Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	0 00	0

Radiative Corrections to low energy electron proton scattering

Daniel Crowe[†], Doreen Wackeroth[†], Syed Mehedi Hasan[‡]

[†] Department of Physics State University of New York at Buffalo [‡] University of Regensburg, Germany

May 13, 2024

MOTIVATION	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
•0	0000	0 00	0

Motivation

Set up and cause for complexity

Results and Outlook

MOTIVATION	Set up and cause for complexity	Results and Conclusion	References
0•	0000	0 00	0

Having a handle on radiative corrections for lepton nucleon scattering, specifically that of electron (positron) scattering with protons has several applications ¹

- Extraction of electromagnetic form factors defining the protons charge distribution at low energies (Rosenbluth vs Polarization Transfer Method)
- Determination of the proton charge radius
- Testing of higher energy effects like resonances in diagrams associated with the process, most importantly the crossed and direct box diagrams, also known as Two Photon Exchange (TPE) diagrams.



¹For a full overview of current progress see Afanasev, A et al. (2023). "Radiative Corrections: From Medium to High Energy Experiments.", arXiv:2306.14578 [hep-ph]

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	000	0 00	0

Motivation

Set up and cause for complexity

Results and Outlook

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	0 00	0

In this work we present a calculation of NLO QED corrections to electron proton scattering with the aim to perform a calculation without making some of the approximations used in the literature.



Figure: Maximon, L.C., Tjon, J.A. arXiv:nucl-th/0002058

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	0 00	0

In this calculation we use a proton-photon vertex function where the form factors of the proton depend on the photon momentum

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = F_1(q^2)\gamma_{\mu} + F_2(q^2)\frac{i\kappa}{2M}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}$$

Where in our case we take

$$F_1(q^2) = F_2(q^2) = \left(\frac{-\Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2}\right)^n, \qquad n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{monopole} \\ 2 & \text{dipole} \end{cases}$$

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	000•	0 00	0

TPE in electron photon scattering is an active field

Our work is focused predominantly around the TPE calculation as it remains an important and complex part of any set of radiative corrections (RCs) to lepton nucleon scattering.

Some examples for discussions in the literature:

- Calculations involving form factors that depend on the loop momentum with approximations in the TPE diagrams performed by Maximon and Tjon (arXiv:nucl-th/0002058)
- Analysis concerning specifically corrections due to the TPE diagram for lepton proton scattering from Oleksander Tomalak (http://doi.org/10.25358/openscience-1037)
- Review on theoretical progress for TPE by Afanasev et al. (arXiv:1703.03874 [nucl-ex])

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	0 00	0

The TPE diagram



Corresponds to the following loop integral

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	0 00	0

The complication of the added denominators leads to higher-point scalar functions not readily available. So, one method we elected to do was to partial fraction the denominators. Using the below definitions:

$$D_0 = k^2 - \Lambda^2 \qquad D_1 = (q-k)^2 - \Lambda^2 \qquad D_2 = k^2 - \lambda^2 D_3 = (q-k)^2 - \lambda^2 \qquad D_4 = (p_1-k)^2 - m^2 \qquad D_5 = (p_2+k)^2 - M^2$$

The following result is derived:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{D_0^2 D_1^2 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_5} &= \\ & (\Lambda^{-2})^4 \left\{ \frac{1}{D_2 D_3} + \frac{1}{D_0 D_1} - \frac{1}{D_1 D_2} - \frac{1}{D_0 D_3} \right\} \frac{1}{D_4 D_5} \qquad (1) \\ & + (\Lambda^{-2})^3 \left\{ \frac{1}{D_2 D_1^2} + \frac{1}{D_0^2 D_3} - \frac{1}{D_0 D_1^2} - \frac{1}{D_0^2 D_1} \right\} \frac{1}{D_4 D_5} \qquad (2) \\ & + (\Lambda^{-2})^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{D_0^2 D_1^2} \right\} \frac{1}{D_4 D_5} \qquad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	0 00	0

Furthermore we can rewrite the last two terms as derivatives:

$$(2) \to (\Lambda^{-2})^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{D_2 D_1} + \frac{1}{D_0 D_3} - \frac{1}{D_0 D_1} \right\} \frac{1}{D_4 D_5} \\ (3) \to (\Lambda^{-2})^2 \lim_{\Lambda_1^2, \Lambda_2^2 \to \Lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Lambda_1^2 \partial \Lambda_2^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{D_0 (\Lambda_1^2) D_1 (\Lambda_2^2)} \right\} \frac{1}{D_4 D_5}$$

This greatly reduces the complexity of the work down to to calculating four point functions and their derivatives.

Motivation	SET UP AND CAUSE FOR COMPLEXITY	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	0 00	0

At this point we can turn to automatic methods for the calculations:

- Reduction to scalar functions through FeynCalc (Most current version: V. Shtabovenko et al. arXiv:2312.14089 [hep-ph])
- Supplying analytic expressions for scalar functions through Package-X (Patel, H. arXiv:1612.00009 [hep-ph])
- Implementation of the full set of RCs (including hard photon radiation) in a Monte Carlo program to obtain kinematic distributions

Independent checks:

- ► In-house code based on PV reduction and derivatives of IR finite scalar *C*, *D* functions provided by S.M.Hasan.
- Interpretation of form factor denominators as propagators and rearrangement of terms does not need partial fractions and derivatives.

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	• 00	0

Motivation

Set up and cause for complexity

Results and Outlook

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	○ ●○	0

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We present results for δ defined as:

 $\sigma = \sigma_{\rm Born}(1+\delta)$

TPE diagrams

	$\epsilon_1 = 4.4 \text{GeV}$	$\epsilon_1 = 12 \text{ GeV}$	$\epsilon_1 = 21.5 \text{GeV}$
	$Q^2 = 6 \; (\text{GeV}/\text{c})^2$	$Q^2 = 16 \; ({\rm GeV/c})^2$	$Q^2 = 31.3 \; (\text{GeV}/\text{c})^2$
	box crossed box	box crossed box	box crossed box
dipole	-0.25619 0.242445	-0.768732 0.771787	-1.46347 1.47169
monopole	0.181968 -0.1940709	0.165889 -0.1711059	0.154493 -0.156826
	sum	sum	sum
dipole	-0.013745	0.003055	0.008226
monopole	-0.012103	-0.005216	-0.002333

Figure: Contribution of the IR finite part of the TPE diagrams (direct and crossed boxes) to δ for dipole and monopole form factors (same setup used as in the Maximon and Tjon paper, Z=1)

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	○ ○●	0

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Soft + Virtual Corrections

	$\epsilon_1 = 4.4 \text{ GeV}$	$\epsilon_1 = 12 \text{ GeV}$	$\epsilon_1 = 21.5 \text{ GeV}$
	$Q^2 = 6 \; (\text{GeV}/\text{c})^2$	$Q^2 = 16 (\text{GeV}/\text{c})^2$	$Q^2 = 31.3 \; (\text{GeV}/\text{c})^2$
	CHW MTj	CHW MTj	CHW MTj
Z^0	-0.2187 -0.2187	-0.2330 -0.2330	-0.2323 -0.2323
Z^1	-0.0598 -0.0569	-0.0327 -0.0517	-0.0304 -0.0625
$Z^{2} + \delta_{el}^{(1)}$	-0.0146 -0.0174	-0.0185 -0.0243	-0.0202 - 0.0267
$\delta_{el}^{(1)}$	+0.0096 +0.0068	+0.0174 +0.0116	+0.0250 +0.0185
δ	-0.2902 -0.2930	-0.3032 - 0.3090	-0.3150 -0.3214

 $Figure: \ {\rm Comparison \ of \ Maximon \ and \ Tjon \ (MTj)[?] \ to \ our \ work, \ Crowe, \ Hasan, \ Wackeroth \ (CHW)}$

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	0 00	0

PRELIMINARY RESULTS



Figure: Comparison of TPE contribution to δ (virtual+soft) by MTj to our result for different values of the electron beam energy ϵ_1 and Q^2

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	0 00	0

Future Steps

- Comparison of our TPE calculation to existing work on Two Photon exchange corrections
- Predictions for the ratio of electron-proton and positron-proton cross sections
- The next phase will be to add onto this core machinery the NLO QED corrections to neutrino-Nucleon scattering
- Possibility to study the impacts of RCS in the transition from a lower energy regime to higher energy DIS regime using in house EW corrections to neutrino-Nucleon scattering²

 $^{^2 \}rm Kwangwoo$ P., Baur U., Wackeroth D. , "Electroweak radiative corrections to neutrino–nucleon scattering at NuTeV," arxiv.org/abs/0910.5013

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	Results and Conclusion	References
00	0000	o 00	•

Motivation

Set up and cause for complexity

Results and Outlook

Motivation	Set up and cause for complexity	RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	References
00	0000	0 00	•

- Maximon, L.C., Tjon, J.A. (2000). "Radiative Corrections to Electron-Proton Scattering.", 35. arXiv:nucl-th/0002058
- Afanasev, A et al. (2023). "Radiative Corrections: From Medium to High Energy Experiments.", arXiv:2306.14578 [hep-ph]
- V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig and F. Orellana, "FeynCalc 10: Do multiloop integrals dream of computer codes?", arXiv:2312.14089 [hep-ph].
 - V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig and F. Orellana, "FeynCalc 9.3: New features and improvements", arXiv:2001.04407 [hep-ph]
- V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig and F. Orellana, "New Developments in FeynCalc 9.0", Comput. Phys. Commun., 207, 432-444, 2016, arXiv:1601.01167.
- R. Mertig, M. Böhm, and A. Denner, "Feyn Calc Computer-algebraic calculation of Feynman amplitudes", Comput. Phys. Commun., 64, 345-359, 1991.
- Patel, H. H. (2017). Package -X 2.0: A Mathematica package for the analytic calculation of one-loop integrals. arXiv:1612.00009 [hep-ph]