Non-perturbative aspects of Composite Higgs Models

Maurizio Piai (Swansea University)

T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1702.04410 T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1711.00067 T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1908.00895 T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:2021.09698 T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:2205.03320 T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:2209.14867

AND REFERENCES THEREIN

D.Elander and MP, arXiv:1703.10158 D.Elander and MP, arXiv:1703.09205 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:1811.0101 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2004.05656 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2010.04100 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2011.07049 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2103.06721 D.Elander and MP, arXiv:2110.02945 D.Elander, A. Fatemiabhari, and MP, arXiv:2212.07954

AND REFERENCES THEREIN

D.Elander, A. Fatemiabhari, and MP, arXiv:2303.00541

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1712.04220 E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1909.12662 E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, J. Rantatharju, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1912.06505

E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2004.11063
E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2010.15781
E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2202.05516
E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2205.09254
E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2205.09364
E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2205.09364
E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2204.01070

E. Bennett, P. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, A. Lupo, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2306.11649

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2311.14663 E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2312.08465

see also

A. Athenodorou, E. Bennett, G. Bergner, D. Elander, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, and MP, arXiv:1605.04258 J.W. Lee, B.Lucini, and MP, arXiv:1701.03228 D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1705.00286 E. Bennett, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, A. Maas, MP, and F. Zierler, arXiv:2304.07191 B.Lucini, D. Mason, MP, E. Rinaldi, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2305.07463

AND REFERENCES THEREIN

Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Example I: Dilaton EFT application to SU(3) lattice data (brief)
- Example 2: Dilaton in gauge-gravity dualities (brief)
- Example 3: Goldstone/Higgs from the lattice—Sp(2N) theories (long, and see other talks)
- Outlook

General message

- We are living in a golden age of numerical studies of non-perturbative field theories
 - Effective Field Theory
 - Gauge-gravity dualities
 - Lattice field theory
- Broad range of applications
 - Composite Higgs Models (Higgs as PNGB or Dilaton)
 - Top Partial Compositeness
 - Strongly Interacting Dark Matter
 - Gravitational Waves

Statement of the Problem

- Weakly-coupled models of EWSB affected by **BIG HIERARCHY PROBLEM**
- Strong-coupling solution: replace Higgs sector with new interactions and fields, that UV-complete the theory (technicolor, composite Higgs, little Higgs...)
- NON-PERTURBATIVE INSTRUMENTS gauge-gravity dualities, lattice studies and applications of EFT
- LITTLE HIERARCHY PROBLEM



arXiv:1703.09205

Figure 1. The mass spectrum of SM particles (continuous lines), compared to the range of current exclusions from LHC direct searches for exotics (shaded region) [2] and to the spectrum of a generic, hypothetic strongly-coupled new physics theory (dashed lines) with new states heavy enough to avoid current direct bounds. Fermions are rendered in blue, vectors in red and scalars in black.



Three Examples

 Example I: Dilaton EFT description of SU(3) lattice data—application to Goldstone-Higgs models

 Example II: Dilaton and Goldstone-Higgs from top-down gauge-gravity dualities— maximal sugra in D=7 dimensions as example, SO(5)/SO(4).

• Example III: Goldstone-Higgs on the lattice—Sp(2N) theories

Dilaton EFT description of SU(3) lattice data application to Goldstone-Higgs models

Lattice data on near conformal dynamics

- SU(3) theory, with either 8 fundamental (LatKMI, LSD Collaboration) or 2 symmetric (Dirac) fermions (Fodor et al.).
- Both theories expected to be close to edge of conformal window.
- Spectroscopy studied in some details, as a function of the quark mass, over large range of masses.

T.Appelquist et al., arXiv:1601.04027;

Anomalously light scalar singlet bound state present. A. D. Gasbarro and G.T. Fleming, arXiv:1702.00480

Y.Aoki et al. [LatKMI Collaboration], arXiv:1403.5000;

Y.Aoki et al. [LatKMI Collaboration], arXiv:1610.07011.

• What can it be? Could this be a light dilaton, and what can we learn about it?

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi, C. Schroeder and C. H. Wong, arXiv 1209.0391.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1502.00028.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1605.08750.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1712.08594.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1901.06324.

Lattice data on near conformal dynamics



T.Appelquist et al., arXiv:1601.04027;

Very different from QCD

Figure 1. Lattice data from the LSD collaboration for the SU(3) theory with $N_f = 8$ fundamentals [2]. Red circles represent the pseudoscalar data and their uncertainties are discussed in section 3.2.1. Pink diamonds represent the scalar data with uncertainties discussed in section 3.3. The lattice spacing is denoted by a.



Figure 2. Lattice data extracted from plots in Refs. [5–7] for the SU(3) theory with $N_f = 2$ Sextets. Red circles represent the pseudoscalar data and pink diamonds represent the scalar. The lattice spacing is denoted by a. The errors are discussed in section 3.2.2. Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, S. Mondal, D. Nogradi and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1605.08750.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1712.08594.

Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, and C. H. Wong, arXiv:1901.06324.

Dilaton EFT

• Weakly-coupled field theory, extends chiral Lagrangian by adding one scalar field.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial^{\mu} \chi + \mathcal{L}_{\pi} + \mathcal{L}_{M} - V(\chi)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi} = \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \left(\frac{\chi}{f_{d}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_{\mu} \Sigma (\partial^{\mu} \Sigma)^{\dagger}\right]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{M} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \left(\frac{\chi}{f_{d}}\right)^{y} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}\right]$$

- Minimum of V at f_d . If mass present, minimum: $\chi = F_d (\geq f_d)$
- Scaling relations, by varying quark mass one is exploring properties of the EFT.

$$\frac{F_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}} = \frac{F_{d}^{2}}{f_{d}^{2}},$$
$$\frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} = \left(\frac{F_{d}^{2}}{f_{d}^{2}}\right)^{y/2-1}$$
$$M_{\pi}^{2}F_{\pi}^{2-y} = Cm$$

- One can measure scaling dimension y of chiral condensate.
- Possibly shape of the scalar potential, and possibly extrapolate towards massless limit. $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \chi}\Big|_{\chi=F_d} = \frac{yN_f m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2}{2f_d^y} F_d^{y-1} = \frac{yN_f f_{\pi}}{2f_d} M_{\pi}^2 F_{\pi}$

T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1702.04410T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1711.00067T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:1908.00895

S. Coleman, "Aspects of Symmetry", Cambridge University Press;
W. D. Goldberger, B. Grinstein and W. Skiba, arXiv:0708.146
S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, arXiv:1311.3784;
M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, arXiv:1603.04575;
Kasai, K. i. Okumura and H. Suzuki, arXiv:1609.02264;
M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, arXiv:1610.01752;
M. Hansen, K. Langaeble and F. Sannino, arXiv:1610.02904;
M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, arXiv:1805.00198;

and more...3

Dilaton EFT

• Simplest Example: power-law potential $V \propto \chi^p$, second scaling relation:

Figure 3. Contour plot from a 2-parameter fit based on Eq. (3.1) for the LSD data (left panel)

and on Eq. (3.4), also for the LSD data (right panel). Contours correspond to 68.17% c.l. (blue), 95.45% c.l. (green) and 99.73% c.l., obtained for $\Delta \chi^2 = \{2.30, 6.18, 11.83\}$ respectively. The black



crosses indicate the central values of the fit parameters.

Both data sets suggest

y ~ 2, related to chiral condensate having dimension 2, not 3

See also:



Figure 4. Contour plot from the 2-parameter fit based on Eq. (3.1) for the sextet data (left panel) and on Eq. (3.4) also for the sextet data (right panel). We show the contours corresponding to 68.17% c.l. (blue), 95.45% c.l. (green) and 99.73% c.l., obtained for $\Delta \chi^2 = \{2.30, 6.18, 11.83\}$ respectively. The black crosses indicate the central values of the fit parameters.

A Near-Conformal Composite Higgs Model

T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:2012.09698

L. Vecchi, arXiv:1506.00623 T. Ma and G. Cacciapaglia, arXiv:1508.07014

- Same SU(3) lattice theory can also be used to build a Nambu-Goldstone Composite Higgs Model, with coset SU(8)xSU(8)/SU(8)
- Field content in terms of SM and new SU(3) gauge theory:

| Fermion | $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $SU(3)_c$ | SU(3) |
|--------------|--------------------|---|-----------|-------|
| L_{α} | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| $R_{1,2}$ | 1 | $\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$ | 1 | 3 |
| T | 1 | 2/3 | 3 | 3 |
| S | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |

- Several special features: additional scalar singlet state in the spectrum, large anomalous dimensions, ordinary baryons act as composite top partners.
- Spectrum: one light scalar (Higgs boson) is admixture of a Goldstone mode and the scalar singlet, while all other scalars can be made heavier that 4 TeV (some are coloured, some have fractional charges).
- Highly non-trivial spectral information already available from lattice: masses of mesons and baryons for various values of mass term (explicit symmetry breaking), and anomalous dimensions—as seen.

Dilaton and Goldstone-Higgs from top-down gauge-gravity dualities— maximal sugra in D=7 dimensions

D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2004.05656 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2010.04100 D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2011.07049

Modelling Confinement in top-down holography

- Big questions: can one demonstrate that dilaton emerges from strong coupling models? Can one study microscopic dynamics of minimal SO(5)/SO(4) model? Se e.g. R. Contino, 1005.4269 [hep-ph] and references therein
 - In both cases, essential to be able to describe confinement reliably—-top-down holography may help.
- Basic idea borrowed from Witten: consider known AdS solution in a known supergravity, compactify one dimension on a circle and find new closely related background solution with smooth geometry in which one circle shrinks to zero size.
 E. Witten, hep-th/9803131
- Various realisations in the literature: smooth, stable solutions known, for theories that contain interplay of non-trivial flows in higher-dimensional field theory (dual scalars coupled to gravity) and confinement. Known examples both in half-maximal sugra in D=6 (Romans) and maximal sugra in D=7, smooth and regular (with caveats).

C. K. Wen, and HX Yang, hep-th/0404152 S. Kuperstein and J. Sonnenschein hep-th/0411009 D. Elander, A. Faedo, C. Hoyos, D. Mateos, MP, arXiv:1312.7160

A. Pomarol, O. Pujolas, L. Salas, arXiv:1905.02653

 We found new solutions in both systems that are smooth and regular (with same caveats), that can be interpreted as dual to confining theories generalising the mechanism above, but that approach a classical instability—generalisation of suggestion by Kaplan et al. that physics in proximity of BZ D.B. Kaplan, J.W.Lee, D.T. Son, M.A. Stephanov, arXiv:0905.4752 bound is special.
 V. Gorbenko, S. Rychkov, B. Za, arXiv:1807.11512 V. Gorbenko, S. Rychkov, B. Za, arXiv:1808.04380

Modelling Confinement in holography (dilaton)



D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2011.07049

- 1-parameter family of colutions in D=7 maximal sugra, dual to four-dimensional, confining theory.
- Spectrum of fluctuation interpreted as bound states. Blue: spin-0, red: spin-2,
 - black: again spire0, but in probe approximation.
- Lightest scalar becomes massless, then tachyonic in a region of 1-parameter class of solutions
- Probe approximation fails when lighest scalar near massless—state is an approximate dilaton
- But...

Modelling Confinement in holography (phase transition)



D.Elander, MP and J. Roughley, arXiv:2011.07049

- Free energy as a function of sources shows that a phase transition is taking place.
- The massless state emerges only "past" the phase transition. In physical region, lightest scalar not parameterically light.
- Open question: is this generic?
- Open question: can the phase transition be weak, in some special case?

Modelling Confinement in holography (global symmetry)

D.Elander and MP, arXiv:2110.02945

- Same D=7 theory has SO(5) gauge symmetry, broken to SO(4).
- Same solutions (torus compactification) admit interpretation as four-dimensional confining theories, with global SO(5) broken to SO(4).
- This is a possible top-down completion for the minimal composite Goldstone-Higgs models, the SO(5)/SO(4) coset leads to 4 PNGBs interpreted as the SM Higgs doublet.
- Extensive literature within bottom-up holography exists.

R. Contino, Y. Nomura, and A. Pomarol, arXiv:hep-ph/0306259 K. Agashe, R. Contino, and A. Pomarol, arXiv:hep-ph/0412089 K. Agashe and R. Contino, arXiv:hep-ph/0510164 K. Agashe, R. Contino, L. Da Rold, and A. Pomarol, arXiv:hep-ph/0605341 R. Contino, L. Da Rold, and A. Pomarol, arXiv:hep-ph/0612048

- We computed the spectrum of bound states along the 1-parameter family.
- Considered all bosonic fluctuations of the regular backgrounds.
- In a region of parameter space, pseudo-Goldstone bosons spanning SO(5)/SO(4) coset are parametrically light.



Figure 7. Detail of the combined mass spectrum $M^2 = -q^2$ of all the bosons, as a function of the parameter ϕ_I , normalised to the lightest tensor mode, and restricted to the low-mass region. In red we depict the spin-2 particles, in black the spin-1, in blue the spin-0. The markers are chosen to match the representations under SO(4), while the legend refers back to the notation in Table 1. Numerical calculations use $\rho_1 = 10^{-10}$ and $\rho_2 = 10$.

Figure 7. Detail of the combined mass spectrum $M^2 = -q^2$ of all the bosons, as a function of the parameter ϕ_I , normalised to the lightest tensor mode, and restricted to the low-mass region. In red we depict the spin-2 particles, in black the spin-1, in blue the spin-0. The markers are chosen to match the representations under SO(4), while the legend refers back to the notation in Table 1. Numerical calculations use $\rho_1 = 10^{-10}$ and $\rho_2 = 10$.

Goldstone/Higgs from the lattice—Sp(2N) theories

Statement of the Problem—cartoon

Figure 1. The mass spectrum of SM particles (continuous lines), compared to the range of current exclusions from LHC direct searches for exotics (shaded region) [2] and to the spectrum of a generic, hypothetic strongly-coupled new physics theory (dashed lines) with new states heavy enough to avoid current direct bounds. Fermions are rendered in blue, vectors in red and scalars in black.

- Consider strong-coupling dynamics of a new gauge theory.
- Dynamics leads to breaking of global symmetry G to subgroup H.
- Spectrum: massless pions in G/H coset, towers of massive states.
- Low-energy Effective Theory: describe pions by means of weaklycoupled scalar fields.
- Step 1: interpret four of the scalar/pion fields as the Higgs fields of the Standard Model.
- Step 2: embed the SM gauge symmetries into G, so that the Higgs/pions have correct quantum numbers.
- Step 3: top compositeness? Look for exotic fermion bound states.

D. B. Kaplan and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. 136B, 183 (1984).

H. Georgi and D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. 145B, 216 (1984).

M. J. Dugan, H. Georgi and D. B. Kaplan, Nucl. Phys. B 254, 299 (1985).

K.Agashe, R. Contino and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B 719, 165 (2005) [hep-ph/0412089].

R. Contino, L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Phys. Rev. D 75, 055014 (2007) [hep-ph/0612048].

R. Barbieri, B. Bellazzini, V. S. Rychkov and A. Varagnolo, Phys. Rev. D 76, 115008 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0432 [hep-ph]].

P. Lodone, JHEP 0812, 029 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1472 [hep-ph]].

D. Marzocca, M. Serone and J. Shu, JHEP 1208, 013 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0770 [hep-ph]].

G. Ferretti and D. Karateev, JHEP 1403, 077 (2014) [arXiv:1312.5330 [hep-ph]].

G. Cacciapaglia and F. Sannino, JHEP 1404, 111 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0233 [hep-ph]].

A.Arbey, G. Cacciapaglia, H. Cai, A. Deandrea, S. Le Corre and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 1, 015028 (2017) [arXiv:1502.04718 [hep-ph]].

L.Vecchi, JHEP 1702, 094 (2017) [arXiv:1506.00623 [hep-ph]].

G. Panico and A. Wulzer, Lect. Notes Phys. 913, [arXiv:1506.01961 [hep-ph]].

G. Ferretti, JHEP 1606, 107 (2016) [arXiv:1604.06467 [hep-ph]].

A. Agugliaro, O. Antipin, D. Becciolini, S. De Curtis and M. Redi, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 3, 035019 (2017) [arXiv:1609.07122 [hep-ph]].

T. Alanne, D. Buarque Franzosi and M.T. Frandsen, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 9, 095012 (2017) [arXiv:1709.10473 [hep-ph]]. F. Feruglio, B. Gavela, K. Kanshin, P.A. N. Machado, S. Rigolin and S. Saa, JHEP 1606, 038 (2016) [arXiv:1603.05668 [hep-ph]]. S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, E. Pontón and R. Rosenfeld, JHEP 1609, 158 (2016) [arXiv:1607.03125 [hep-ph]]. J. Galloway, A. L. Kagan and A. Martin, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 3, 035038 (2017) [arXiv:1609.05883 [hep-ph]]. T.Alanne, D. Buarque Franzosi, M.T. Frandsen, M. L.A. Kristensen, A. Meroni and M. Rosenlyst, JHEP 1801, 051 (2018) [arXiv:1711.10410 [hep-ph]]. C. Csaki, T. Ma and J. Shu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, no. 13, 131803 (2017) [arXiv:1702.00405 [hep-ph]]. M. Chala, G. Durieux, C. Grojean, L. de Lima and O. Matsedonskyi, JHEP 1706, 088 (2017) [arXiv:1703.10624 [hep-ph]]. C. Csáki, T. Ma and J. Shu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, no. 23, 231801 (2018) [arXiv:1709.08636 [hep-ph]]. V.Ayyar, T. Degrand, D. C. Hackett, W. I. Jay, E.T. Neil, Y. Shamir and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 11, 114505 (2018) [arXiv:1801.05809 [hep-ph]]. V.Ayyar, T. DeGrand, D. C. Hackett, W. I. Jay, E.T. Neil, Y. Shamir and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 11, 114505 (2018) [arXiv:1802.09644 [hep-ph]]. Q. Caci, G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea and S. De Curtis, arXiv:1808.10175 [hep-ph]. Agugliaro, G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea and S. De Curtis, arXiv:1808.10175 [hep-ph]. V.Ayyar, T. DeGrand, D. C. Hackett, W. I. Jay, E.T. Neil, Y. Shamir and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 11, 114502 (2018) [arXiv:1808.10175 [hep-ph]. Agugliaro, G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea and S. De Curtis, arXiv:1808.10175 [hep-ph]. Q. Cacia, G. Cacciapaglia, S.Vatani, T. Ma and Y.Wu, arXiv:1812.04005 [hep-ph].

O.Witzel, "Review on Composite Higgs Models," arXiv:1901.08216 [hep-lat].

G. Cacciapaglia, G. Ferretti, T. Flacke and H. Serôdio, Front. Phys. 7, 22 (2019) [arXiv:1902.06890 [hep-ph]]. E. Katz, A. E. Nelson and D. G. E. Walker, [HEP 0508, 074 (2005) [hep-ph/0504252]. B. Gripaios, A. Pomarol, F. Riva and J. Serra, JHEP 0904, 070 (2009) [arXiv:0902.1483 [hep-ph]]. J. Barnard, T. Gherghetta and T. S. Ray, JHEP 1402, 002 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6562 [hep-ph]]. R. Lewis, C. Pica and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 85, 014504 (2012) [arXiv:1109.3513 [hep-ph]]. Hietanen, R. Lewis, C. Pica and F. Sannino, [HEP 1407, 116 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2794 [hep-lat]]. R.Arthur, V. Drach, M. Hansen, A. Hietanen, C. Pica and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 9, 094507 (2016) [arXiv:1602.06559 [hep-lat]]. R.Arthur, V. Drach, A. Hietanen, C. Pica and F. Sannino, arXiv:1607.06654 [hep-lat]. C. Pica, V. Drach, M. Hansen and F. Sannino, EPJ Web Conf. 137, 10005 (2017) [arXiv:1612.09336 [hep-lat]]. W. Detmold, M. McCullough and A. Pochinsky, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 11, 114506 (2014) [arXiv:1406.4116 [hep-lat]]. .W. Lee, B. Lucini and M. Piai, [HEP 1704, 036 (2017) [arXiv:1701.03228 [hep-lat]]. G. Cacciapaglia, H. Cai, A. Deandrea, T. Flacke, S. J. Lee and A. Parolini, [HEP 1511, 201 (2015) [arXiv:1507.02283 [hep-ph]]. N. Bizot, M. Frigerio, M. Knecht and J. L. Kneur, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 7, 075006 (2017) [arXiv:1610.09293 [hep-ph]]. D. K. Hong, JHEP 1802, 102 (2018) [arXiv:1703.05081 [hep-ph]]. M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 9, 095005 (2018) [arXiv:1707.06033 [hep-ph]]. V. Drach, T. Janowski and C. Pica, EPJ Web Conf. 175, 08020 (2018) [arXiv:1710.07218 [hep-lat]].

F. Sannino, P. Stangl, D. M. Straub and A. E. Thomsen, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 11, 115046 (2018) [arXiv:1712.07646 [hep-ph]].

T.Alanne, N. Bizot, G. Cacciapaglia and F. Sannino, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 7, 075028 (2018) [arXiv:1801.05444 [hep-ph]].

N. Bizot, G. Cacciapaglia and T. Flacke, JHEP 1806, 065 (2018) [arXiv:1803.00021 [hep-ph]].

D. Buarque Franzosi, G. Cacciapaglia and A. Deandrea, arXiv:1809.09146 [hep-ph].

H. Gertov, A. E. Nelson, A. Perko and D. G. E. Walker, arXiv:1901.10456 [hep-ph].

E. Bennett, D. K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.-J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, M. Piai and D.Vadacchino, JHEP 1803, 185 (2018) [arXiv:1712.04220 [hep-lat]].

E. Bennett, D. K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.-J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, M. Piai and D.Vadacchino, EPJ Web Conf. 175, 08012 (2018) [arXiv:1710.06715 [hep-lat]].

E. Bennett, D. K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.-J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, M. Piai and D.Vadacchino, EPJ Web Conf. 175, 08011 (2018) [arXiv:1710.06941 [hep-lat]].

E. Bennett, D. K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.-J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, M. Piai and D.Vadacchino, EPJ Web Conf. 175, 08013 (2018) [arXiv:1710.07043 [hep-lat]].

J.W. Lee, Bennett, D. K. Hong, C. J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, M. Piai and D.Vadacchino, PoS LATTICE 2018, 192 (2018) [arXiv:1811.00276 [hep-lat]].

T. DeGrand, M. Golterman, E.T. Neil and Y. Shamir, Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 2, 025020 (2016) [arXiv:1605.07738 [hep-ph]].

V.Ayyar, T. DeGrand, M. Golterman, D. C. Hackett, W. I. Jay, E. T. Neil, Y. Shamir and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 7, 074505 (2018) [arXiv:1710.00806 [heplat]].

J.~Erdmenger, N.~Evans, W.~Porod and K.~S.~Rigatos, [arXiv:2009.10737]

J.~Erdmenger, N.~Evans, W.~Porod and K.~S.~Rigatos, [arXiv:2010.10279].

T. Appelquist, J.Ingoldby and MP, arXiv:2021.09698

II. UNDERLYING MODELS FOR A COMPOSITE HIGGS WITH TOP PARTIAL

COMPOSITENESS

| | Coset | HC | ψ | χ | $-q_{\chi}/q_{\psi}$ | Baryon | Name | Lattice |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|----------------------|------------------|------|--------------|
| | SO(7) | 5 v F | 6 × Sp | 5/6 | | M1 | | |
| SU | $SU(5) \cup SU(6)$ | SO(9) | $0 \times \mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{x}} \times 0$ | 5/12 | ΨҲҲ | M2 | |
| $\overline{\mathrm{SO}(5)} \times \overline{\mathrm{SO}(6)}$ | SO(7) | E v Cn | бvГ | 5/6 | alaalaa | M3 | | |
| | | SO(9) | $9 \times 2b$ | $0 \times \Gamma$ | 5/3 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M4 | |
| $\frac{\mathrm{SU}}{\mathrm{SC}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{U}(5)}{\mathrm{O}(5)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(6)}{\mathrm{Sp}(6)}$ | $\operatorname{Sp}(4)$ | $5 \times \mathbf{A}_2$ | $6 	imes \mathbf{F}$ | 5/3 | $\psi \chi \chi$ | M5 | \checkmark |
| SU | (5) SU(3) ² | SU(4) | $5 \times \mathbf{A}_{2}$ | $3 \times (\mathbf{F} \ \overline{\mathbf{F}})$ | 5/3 | | M6 | |
| $\left \frac{\mathrm{SC}}{\mathrm{SO}} \right $ | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{SU}(3)}}{\overline{\mathrm{SU}(3)}} \times \frac{\overline{\mathrm{SU}(3)}}{\overline{\mathrm{SU}(3)}}$ | SO(1) SO(10) | $5 \times \mathbf{F}$ | $3 \times (\mathbf{Sp}, \overline{\mathbf{Sp}})$ | 5/12 | $\psi \chi \chi$ | M7 | V |
| CT | I(A) SII(6) | Sp(4) | $4 \times \mathbf{F}$ | 6 × A - | 1/3 | | M8 | |
| $\frac{30}{\text{Sr}}$ | $\frac{SO(4)}{SO(4)} \times \frac{SO(0)}{SO(6)}$ | SO(11) | $4 \times \mathbf{I}$ | $6 \times \mathbf{F}$ | 8/3 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M9 | V |
| | | 50(11) | 4 × SP | 0 × 1 | 0/0 | | 1115 | |
| SU | $(4)^2$ SU(6) | SO(10) | $4 \times (\mathbf{Sp}, \overline{\mathbf{Sp}})$ | $6 \times \mathbf{F}$ | 8/3 | | M10 | |
| $\overline{\mathrm{SU}(4)} \times \overline{\mathrm{SO}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{J}(4)} \times \overline{\mathrm{SO}(6)}$ | SU(4) 4 | $4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$ | $6 \times \mathbf{A}_2$ | 2/3 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M11 | \checkmark |
| $\frac{\mathrm{SU}}{\mathrm{SU}}$ | $\frac{(4)^2}{V(4)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)^2}{\mathrm{SU}(3)}$ | SU(5) | $4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$ | $3 \times (\mathbf{A}_2, \overline{\mathbf{A}_2})$ | 4/9 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M12 | |

G. Cacciapaglia, G. Ferretti, T. Flacke, H. Serodio arXiv:1902.06890

G. Ferretti and D. Karateev. arXiv1312.5330

and references therein

TABLE I. Model details. The first column shows the EW and QCD colour cosets, respectively, followed by the representations under the confining hypercolour (HC) gauge group of the EW sector fermions ψ and the QCD coloured ones χ . The $-q_{\chi}/q_{\psi}$ column indicates the ratio of charges of the fermions under the non-anomalous U(1) combination, while "Baryon" indicate the typical top partner structure. The column "Name" contains the model nomenclature from Ref. [27], while the last column marks the models that are currently being considered on the lattice. Note that **Sp** indicates the spinorial representation of SO(N), while **F** and **A**₂ stand for the fundamental and two-index anti-symmetric representations.

II. UNDERLYING MODELS FOR A COMPOSITE HIGGS WITH TOP PARTIAL

COMPOSITENESS

| | Coset | HC | ψ | χ | $-q_{\chi}/q_{\psi}$ | Baryon | Name | Lattice |
|---|--|---------------------|--|---|----------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| | SU(5) $SU(6)$ | SO(7) SO(9) | $5 	imes \mathbf{F}$ | $6 	imes \mathbf{Sp}$ | 5/6 5/12 | $\psi \chi \chi$ | M1 M2 | |
| | $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{SO}(5)}}{\mathrm{SO}(5)} \times \frac{\overline{\mathrm{SO}(6)}}{\mathrm{SO}(6)}$ | SO(7) SO(9) | $5 \times \mathbf{Sp}$ | $6 \times F$ | 5/6 5/3 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M3 M4 | |
| | $\boxed{\frac{\mathrm{SU}(5)}{\mathrm{SO}(5)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(6)}{\mathrm{Sp}(6)}}$ | Sp(4) | $5 \times \mathbf{A}_2$ | $6 	imes \mathbf{F}$ | 5/3 | $\psi \chi \chi$ | M5 | \checkmark |
| | $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(5)}{\mathrm{SO}(5)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)^2}{\mathrm{SU}(3)}$ | SU(4) $ SO(10)$ | $5 	imes \mathbf{A}_2$ $5 	imes \mathbf{F}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3 \times ({\bf F}, \overline{{\bf F}}) \\ 3 \times ({\bf Sp}, \overline{{\bf Sp}}) \end{array}$ | 5/3 5/12 | $\psi \chi \chi$ | M6 M7 | \checkmark |
| C | $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(4)}{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(6)}{\mathrm{SO}(6)}$ | Sp(4) $ SO(11)$ | $4 \times \mathbf{F}$ $4 \times \mathbf{Sp}$ | $6 	imes \mathbf{A}_2$ $6 	imes \mathbf{F}$ | 1/3 8/2 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M8 M9 | \checkmark |
| | $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(4)^2}{\mathrm{SU}(4)} \times \frac{\mathrm{SU}(6)}{\mathrm{SO}(6)}$ | SO(10) SU(4) | $4 \times (\mathbf{Sp}, \overline{\mathbf{Sp}}) \\ 4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$ | $\begin{array}{l} 6 \times \mathbf{F} \\ 6 \times \mathbf{A}_2 \end{array}$ | $\frac{8/3}{2/3}$ | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M10 M11 | \checkmark |
| | $\boxed{\frac{\mathrm{SU}(4)^2}{\mathrm{SU}(4)}\times\frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)^2}{\mathrm{SU}(3)}}$ | SU(5) | $4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$ | $3 \times (\mathbf{A}_2, \overline{\mathbf{A}_2})$ | 4/9 | $\psi\psi\chi$ | M12 | |

G. Cacciapaglia, G. Ferretti, T. Flacke, H. Serodio arXiv:1902.06890 and references therein

.....

TABLE I. Model details. The first column shows the EW and QCD colour cosets, respectively, followed by the representations under the confining hypercolour (HC) gauge group of the EW sector fermions ψ and the QCD coloured ones χ . The $-q_{\chi}/q_{\psi}$ column indicates the ratio of charges of the fermions under the non-anomalous U(1) combination, while "Baryon" indicate the typical top partner structure. The column "Name" contains the model nomenclature from Ref. [27], while the last column marks the models that are currently being considered on the lattice. Note that **Sp** indicates the spinorial representation of SO(N), while **F** and **A**₂ stand for the fundamental and two-index anti-symmetric representations.

Sp(2N) on the lattice

| Fields | Sp(4) | SU(4) | SU(6) |
|---------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|
| V_{μ} | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| q | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| ψ | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| Σ_6 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| M_6 | 1 | $\bar{6} \sim 6$ | 1 |
| Σ_{21} | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| M_{21} | 1 | 1 | $\overline{21}$ |

Table 1. Field content of the fundamental theory (V_{μ}, q, ψ) and of the low-energy EFT describing the PNGB's ($\Sigma_{6,21}$, $M_{6,21}$). Sp(4) is the gauge group, while SU(4) and SU(6) are the global symmetries. The elementary fields V_{μ} are gauge bosons, while q and ψ are 2-component spinors. Σ_6 and Σ_{21} are composite scalar fields. They capture the long-distance dynamics of operators that are bi-linear in q and ψ , the VEV's of which are responsible for the breaking $SU(4) \rightarrow Sp(4)$ and $SU(6) \rightarrow SO(6)$, respectively. The mass matrices M_6 and M_{21} are treated as a scalar spurions, formally transforming as $\sim \bar{6} \sim 6$ of SU(4), and $\sim \bar{21}$ of SU(6), respectively.

J. Barnard, T. Gherghetta, and T. S. Ray, arXiv:1311.6562

G. Ferretti and D. Karateev. arXiv1312.5330

- Sp(4) lattice gauge theory on the lattice.
- Wilson fermions: 2 Dirac fundamental and 3 Dirac 2-index Antisymmetric
- SU(4)/Sp(4) coset leads to Higgs Composite Model, SU(6)/SO(6) plays role in top compositeness.

Sp(2N) theory programme

- Higgs Compositeness: Sp(2N) origin of SU(4)/Sp(4) and SU(6)/SO(6) cosets.
- Lattice formulation for general Sp(2N), and general matter content.
- BSM spectroscopy: glueballs, mesons, (chimera) baryons, excited states.
- Effective Field Theory study of low energy data.
- Applications: Composite Higgs, top partial compositeness, dark matter, gravitational waves.
- Theory: new arena to test field theory ideas (large-N, topological susceptibility, effective string, gauge-gravity correspondences), and analysis techniques (e.g., spectral densities)

Sp(2N) theory progress

- Glueballs: uses variational approach and complete basis of states, continuum limit, extension from Sp(4) to Sp(2N), large-N extrapolation, comparison with other approaches...
- Flavored mesons, quenched: extensive studies of Sp(2N) with quenched matter (fundamental, antisymmetric, symmetric), including extrapolations to massless, continuum and large-N limits
- Flavored mesons, dynamical: results available for Sp(4) with fundamental fermions, in mediumto-large mass range, preliminary results for antisymmetric fermions, and for multiple representation fermions, work on spectral densities ongoing.
- Singlet mesons: study of singlet mesons using subtraction/smearing for SU(2) and Sp(4) available, multiple representations in progress
- Chimera baryons: proof of concept published (few lattice ensembles), systematic study and extrapolations for quenched Sp(4) completed, multiple representations in progress
- Topology: systematic study in Sp(2N), and extrapolations to large-N, for topology and topological susceptibility
- Phase transitions: Sp(4) at finite T, with LLR proof of concept complete, large volume in progress (Fugaku)

Sp(2N) theory scorecard

- Glueballs: ground states ok, compares well with SU(N) literature, excited states large error, topological freezing in large-N
- Flavored mesons, quenched: complete and extensive, some heavy states and excitations large error, massless extrapolation not rigorous, no anomalous dimensions (of course...)
- Flavored mesons, dynamical: fundamental fermions high statistics, preliminary results on multiple representations robust. Wilson fermions: no result below threshold
- Singlet mesons: (subtraction and) smearing+GEVP work, continuum massless extrapolation non trivial, especially for positive parity
- Chimera baryons: interesting results for Sp(4), more to come on dynamical multiple representations, low mass, and anomalous dimensions challenging
- Topology: topological susceptibility at large-N, topological freezing problematic
- Phase transitions: Sp(4) at finite T with LLR works, scalability under investigation

Highlight I: Glueballs

FIG. 1: Numerical and analytical results for the ratio R defined in Eq. (1). Different shaped markers denote the lattice measurements with continuum extrapolations in D = 3 + 1 dimensions for $Sp(N_c)$ and for $SU(N_c)$ [6], as well as in D = 2 + 1 dimensions for $SO(N_c)$ [7] and $SU(N_c)$ [8]. Extrapolations to the $N_c \to \infty$ limit are also included. Differently rendered lines at $R = \sqrt{2}, 1.46, 1.57, 1.61, 1.74$, are the holographic calculations in the GPPZ model [15], the circle reduction of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [21, 22], the holographic model $\mathbb{B}_8^{\text{conf}}$ in Ref. [37], the Witten model [21, 32], and the circle reduction of Romans supergravity [32, 34], respectively. With $R = \sqrt{2}, 1.64$ we report the field theoretical results from Refs. [19] and [43], for YM theories in D = 3+1 and D = 2+1 dimensions, respectively. More details can be found in the main text.

- Detailed study of continuum extrapolation of glueball spectra is Sp(2N). N=1,2,3,4
- All spins and parity
- Extrapolation to large N
- Evidence of universality in ratio of two lightest glueballs:

$$R \equiv \frac{m_{2^{++}}}{m_{0^{++}}}$$

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1712.04220 E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2004.11063 E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2010.15781

Highlight 2: Dynamical Fermions

 Sp(4) spectra computed both quenched and with 2 dynamical fundamental fermions

- Quenched approximation works well.
- But with caveats: large mass range, dependence of channel

Figure 18: Meson masses squared from quenched (blue) and dynamical (red) calculations, in the continuum limit obtained by considering all the ensembles with $\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 \lesssim 0.6$, as in Section 4.3. The coloured bands illustrate the fit of the measurements used in the massless extrapolations, with the width of the bands representing the statistical error in the fit.

Highlight 3: Multiple Representations

- Mixed representation calculations with dynamical fermions, one ensemble
- Quenched (flavored) spectrum for both fundamental, and well as antisymmetric matter
- Glueballs in all channels
 - Chimera baryons
- Units: Wilson flow scale w

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1712.04220 E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, J. Rantatharju and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1912.06505 E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2004.11063 E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2010.15781

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2202.05516

Highlight 4: Sp(4) quenched

- Quenched calculations, continuum and massless extrapolations
- Flavored spectrum for both fundamental, and well as antisymmetric matter
- Glueballs in all channels
- Chimera baryons
- Units: Wilson flow scale w or pion decay constant

E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1712.04220 E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, M. Mesiti, MP, J. Rantatharju and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:1912.06505

E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2004.11063
E. Bennett, J. Holligan, D.K. Hong, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2010.15781
E. Bennett, D.K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.W. Lee, C.J.D. Lin, B.Lucini, MP, and D. Vadacchino, arXiv:2311.14663

Highlight 5: Sp(4) at finite T

Figure 2: The reconstructed plaquette distribution at the critical point (left) and the free-energy (right) for Sp(4) pure gauge theory on a lattice of size 4×20^3 , found using the LLR method with 48 intervals between plaquette values of $(u_p)_1 = 0.58$ and $(u_p)_{48} = 0.565$, with $\Delta_E a^4/6\tilde{V} = 0.0006$. The critical coupling in the left plot was found by tuning β until the two peaks of the distribution have equal height. The plaquette values corresponding to the peaks of the distribution are shown by the green dashed line. The height of the maxima, P_{max} , and minima, P_{min} , are shown by the orange dashed line. On the right panel the red, blue and black lines show the unstable, metastable and stable regions, respectively. The points in the inset match those of the main plot, showing the corresponding values of a_n and u_p . The critical coupling is shown by the dashed line on the riset show the plaquette values when $a_n = 1/t_c$.

- Yang-Mills Sp(4)
- LLR algorithm
- Phase transition confinement/ deconfinement
- One choice of lattice, varying Nt and Ns in progress

Outlook

Outlook

- Compositeness plays role in many new physics applications (CHM, TPC, SIMP, GW, …)
- Many possible proposals: illustrative examples in this talk, many more exist.
- Calculability always the main challenge: state of the art non-perturbative calculations needed.
- Complementarity/interplay of lattice studies, effective field theory and gauge-gravity dualities.
- Sp(2N) lattice programme reached a mature stage: spectroscopy, topology, large-N...
- Sp(2N), lattice programme: what next? Light masses, conformal window, anomalous dimensions, off-shell physics... more advanced techniques.

General message

- We are living in a golden age of numerical studies of non-perturbative field theories
 - Effective Field Theory
 - Gauge-gravity dualities
 - Lattice field theory
- Broad range of applications
 - Composite Higgs Models (Higgs as PNGB or Dilaton)
 - Top Partial Compositeness
 - Strongly Interacting Dark Matter
 - Gravitational Waves