Boosted Dark Photons: Looking for Light Dark Matter with Dark Light **Boosted Dark Photons:**
Looking for Light Dark Matter
With Dark Light
R. ANDREW GUSTAFSON (CENTER FOR NEUTRINO PHYSICS,
VIRGINIA TECH, GUSTAFR@VT.EDU)
MICHAEL GRAESSER (LANL)
MICHAEL GRAESSER (LANL) **Boosted Dark Photc**
Looking for Light Dark Ma⁻
with Dark Light
R. ANDREW GUSTAFSON (CENTER FOR NEUTRINO PHY
VIRGINIA TECH, GUSTAFR@VT.EDU)
IN COLLABORATION WITH VARUN MATHUR (VT), IAN SHOEMAKER (V
MICHAEL GRAESSER (LANL **ORFER FOR NEUTRINO PHYSICS,**
ER FOR NEUTRINO PHYSICS,
UR (VT), IAN SHOEMAKER (VT), AND for No
ph_{ere-pheno 2024}

R. ANDREW GUSTAFSON (CENTER FOR NEUTRINO PHYSICS, VIRGINIA TECH, GUSTAFR@VT.EDU)

 $\mu Y' = m_\chi$ χ $\mu = m$ γ χ) χ

Dark Matter (DM) Kinematics

DPF - PHENO 2024

 $\mu Y' = m_\chi j \chi - -F'$ $F_{\mu\nu}$ $\mu = m \rightarrow \nu$ $1_{\Gamma'} \mu \nu_{\Gamma'}$ χ) $X = -F$ $F_{\mu\nu} + -m_A^2$ $1 \frac{\mu v}{\mu v}$ $1 \frac{2}{\mu^2}$ $1/\mu$ $1/\mu$ 4 μ μ $\frac{2}{3}$ μ $\frac{2}{3}$ $I^{\mu\nu}F' + \frac{1}{m^2}A'^{\mu}A'$ μ_V + $\frac{1}{2}$ $m_{A'}$ A A_{μ} $\mu = \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{1}{4} \mu A$ $\frac{1}{2}m_{A'}A$ A_{μ} $\frac{2}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$ μ

Dark Matter (DM) Kinematics

Dark Photon (DP) Kinematics DPF - PHENO 2024

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\chi}(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{\chi})\chi - \frac{1}{4}F^{\prime\mu\nu}F^{\prime}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{A^{\prime}}^{2}A^{\prime\mu}A^{\prime}_{\mu} - g_{D}A^{\prime}_{\mu}\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi
$$

Dark Matter (DM) Kinematics

Dark Photon (DP) Kinematics

DM-DP Interactions $DIV - DPI$
Interactions
DPF-PHENO 2024

Our Model – Fermionic Dark Matter with
a Dark Photon Mediator a Dark Photon Mediator The Conservation of the UP-
Photon Sinetic Mixing

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\chi}(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - m_{\chi})\chi - \frac{1}{4}F^{\prime\mu\nu}F^{\prime}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{A^{\prime}}^{2}A^{\prime\mu}A^{\prime}_{\mu} - g_{D}A^{\prime}_{\mu}\overline{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi - \frac{\epsilon}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F^{\prime}_{\mu\nu}
$$

Dark Matter (DM) Kinematics

Dark Photon (DP) Kinematics

DM-DP

Interactions Kinetic Mixing D PF - PHENO 2024
DPF - PHENO 2024

DM w/ Boosted DP - Schematic

When $m_{\chi} \gg m_A$, the DPs from annihilation act as Long-Lived Particles with galactic scale decay lengths. The dark photon flux is: Ilation act as Long-Lived Particles with

photon flux is:
 $\frac{d\Omega}{d\lambda} \int d\Omega \int_{LOS} \rho_{\chi}^2 dx$

$$
\Phi_{A'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{<\sigma_{ann} v>}{2 m_{\chi}^2} \int d\Omega \int_{LOS} \rho_{\chi}^2 dx
$$

When $m_{\chi} \gg m_A$, the DPs from annihilation act as Long-Lived Particles with galactic scale decay lengths. The dark photon flux is:

$$
\Phi_{A'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{<\sigma_{ann} v>}{2 m_{\chi}^2} \int d\Omega \int_{LOS} \rho_{\chi}^2 dx
$$

When $m_{\chi} \gg m_A$, the DPs from annihilation act as Long-Lived Particles with galactic scale decay lengths. The dark photon flux is:

$$
\Phi_{A'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{<\sigma_{ann} v>}{2 m_{\chi}^2} \int d\Omega \int_{LOS} \rho_{\chi}^2 dx
$$

When $m_{\chi} \gg m_A$, the DPs from annihilation act as Long-Lived Particles with galactic scale decay lengths. The dark photon flux is:

$$
\Phi_{A'} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{<\sigma_{ann} v>}{2 m_{\chi}^2} \int d\Omega \int_{LO} \rho_{\chi}^2 dx
$$

Existing Constraints : DM-DM Scattering

constrained by Bullet Cluster observations and the ellipticity of galactic DM halos [1].

[1] Prateek Agrawal, Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine, Lisa Randall, and Jakub Scholtz, "Make dark matter charged again," Journal of Cosmology and **PHP SET ASSAUTE 2017**

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

(2017). (2017). charged again,"
Journal of Cosmology
Astroparticle Physics :
(2017).
DPF-PHENO 2024

Existing Constraints : DM-DM Scattering

constrained by Bullet Cluster observations and the ellipticity of galactic DM halos [1].

How to evade these bounds:

Let χ make up ~10% of the total dark matter density. These gravitational observations can have sizable uncertainties. **How to evade these**

bounds:

bounds:
 2^{017}

Let χ make up ~10%

of the total dark

matter density. These

gravitational

observations can have

sizable uncertainties.

[1] Prateek Agrawal, Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine, Lisa Randall, and Jakub Scholtz, "Make dark matter charged again," Journal of Cosmology and **PHP SET ASSAUTE 2017**

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

Astroparticle Physics 2017, 022

(2017). (2017).

Existing Constraints : DM-SM Scattering

Existing Constraints : $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf$ direct detection experiments (i.e. SENSEI [2]) and CMB observations [3]. For lowmass dark photons, constraints from DM-Galactic B-field interactions [4].

[2]Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-**COMBAN CONTROM CONTROVER (2)**

(2) Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-

detection results on sub-gev dark

matter from a new skipper CCD",

Phys. Rev. Lett. 125,

171802 (2020).

[3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D.

McKeen, and Y matter from a new skipper CCD", Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 171802 (2020). [3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D. McKeen, and Y.-M. Zhong, "Cosmological constraints on dark matter interactions with ordinary matter" Physics Reports 961, 1 (2022). [4] A. Cruz and M. McQuinn, "Astrophysical plasma instabilities induced by long-range interacting [2]Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-
detection results on sub-gev dark
matter from a new skipper CCD",
Phys. Rev. Lett. 125,
171802 (2020).
[3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D.
McKeen, and Y.-M.
Zhong, "Cosmological constraints o arXiv:2202.12464 (2022). Phys. Rev. Lett. 125,
171802 (2020).
171802 (2020).
18. Buen-Abad, IMCkeen, and Y. M.
2hong, "Cosmological
dark matter interactic
ordinary matter" Physical plasma
1 (2022).
14. Cruz and M. Mc "Astrophysical plasma
induced

Existing Constraints : DM-SM Scattering

Existing Constraints : $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf$ direct detection experiments (i.e. SENSEI [2]) and CMB observations [3]. For lowmass dark photons, constraints from DM-Galactic B-field interactions [4].

How to evade these $\frac{[2]Sensei\text{ Collaboration}, \text{Direct}}{detection\text{ results on sub-gev dark}}$ bounds:

Let $m_{\chi} \lesssim$ MeV. Below this mass, galactic dark matter lacks the energy necessary to produce visible signals induced by long-range interaction of the sample of the structure of the structure dark matter" arxiv preprint in detectors. For CMB constraints, we need the crosssection to be small. **DESCRIPS ARE NEWS Review that the principal strengths and this mass, galactic**

this mass, galactic Medicine, and Y-M.

dark matter lacks the

energy necessary to

produce visible signals

induced by long-range

in detec

[2]Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-**COMBAN CONTROM CONTROVER (2)**

(2) Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-

detection results on sub-gev dark

matter from a new skipper CCD",

Phys. Rev. Lett. 125,

171802 (2020).

[3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D.

McKeen, and Y matter from a new skipper CCD", Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 171802 (2020). [3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D. McKeen, and Y.-M. Zhong, "Cosmological constraints on dark matter interactions with ordinary matter" Physics Reports 961, 1 (2022). [4] A. Cruz and M. McQuinn, "Astrophysical plasma instabilities induced by long-range interacting [2]Sensei Collaboration, "Direct-
detection results on sub-gev dark
matter from a new skipper CCD",
Phys. Rev. Lett. 125,
171802 (2020).
[3]M. A. Buen-Abad, R. Essig, D.
McKeen, and Y.-M.
Zhong, "Cosmological constraints o arXiv:2202.12464 (2022).

Existing Constraints : DM Stellar Cooling

[5] S. Davidson, S. Hannestad, and G. Raffelt, JHEP 05, 003 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0001179.

If $m_{\chi} < T_{\text{core}}$ in stars, then electromagnetic currents can produce χ which will escape and lead to over-cooling [5]

DPF - PHENO 2024

Existing Constraints : DM Stellar Cooling

If $m_{\chi} < T_{\text{core}}$ in stars, then

electromagnetic currents can

produce χ which will escape

and lead to over-cooling [5]

How to evade these bounds:

Let $m_{\chi} \gg T_{\text{core}}$ ($\mathcal{O}(10) \text{keV}$) so emission is kinematically forbitten OR Let $g_D \epsilon$ be small so χ has little coupling to EM currents. DPF - PHENO 2024

[5] S. Davidson, S. Hannestad, and G. Raffelt, JHEP 05, 003 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0001179.

Existing Constraints : DP Mixing

$$
A\curvearrowright A'
$$

For DPs that mix with SM photons, $\frac{10^{-5}}{10^{-6}}$ this can lead to DPs free-streaming

out of the Sun (and other stars).

Stellar cooling observations and

direct detection constrains these

models.
 $\sum_{i=10^{-10}}^{10^{-8}}$
 $\sum_{i=10^{-11}}^{10^{-8}}$

models.
 $\sum_{i=10^{-12}}^{10^{-10}}$ out of the Sun (and other stars). $\frac{d}{dx} \sum_{10^{-9}}^{10^{-8}}$ Stellar cooling observations and $\sum_{10^{-10}}^{10}$ direct detection constrains these $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{10^{-11}}{10^{-12}}$ models. For DPs that mix with SM photons,

his can lead to DPs free-streaming

but of the Sun (and other stars).

Stellar cooling observations and

direct detection constrains these

models.

Constraints weaken when m_{A} , $<\omega_p$

Constraints weaken when m_{A} , $< \omega_p$ $\sqrt{\frac{10^{-14}}{10^{-15}} \frac{1}{20}}$ standard discovery projection (the plasma mass)

[6] Andrea Caputo, Ciaran A. J. O'Hare, Alexander J. Milbook," (2021), arXiv:2105.04565 [hep-ph].

In matter, dielectric properties lead to a modified photon propagator via a polarization tensor Π [7].

For transversely polarized photons in an isotropic, nonmagnetic medium, we relate this polarization tensor to the index of refraction

$$
\Pi_T = \omega^2 (1 - n_{ref}^2)
$$

[7] H. An, M. Pospelov, and J. Pradler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 041302 (2013), arXiv:1304.3461 [hep-ph]. [7] H. An, M. Pospelov, and J. Pradler
Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 041302 (2013),
arXiv:1304.3461 [hep-ph].

In matter, dielectric properties $A'(q)$ lead to a modified photon propagator via a polarization tensor Π [7].

For transversely polarized photons in an isotropic, nonmagnetic medium, we relate this polarization tensor to the index of refraction

$$
\Pi_T = \omega^2 (1 - n_{ref}^2)
$$

In matter, dielectric properties $A'(q)$ lead to a modified photon propagator via a polarization tensor Π [7].

For transversely polarized photons in an isotropic, nonmagnetic medium, we relate this polarization tensor to the index of refraction

$$
\Pi_T = \omega^2 (1 - n_{ref}^2)
$$

In matter, dielectric properties $A'(q)$ lead to a modified photon propagator via a polarization tensor Π [7].

For transversely polarized photons in an isotropic, nonmagnetic medium, we relate this polarization tensor to the index of refraction

$$
\Pi_T = \omega^2 (1 - n_{ref}^2)
$$

In matter, dielectric properties A' (q lead to a modified photon propagator via a polarization tensor Π [7].

For transversely polarized photons in an isotropic, nonmagnetic medium, we relate this polarization tensor to the index of refraction

$$
\Pi_T = \omega^2 (1 - n_{ref}^2)
$$

Results

$$
f_i = 0.1 \; ; m_\chi = 1 \; \text{keV}
$$

10

$$
f_i = 0.1 \; ; m_{\chi} = 1 \; \text{keV}
$$

11 **11 12 12 13 14 14 15 16 16 17 17 17 17 17**

Conclusion

Here, we explore the indirect detection of keV dark matter annihilating into dark photons with an optimized annihilation cross section.

Future detectors can search for this signal if they have the following properties

Large Volumes and Low Densities

OGaseous detectors have less suppression from in-medium effects

Leta Low Energy Thresholds and Good Energy Resolution

The signal will be a mono-energetic peak at m_{γ}

O Smaller m_x means more signal

OGood Spatial Resolution

 \square Dark photons can interact anywhere within the detector, while most x-ray background will be on the detector edges Bet if they have the following properties

com in-medium effects

gy Resolution

t m_χ

a the detector, while most x-ray background will be on

DDFF-PHENO 2024

13

Thank You!

arXiv: 2402.00941 Phys. Rev. D 109, 095015 gustafr@vt.edu N
2402.00941
D 109, 095015
fr@vt.edu
work.pheno.zoza

Bonus Slide $-\chi$ Distribution And DP Flux

We let the χ distribution follow an NFW profile with a time-dependent scaling.

$$
\rho_{\chi}(r,t) = f(t) \times \rho_{\text{NFW}}(r)
$$

After picking a value of $f_i = f(t = 0)$, we can then time-evolve the distribution due to annihilations. $\vec{\theta}^s$ 10⁴ Given our choice of m_{χ} and $<\sigma v$, we can then compute the flux of dark photons at Earth.

An alternative model dark matter model could be a scalar ϕ which decays into 10^{11} DPs

 $\phi \rightarrow A'A'$ $\phi \rightarrow A'A'$
This could produce a flux of
similar magnitude to the similar magnitude to the annihilating case.

$$
f_i = 0.1 \; m_{A'} = 25 \; \mathrm{eV}
$$