**May 16, 2024**





# DPF-PHENO 2024

### **Muon Collider and EDM Complementarity By Jackie Lodman, Harvard University**

- 
- **In Collaboration with: Sam Homiller, Aditya Parikh, Matt Reece**

## **Electric Dipole Moments (EDMs)**

- EDMs are sensitive probes of BSM physics since said models generically contain new sources Charge-Parity (CP) violation
	- Leptonic EDMs are generated by CP violating processes, arising from terms like  $\mathscr{L} \in -d_e$ *i* 2  $\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$ *γ*5*ψFμν*
- The current bound on the electron EDM is  $|d_e| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30}$ e · cm (arXiv 2212.11841) |*de* | < 4.1 × 10−30<sup>e</sup> ⋅ cm
	- SM prediction:  $|d_e| \approx 10^{-35}$ e · cm (arXiv:2202.10524)  $|d_e| \approx 10^{-35}$ e · cm
- If EDM is produced at the 2-Loop level, the scale of new physics must be  $\Lambda_{2-\text{Loop}} \gtrsim \text{TeV}$

### **Barr-Zee Diagrams**

- Barr-Zee diagrams are two-loop contributions to EDMs
	- ANY particle interacting with the Higgs and electromagnetic gauge bosons will contribution to EDM through such diagrams
- Q: If we observe at eEDM, how do we learn more about the particles that produce it (such as their couplings to the Higgs)?



### **Complementary Measurements at Muon Colliders (1)**

• Same substructure!

Barr-Zee Diagrams VBF at Muon Colliders



Images from arXiv:2103.14043



• The heavy new particles that produce an eEDM through Barr-Zee diagrams will

produce features at a muon collider that tell us about the new particles





Image from arXiv:2103.14043

### **Complementary Measurements at Muon Colliders (3)**

- This is NOT discovery mechanism for new particles
	- Would tell us how the new particles couple to the Higgs etc.

Images from arXiv:2103.14043

6





## **Why look in Muon Collider Data?**

- hadron colliders)
	- Fundamental particles:
		- Collisions clean compared to composite particles
		- C.O.M energy of collisions is known
	- Low background; Fewer colored jets
- Muon colliders are EW gauge boson colliders

• Muon colliders are the perfect place to look for VBF processes (as opposed to



## **Minimal BSM Models**

- To produce a leptonic EDM through Barr-Zee diagrams, we need:
	- Coupling to Higgs doublet and Electroweak GB
	- Extra physical phase
- Therefore, need at least one charged BSM particle
- Simplest solution to contracting with Higgs doublet:
	- $2 \otimes 2 = 3 \oplus 1 \rightarrow$ Need an additional SU $(2)_L$  singlet or triplet  $2 \otimes \bar{2} = 3 \oplus 1 \rightarrow$ Need an additional SU $(2)_L$
- Prevent excess couplings with SM fermions  $\rightarrow$  new particles are fermions
	- Also need to add an oppositely charged  $\mathsf{SU}(2)_L$  doublet as well

#### **Minimal BSM Models: Singlet Doublet (SD) and Doublet Triplet (DT)** • Two Minimal BSM Models: Singlet Doublet (SD) and Doublet Triplet (DT)

- - Talk will focus on results from the SD model
- Singlet-Doublet Model:
	- $(Y = 0)$

. Consists of two SU(2)<sub>L</sub> doublets 
$$
\psi_u/\psi_d
$$
 ( $Y = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ) and an SU(2)<sub>L</sub> singlet  $\xi$ 

• In the broken phase, we have one Dirac fermion and three neutral fermions

- 
- One physical phase only in the neutral fermion sector

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}M_1 \xi \xi - \mu \psi_u \psi_d + Y_u \xi H^{\dagger} \psi_u - Y_d \xi H \psi_d + \text{h.c.}
$$



### **Contributions to eEDM and VBF Processes from SD Model**

Figures from arXiv:2010.15129

- One additional Barr-Zee diagram contributes to eEDM from SD model
- We found that the best VBF process to observe effects in is at the one loop level *W*+*W*<sup>−</sup> → *hh*



#### **eEDM Bounds Constrain SD Model** • Current bound on eEDM constrains the new charged fermion mass (*µ*) to be above 2 TeV • Then the contribution to the eEDM  $\,\propto\,1/\mu^2$ , and is relatively insensitive to singlet mass  $M_1$  $\propto 1/\mu^2$  $m_{\chi_1}$  = 750 GeV  $m_{\chi_1}$  $= 1500 \text{ G}$  $m_{\chi_1}=2250\,{\rm GeV}$ |
|
|  $|e| = 10$  $-28$  $e{\cdot}{\rm cm}$ |
|
|  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ 2  $\Xi_{\mathsf{X}}$ -29  $e{\cdot}{\rm cm}$  $|d_e| =$ 10 29 e·cm  $\overline{\phantom{1}}$  $d^e_e$ |// 3×10 -30  $e{\cdot}c_{\!}$ 10e1  $\prime/$ 10 **and cent** JILA Bound 2000 4000 6000 8000 500 1000  $1500$ 2000 2500 3000  $\mu$  (GeV)  $M_1$ (GeV **)**  $Y_u = 1.2$ ,  $Y_d = -1.5$ ,  $\phi_{SD} = \pi/9$

- 
- 

### **Complication: Renormalization**

• We chose to only include the SD particles in loops. This is allowed because

- $W^+W^-$  → *hh* is allowed at tree level in the SM; there are no SD contributions before 1-Loop level
- Therefore, we had to renormalize the EW sector of the SM
	- the NLO contribution to the cross section above  $s=2m_t$   $\propto$   $2$ Re( $M_{\rm tree}$   $^*$   $M_{\rm SD}$  Loop<sup>),</sup> independent of SM 1-loop contributions
- Renormalization was done through via our own FeynArts (arXiv:hep-ph/ 9807565

0012260) model using an on-shell scheme via FormCalc (arXiv:hep-ph/

### $W^+W^- \rightarrow hh$  Results for the SD Model

- $|\mathsf{Im}(M)| > 0$  after *s* = 2 \* *M*Lightest SD Particle
- Occurs because lightest particle running in the loop can be produced on-shell





### *W*<sup>+</sup>  $W^-$  → *hh* Results for the SD Model (2)



• Produces exactly the feature we expected in  $\frac{1}{d\tau}$ !

*dσ dτ*

## **Ongoing Work:**

- model
- Repeat for the DT model
- Identify clearest collider signals in both models

#### • Finish analysis of the allowed parameter space in the SD

### **Conclusions**

• Muon colliders can be used to make complimentary measurements IF a non-

- EDMs are powerful probes of BSM physics because they depend on CP violation
- zero eEDM above the SM estimate is observed
- are the SD and DT models
- We see the predicted discontinuities in  $W^+W^-\rightarrow hh$  differential cross the DT model

• The "minimal" BSM models that produce eEDMs through Barr-Zee diagrams

section in the SD model, but there is still work to be done on analysis and in

### **Selected Sources**

• H. Al Ali, N. Arkani-Hamed, I. Banta, S. Benevedes, D. Buttazzo, T. Cai, J. Cheng, T. Cohen, N. Craig, M. Ekhterachian, J. Fan, M. Forslund, I. G. Garcia, S. Homiller, S. Koren, G. Koszegi, Z. Liu, Q. Lu, K.-F. Lyu, A. Mariotti, A. McCune, P. Meade, I. Ojalvo, U. Oktem, D. Redigolo, M. Reece, F. Sala, R. Sundrum, D. Sutherland, A. Tesi, T. Trott, C. Tully, L.-T. Wang, and M. Wang, "The muon smasher's guide," Reports on

- Progress in Physics 85 no. 8, (July, 2022) 084201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/ac6678>
- [the gce," Journal o](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)123)f High Energy Physics 2021 no. 3, (Mar., 2021). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)123) [JHEP03\(2021\)123](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)123)
- 381 no. 6653, (July, 2023) 46–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.adg4084.
- 

• K. Fraser, A. Parikh, and W. L. Xu, "A closer look at cp-violating higgs portal dark matter as a candidate for

• T. S. Roussy, L. Caldwell, T. Wright, W. B. Cairncross, Y. Shagam, K. B. Ng, N. Schlossberger, S. Y. Park, A. Wang, J. Ye, and E. A. Cornell, "An improved bound on the electron's electric dipole moment," Science

• Y. Ema, T. Gao, and M. Pospelov, "Standard model prediction for paramagnetic electric dipole moments," Physical Review Letters 129 no. 23, (Nov., 2022) . http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.231801.