DPF-PHENO 2024

Nuon Collider and EDM Complementarity **By Jackie Lodman, Harvard** University

May 16, 2024





- In Collaboration with: Sam Homiller, Aditya Parikh, Matt Reece

Electric Dipole Moments (EDMs)

- EDMs are sensitive probes of BSM physics since said models generically contain new sources Charge-Parity (CP) violation
 - Leptonic EDMs are generated by CP violating processes, arising from terms like $\mathscr{L} \in -d_e \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \psi F_{\mu\nu}$
- The current bound on the electron EDM is $|d_e| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e \cdot cm$ (arXiv 2212.11841)
 - SM prediction: $|d_e| \approx 10^{-35} \text{e} \cdot \text{cm}$ (arXiv:2202.10524)
- If EDM is produced at the 2-Loop level, the scale of new physics must be $\Lambda_{\rm 2-Loop} \gtrapprox$ TeV

Barr-Zee Diagrams

- Barr-Zee diagrams are two-loop contributions to EDMs
 - ANY particle interacting with the Higgs and electromagnetic gauge bosons will contribution to EDM through such diagrams
- Q: If we observe at eEDM, how do we learn more about the particles that produce it (such as their couplings to the Higgs)?



Complementary Measurements at Muon Colliders (1)

Barr-Zee Diagrams



Images from arXiv:2103.14043

• Same substructure!

VBF at Muon Colliders





Image from arXiv:2103.14043

produce features at a muon collider that tell us about the new particles



The heavy new particles that produce an eEDM through Barr-Zee diagrams will

Complementary Measurements at Muon Colliders (3)



Images from arXiv:2103.14043

- This is NOT discovery mechanism for new particles
 - Would tell us how the new particles couple to the Higgs etc.



Why look in Muon Collider Data?

- hadron colliders)
 - Fundamental particles:
 - Collisions clean compared to composite particles
 - C.O.M energy of collisions is known
 - Low background; Fewer colored jets
- Muon colliders are EW gauge boson colliders

Muon colliders are the perfect place to look for VBF processes (as opposed to



Minimal BSM Models

- To produce a leptonic EDM through Barr-Zee diagrams, we need:
 - Coupling to Higgs doublet and Electroweak GB
 - Extra physical phase
- Therefore, need at least one charged BSM particle
- Simplest solution to contracting with Higgs doublet:
 - $2 \otimes \overline{2} = 3 \oplus 1 \rightarrow \text{Need}$ an additional $SU(2)_L$ singlet or triplet
- Prevent excess couplings with SM fermions \rightarrow new particles are fermions
 - Also need to add an oppositely charged $SU(2)_L$ doublet as well

Minimal BSM Models: Singlet Doublet (SD) and Doublet Triplet (DT) Two Minimal BSM Models: Singlet Doublet (SD) and Doublet Triplet (DT)

- - Talk will focus on results from the SD model
- Singlet-Doublet Model:
 - Consists of two $SU(2)_L$ doublets (Y = 0)

•
$$\mathscr{L} \in -\frac{1}{2}M_1\xi\xi - \mu\psi_u\psi_d + Y_u\xi H^{\dagger}\psi_u - Y_d\xi H\psi_d + \text{h.c.}$$

- One physical phase only in the neutral fermion sector

$$\psi_u/\psi_d$$
 ($Y = \pm \frac{1}{2}$) and an SU(2)_L singlet ξ

In the broken phase, we have one Dirac fermion and three neutral fermions



Contributions to eEDM and VBF Processes from SD Model

- One additional Barr-Zee diagram contributes to eEDM from SD model
- We found that the best VBF process to observe effects in is $W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$ at the one loop level



Figures from arXiv:2010.15129

eEDM Bounds Constrain SD Model $Y_u = 1.2, \ Y_d = -1.5, \ \phi_{\rm SD} = \pi/9$ 3000 Current bound on eEDM constrains the new charged fermion mass 2500 (μ) to be above 2 TeV $-m_{\chi_1} = 2250 \,\mathrm{GeV} -$ 2000 Then the contribution to $M_1 (GeV)$ the eEDM $\propto 1/\mu^2$, and 1500 $= 1500 \,\mathrm{G}$ m_{χ_1} is relatively insensitive to singlet mass M_1 1000 $m_{\chi_1} = 750 \,\mathrm{Ge}$ 5006000 8000 20004000 μ (GeV)

Complication: Renormalization

- before 1-Loop level
- Therefore, we had to renormalize the EW sector of the SM
 - the NLO contribution to the cross section above $\sqrt{s} = 2m_t \propto 2\text{Re}(M_{\text{tree}} * M_{\text{SD Loop}})$, independent of SM 1-loop contributions
- Renormalization was done through via our own FeynArts (arXiv:hep-ph/ 9807565

• $W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$ is allowed at tree level in the SM; there are no SD contributions

• We chose to only include the SD particles in loops. This is allowed because

0012260) model using an on-shell scheme via FormCalc (arXiv:hep-ph/

$W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$ Results for the SD Model

- |Im(M)| > 0 after $\sqrt{s} = 2 * M_{\text{Lightest SD Particle}}$
- Occurs because lightest particle running in the loop can be produced on-shell



$W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$ Results for the SD Model (2)



• Produces exactly the feature we expected in $\frac{d\tau}{d\tau}$!

 $d\sigma$



Ongoing Work:

- model
- Repeat for the DT model
- Identify clearest collider signals in both models

Finish analysis of the allowed parameter space in the SD

Conclusions

- EDMs are powerful probes of BSM physics because they depend on CP violation
- zero eEDM above the SM estimate is observed
- are the SD and DT models
- We see the predicted discontinuities in $W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$ differential cross the DT model

Muon colliders can be used to make complimentary measurements IF a non-

• The "minimal" BSM models that produce eEDMs through Barr-Zee diagrams

section in the SD model, but there is still work to be done on analysis and in

Selected Sources

- Progress in Physics 85 no. 8, (July, 2022) 084201. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/ac6678</u>
- the gce," Journal of High Energy Physics 2021 no. 3, (Mar., 2021) . http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ JHEP03(2021)123
- 381 no. 6653, (July, 2023) 46–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.adg4084.

• H. Al Ali, N. Arkani-Hamed, I. Banta, S. Benevedes, D. Buttazzo, T. Cai, J. Cheng, T. Cohen, N. Craig, M. Ekhterachian, J. Fan, M. Forslund, I. G. Garcia, S. Homiller, S. Koren, G. Koszegi, Z. Liu, Q. Lu, K.-F. Lyu, A. Mariotti, A. McCune, P. Meade, I. Ojalvo, U. Oktem, D. Redigolo, M. Reece, F. Sala, R. Sundrum, D. Sutherland, A. Tesi, T. Trott, C. Tully, L.-T. Wang, and M. Wang, "The muon smasher's guide," Reports on

• K. Fraser, A. Parikh, and W. L. Xu, "A closer look at cp-violating higgs portal dark matter as a candidate for

• T. S. Roussy, L. Caldwell, T. Wright, W. B. Cairncross, Y. Shagam, K. B. Ng, N. Schlossberger, S. Y. Park, A. Wang, J. Ye, and E. A. Cornell, "An improved bound on the electron's electric dipole moment," Science

• Y. Ema, T. Gao, and M. Pospelov, "Standard model prediction for paramagnetic electric dipole moments," Physical Review Letters 129 no. 23, (Nov., 2022) . http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.231801.