w}}}\right), \qquad \beta/H(T_{\mathrm{PT}}) \gg 1$$

- B L models with $m_{\nu_{P}}^{\text{true}} > T_{\text{PT}}$ are assumed to form one PBH per falsevacuum remnant.
- False-vacuum remnant distribution =>> PBH distribution



Lu, Kawana, Xie [2202.03439]



Cash Hauptmann (chauptmann2@huskers.unl.edu)

26





