

Quadrupole moment of ²²³Ra from molecular spectroscopy of ²²³RaF

4 of September 2024

PhD student: Carlos M. Fajardo-Zambrano





Production of radioactive nuclei at ISOLDE and RaF

Produced isotopes at ISOLDE



- Molecules will have different chemical properties than its atomic counterpart → different release and ionization properties.
- Molecules could be used to study poorly produced elements (Ac from AcF 2022)
- Mainly studied to provide high-purity beams in regions with high isobaric contamination.
- Molecules can be more sensitive to new observables (RaF).

³ [1] Au, M. (2023). Production of actinide atomic and molecular ion beams at CERN-ISOLDE (No. CERN-THESIS-2023-228).

Why proof the existence of the electric dipole moment (EDM)?



[3] Adapted from: Sauer, B. E., New Journal of Physics 19.7 (2017): 071001.[4] Ema Yohei, et al. Physical review letters 129.23 (2022): 231801.

4

Fluorine molecules – laser coolable

Best EDM measurements use laser cooling on **stable** fluorine molecules.

2010: SrFNature 4672011: YbFNature 473

2017: SrFNature Physics 132018: YbFPRL 120, 123201

2023: HfF⁺ Science, 381(6653).

- Octupole deformed nuclei further enhance the sensitivity to EDM ! (N. Auerbach, et al., Phys. Rev. 4316 (1996)).
- Some Ra isotopes are octupole deformed ! (Gaffney et al., Nature 497 (2013)).

RaF: ideal molecule, but RADIOACTIVE !

- Heavy nuclei
- Octupole deform nuclei
- Polar molecule

. . .



Laser spectroscopy and CRIS

Collinear Resonance Ionization Spectroscopy (CRIS)

Laser beams are overlapped with an accelerated ion beam, reducing doppler broadening.

Resonance ionization spectroscopy is very efficient and selective: background reduction by deflecting the resonant ions from the neutrals \rightarrow beam intensities of ~10-100 ions per second.



High resolution laser spectroscopy observables



[6] Vormawah, L. J., et al (2018). Isotope shifts from collinear laser spectroscopy of doubly charged yttrium isotopes. Physical Review A, 97(4), 042504.



Laser spectroscopy on molecules

The spectrum of a rovibrational transition is divided in three different branches:



Each rotational line is further split due to the electron-nuclear interaction (H_{hfs}) .





High-resolution in atoms and molecules



 $H_{hfs,R} = H_m + H_Q = A_{\parallel}(I_z, J_z) + A_{\perp}(I_{(x,y)}, J_{(x,y)}) + eq_0Q(I_z, J_z) - eq_2Q(I_{(x,y)}, J_{(x,y)})$



Each rotational transition is one HFS spectrum of ²²³RaF like the one above

High resolution ²²³RaF (I = 3/2) spectrum (R-branch)



- The HFS of ²²³RaF was initially fitted using SATLAS2 to include the background on the spectra.
- The obtained centroids were used for the final fit with PGOPHER.

Fitting of the ²²³RaF spectra (PGOPHER).



Extracting the nuclear moments

The ab initio magnetic dipole (A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp}) and electric quadrupole coupling (eqQ) constants are

defined as,

$$A_{\parallel}(A) = \frac{\mu}{I} f(r_{iA}, \Sigma_z)$$

$$A_{\perp}(A) = \frac{\mu}{I} f(r_{iA}, \Sigma_{x/y})$$

$$Magnetic field$$

Extract the magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moment using the known moments of an isotope (²²⁵RaF)

 $\mu_2(A) = \frac{I_2 A_{2(\parallel,\perp)}}{I_1 A_{1(\parallel,\perp)}} \mu_1(A)$

Benchmark the theoretical predicted field, e.g., electric field gradient (EFG)

 $q(A) = \frac{eqQ(223RaF)}{Q(^{223}Ra)0.2349647}$

 $\mu(^{223}RaF) = 0.27095(85) \ \mu_n \qquad \qquad q(^{223}RaF)_{exp} = 5.02(2) \ a.u. \\ \mu(^{223}Ra) = 0.2703(6) \ \mu_n \qquad \qquad q(^{223}RaF)_{theo} = 5.02(2) \ a.u. \\ \hline Only \ statistical \ error \ bars$

[9] Wilkins, S., et al. (2023). arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04121.
[10] Lynch, K. M., et al. (2018). Physical Review C, 97(2), 024309.
[11] Petrov, A. N., & Skripnikov, L. V. (2020). Physical Review A, 102(6), 062801.

Reference moments from molecules



The measurement of nuclear moments using molecules is not new.

Elements highlighted have their current reference moments from molecular measurements.

Discussion

- Given its different chemical properties, molecules can be used for the study of isotopes that are poorly produce or are in a region with high isobaric contamination.
- Molecules are the most sensitive system to the presence of an eEDM.
- The magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments of ²²³RaF has been successfully extracted and are in great agreement with their atomic counterpart (work in progress).
- Molecular spectroscopy is a promising tool to study unknown nuclear moments for nuclear and beyond the standard model physics.

References

- Au, M. (2023). Production of actinide atomic and molecular ion beams at CERN-ISOLDE. PhD thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universitat, Germany.
- Vormawah, L. J., Vilén, M., Beerwerth, R., Campbell, P., Cheal, B., Dicker, A., ... & Voss, A. (2018). Isotope shifts from collinear laser spectroscopy of doubly charged yttrium isotopes. Physical Review A, 97(4), 042504.
- Atkins, P. W., De Paula, J., & Keeler, J. (2023). Atkins' physical chemistry. Oxford university press.
- Wilkins, S. G., Udrescu, S. M., Athanasakis-Kaklamanakis, M., Ruiz, R. F., Au, M., Belošević, I., ... & Zülch, C. (2023). Observation of the distribution of nuclear magnetization in a molecule. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04121.
- Lynch, K. M., Wilkins, S. G., Billowes, J., Binnersley, C. L., Bissell, M. L., Chrysalidis, K., ... & Yang, X. F. (2018). Laser-spectroscopy studies of the nuclear structure of neutron-rich radium. Physical Review C, 97(2), 024309.
- Petrov, A. N., & Skripnikov, L. V. (2020). Energy levels of radium monofluoride RaF in external electric and magnetic fields to search for P-and T, P-violation effects. Physical Review A, 102(6), 062801.
- Stone, N. J. (2016). Table of nuclear electric quadrupole moments. Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables, 111, 1-28.
- Stone, N. J. (2019). Table of recommended nuclear magnetic dipole moments (No. INDC (NDS)--0794). International Atomic Energy Agency.
- Köster, U., Arndt, O., Bouquerel, E., Fedoseyev, V. N., Frånberg, H., Joinet, A., ... & Targisol Collaboration. (2008). Progress in ISOL target–ion source systems. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 266(19-20), 4229-4239.
- Steimle, T. C., Ma, T., & Linton, C. (2007). The hyperfine interaction in the AΠ1/22 and XΣ+ 2 states of ytterbium monofluoride. The Journal of chemical physics, 127(23).

Thanks for your attention

Backup slides

Collinear geometry

- Ion beam is accelerated to 30-60 keV, reducing the velocity spread.
- Use of a gas-filled radiofrequency cooler-buncher to produce short (µs) bunches.
- Overlapped (anti-)collinearly with two or more lasers.



$$\nu - \nu_0 = \nu_0 \; \frac{\Delta E}{c \sqrt{2eV_{acc}m}}$$

Reduction in spectrum broadening from ~GHz to ~MHz!!

Background present on the spectra

The line strength of a molecular dipole transition between HFS states is proportional to,

 $\begin{array}{c} \left\langle {\rm N}'{\rm S}{\rm J}'{\rm I}{\rm F}' \left| {\mu ^{\left(1 \right)}} \right|\,{\rm N}{\rm S}{\rm J}{\rm I}{\rm F}} \right\rangle \\ \propto {\left[\left(2\,\,{\rm J}\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm J}'\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm F}\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm F}'\,+\,1 \right) \right]^{1/2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} {\rm N}' \quad {\rm J}' \quad {\rm S} \\ {\rm J} \quad {\rm N} \quad 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} {\rm J}' \quad {\rm F}' \quad {\rm I} \\ {\rm F} \quad {\rm J} \quad 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \end{array} \right.$

Where the terms in {} is the Wigner 6j symbols. For a transition between...(R-branch) the intensity of a $\Delta F = +1$ is ~18 and ~666 times stronger than a $\Delta F = 0$ and a $\Delta F = -1$ transition, respectively.

If the transition energies the $\Delta F = 0$ are calculated (based on the final fit), the position of the peaks are mainly within the quadruplet observed.

Error bars on the molecular constants of ²²³RaF

250 • Each HFS peak was used ground b 350 to ground_B 400 ground c ground eqQ 300 300 200 create a Gaussian distribution with 250 300 250 centroid and width equal to the 200 150 200 200 150 peak centroid and uncertainty. 150 100 100 100 100 50 • Re-fitting of the spectra with new 50 50 Counts (a.u.) peak centroids taken from the 0 -0.1 0.0 0.1 -5 Ó -<u>2</u>0 Ó 20 -100 Ó 100 5 Gaussians. 400 400 excited B excited d excited T_{Π} excited eqQ 300 300 250 250 • Store the fitted molecular constants 300 300 200 200 and re-fit the spectra. 200 200 150 150 100 100 retrieved distribution from 100 100 50 50 each constant gives its nominal 10 0.0 0.1 -50 -100 -0.1-5 5 50 0 0 value and uncertainty.

Frequency (MHz)

KU LEUVEN

21

The

•

Electric dipole moment (EDM)

The EDM changes sign with parity and time reversal transformations.



Intensity of a rotational-vibrational transition

This intensity increases with *J*, passing through a maximum and tailing off as *J* becomes even bigger.

- Population distribution given by the Boltzmann distribution.
- Degeneracy of each rotational level $(g_J = 2J + 1)$.

A bigger population distribution is allowed with *J*. But the population of a state decreases exponentially as its energy increases.

$$N_J = g_J e^{-E_J/kT}$$



HFS precision limits with laser spectroscopy

Resolution:

- Laser bandwidth
- Lifetime (atomic and nuclear)
- Ion beam properties
- Sensitivity to nuclear properties
- Instrumentation for measurements
 - Wavelength meter
 - Voltage/laser scanning

Statistics

EDM and why RaF has been studied?

The measurement of a permanent electric dipole moment (EDM) implies P,T violation \rightarrow to answer the baryogenesis problem and benchmark beyond the standard model theories.



- Diatomic polar molecules have higher sensitivity to the EDM than atoms (Internal effective electric field). Current best measuring of eEDM is **three order** of magnitudes more sensitive than atoms.
- Heavy octupole deformed nuclei are more sensitive to a nuclear EDM, but they are all radioactive.
- RaF as the ideal case: diatomic polar molecule, with a laser cooling scheme and a heavy octupole deformed nuclei.

The isotope separation on-line (ISOL) method

Use of thick targets allows a large total cross-section. Main reactions: spallation, fragmentation and fission. The produced atoms/molecules are ionized in an ion source. lons of a specific mass (atomic or molecular) are separated from others using a magnetic field.



Molecular HFS Hamiltonian

 $H_{hfs} = H_m + H_Q = a(I,L) + b_F(I,S) + c(I,S) - d(S_+I_+, S_-I_-) + eq_0Q(I,S) - eq_2Q(S_+I_+, S_-I_-)$

- *a*, is the nuclear spin-electron orbit interaction, b_F is the Fermi contact interaction, *c* and *d* are the nuclear spin-electron spin axial and perpendicular dipole interaction
- eq_0Q and eq_2Q are the nuclear quadrupole axial and perpendicular coupling constants.

SPFIT/SPCAT

- The fit does not include all constants. Error on the assignment of transitions.
- All the constants are "close" but not fully reproduce the spectra.
- The "quantum numbers" are arbitrary selected. They might be miss assigned.

	NEW	PARAMETER	(EST.	ERROR)	CHANGE 1	HIS	ITERATION
55	E(0)	23151	8(255)		0		
66	E(0)	26517	3(1000))	0		
77	E(0)	26517	3(1000))	0		
88	E(0)	26517	3(1000))	0		
99	E(0)	26517	3(1000)	PGOPH	ER -0		
100	В	5767.4	9(99)	5762.32	-0.00		
155	В	5725.3	7(98)	5732.67	0.00		
200	-D	-3.87	6(300)E	-03	-0.000E-	03	
255	-D	-4.05	8(300)E	-03	0.000E-	03	
10000000	gamma	181.3	2(271)	175.38	0.02		
10040055	5*p	6157.1	5(300)	6159.23	-0.00		
20000000	b_F	2062.	1(159)	2044	-0.3		
20010055	с	-4	4(69)	52	-0		
20010000	1.5*eQq	-230	5(86)	-2259	-0		
20040055	5*d	-164.	0(150)	128	-0.1		
20010055	1.5*eQq	-121	0(76)·	-1417	0		
AVG =	-0.394633 MH	z, IR AVG	=	0.000	00		
RMS =	24.173334 MH	z, IR RMS	=	0.000	00		
	55 66 77 88 99 100 155 200 255 10000000 10040055 20000000 20010055 20010000 20040055 20010055 20010055 20010055 20010055 20010055	NEW 55 E(0) 66 E(0) 77 E(0) 88 E(0) 99 E(0) 100 B 155 B 200 -D 255 -D 10000000 gamma 100400555*p 20000000 b_F 20010055 c 20010055 c 20010055 c 200100555*d 200100555*d 20010055 1.5*eQq AVG = -0.394633 MH RMS = 24.173334 MH	NEW PARAMETER 55 E(0) 23151 66 E(0) 26517 77 E(0) 26517 88 E(0) 26517 99 E(0) 26517 100 B 5767.4 155 B 5725.3 200 -D -3.87 255 -D -4.05 10000000 gamma 181.3 10040055 5*p 6157.1 20010005 c -4 20010055 c.5*p 6157.1 20010055 c -4 20010055 5*d -164. 20010055 1.5*eQq -230 20440055 5*d -164. 20010055 1.5*eQq -121 AVG = -0.394633 MHz, IR E RMS = 24.173334 MHz, IR	NEW PARAMETER (EST.55 $E(0)$ $231518(255)$ 66 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 77 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 88 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 99 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 100B $5767.49(99)$ 155B $5725.37(98)$ 200-D $-3.876(300)$ 255-D $-4.058(300)$ 10000000gamma $181.32(271)$ 10040055 $5*p$ $6157.15(300)$ 20010055c $-44(69)$ 20010055c $-44(69)$ 20010055 $5*d$ $-164.0(150)$ 20010055 $1.5*eQq$ $-1210(76)$	NEW PARAMETER (EST. ERROR) 55 E(0) 231518(255) 66 E(0) 265173(1000) 77 E(0) 265173(1000) 88 E(0) 265173(1000) 99 E(0) 265173(1000)PGOPH 100 B 5767.49(99)5762.32 155 B 5725.37(98)5732.67 200 -D -3.876(300)E-03 255 -D -4.058(300)E-03 1000000 gamma 181.32(271)175.38 10040055 5*p 6157.15(300)6159.23 20010000 b_F 2062.1(159)2044 20010055 c -44(69)52 20010000 1.5*eQq -2305(86)-2259 20040055 5*d -164.0(150)128 20010055 1.5*eQq -1210(76)-1417 4VG = -0.394633 MHz, IR AVG = 0.000 ERMS = 24.173334 MHz, IR RMS = 0.000	NEW PARAMETER (EST. ERROR) CHANGE T55 $E(0)$ $231518(255)$ 066 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 077 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 088 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 099 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 099 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 0100B $5767.49(99)$ 5762.32 -0.00155B $5725.37(98)$ 5732.67 0.00200-D $-3.876(300)E-03$ -0.000E-255-D $-4.058(300)E-03$ 0.000E-10040055 $5*p$ $6157.15(300)$ 6159.23 -0.0020000000b_F $2062.1(159)$ 2044 -0.320010055c $-44(69)$ 52 -020010055 $5*d$ $-164.0(150)$ 128 -0.120010055 $1.5*eQq$ $-1210(76)-1417$ 020010055 $1.5*eQq$ -0.00000	NEW PARAMETER (EST. ERROR) CHANGE THIS55 $E(0)$ $231518(255)$ 0 66 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 0 77 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 0 88 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 0 99 $E(0)$ $265173(1000)$ 0 100B $5767.49(99)5762.32$ -0.00 155B $5725.37(98)5732.67$ 0.000 200-D $-3.876(300)E-03$ $-0.000E-03$ 255-D $-4.058(300)E-03$ $0.000E-03$ 10000000gamma $181.32(271)$ 175.38 0.02 10040055 $5*p$ $6157.15(300)$ 6159.23 -0.00 20010055c $-44(69)$ 52 -0 20010000 $1.5*eQq$ $-2305(86)-2259$ -0 20010055 $5*d$ $-164.0(150)$ 128 -0.1 20010055 $1.5*eQq$ $-1210(76)-1417$ 0 20010055 0.994633 Hz , IR



Background present on the spectra

The line strength of a molecular dipole transition between HFS states is proportional to,

 $\begin{array}{c} \left\langle {\rm N}'{\rm S}{\rm J}'{\rm I}{\rm F}' \left| {\mu ^{\left(1 \right)}} \right|\,{\rm N}{\rm S}{\rm J}{\rm I}{\rm F}} \right\rangle \\ \propto {\left[\left(2\,\,{\rm J}\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm J}'\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm F}\,+\,1 \right) \left(2\,\,{\rm F}'\,+\,1 \right) \right]^{1/2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} {\rm N}' & {\rm J}' & {\rm S} \\ {\rm J} & {\rm N} & 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} {\rm J}' & {\rm F}' & {\rm I} \\ {\rm F} & {\rm J} & 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ \end{array} \right.$

Where the terms in {} is the Wigner 6j symbols. For a transition between...(R-branch) the intensity of a $\Delta F = +1$ is ~18 and ~666 times stronger than a $\Delta F = 0$ and a $\Delta F = -1$ transition, respectively.

If the transition energies the $\Delta F = 0$ are calculated (based on the final fit), the position of the peaks are mainly within the quadruplet observed.

AC stark effect and power broadening





- Measured changing the wavelength of the second step (J-selectivity).
- Allows the access of different rotational lines in the Q-branch (e.g., low and high J)

Final level scheme of RaF – all levels below 30.000 cm⁻¹



• All predicted electronic levels below 30,000 cm⁻¹ have been measured and analyzed.

Nuclear moments from molecules

The measurement of nuclear moments using molecules is not new, and several isotopes have their current reference moments from molecular measurements:

Magnetic dipole moments

- 35S
- 79Se
- 21Ne

Electric quadrupole moments

- 2H, HD
- 33S, CS and SiS
- 37Cl, BrCl
- 39-41K, KF and KCI, KBr
- 75As, AsP
- 79Br, HBr
- 115In?, InF and InI
- 121Sb, SbX, X=N,P,F,CI
- 131Xe, Six molecules
- 139La, LaX, X=F,I