**2023 HEP workshop | Jiyoon Sun**





# **cWB+GMM 2023 AXIS Lab report**

## **Gravitational Wave What is Gravitational Wave and how is it measured?**

• Gravitational waves are the perturbations in space-time metric triggered by the movement of massive objects  $s(t) = \xi(\theta, \phi)h(t)$ 

• Strain is measured by gigantic interferometers

 $s(t) = \xi(\theta, \phi)h(t) + n(t)$ 





### **Burst Unmodelled and Transient GW signal**





















• cWB identifies the coherent excess power in multiple detectors

### **coherent WaveBurst Algorithm for detecting generic transient signal**



 $Scalogram (sqrt(EOO + E90)/2))$ 



 $Scalogram(Sqrt(EO0+E90)/2))$ 

### **coherent WaveBurst Attributes to capture the properties of the identified triggers**

Likelihood 584 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 134

**Likelihood** = Coherent Energy + Incoherent Energy >> GW signal component of the total E

Null 21 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 130





### **coherent WaveBurst Attributes to capture the properties of the identified triggers**

Likelihood 584 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 134



mass0 mass1 spin0 spin1 spin2 spin3 spin4 spin5 time0 lag0 lag1 lag2 slag0 slag<del>1 slag2 rh</del>o0 rho1 gnet anet netcc0 netcc1 netcc2 netcc3 neted0 neted1 neted2 neted3 neted4 likelihood <del>norm penalty</del> ECOR factor Qveto0 Qveto1 frequency0 frequency1 dtL dtH reconstr<del>ucted\_snr</del> null0 null1 strain0 strain1 hrss0 hrss1 noise0 noise1 duration0 duration1 volume0 volume1 size0 size1 ecor bandwidth0 bandwidth1 snr0 snr1 xSNR0 xSNR1 sSNR0 sSNR1 iSNR0 iSNR1 ioSNR0 ioSNR1 oSNR0 oSNR1 Lveto0 Lveto1 Lveto2 chirp0 chirp1 chirp2 chirp3 chirp4 chirp5 267.5 268 267 267.5 267 268

Time (sec): GPS OFFSET =  $1242459590.000$ 

• Likelihood = Coherent Energy + Incoherent Energy >> GW signal component of the total E

Null 21 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 130

Time (sec): GPS OFFSET =  $1242459590.000$ 

- Null
- >> Background noise component of the total E



• Background analysis gives glitches that are identified as event triggers



Red dots vetoed CAT3 or hveto



### **coherent WaveBurst Background analysis using unphysical Time shift**



• GMM uses a superposition of Gaussian functions to characterize the parameter space covered by a set of data points.

$$
p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} w_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})
$$

- One GMM to model the attribute space by simulated signals
- Another GMM to model the attribute space by time-shifted background triggers



Sine-Gaussian

 $Time(s)$ 

0.6

04

mplitude

White-noise burst

Time  $(s)$ 

0.6





### **Gaussian Mixture Modelling Enhance the detection efficiency using machine learning**

• Use trained GMMs to calculate the loglikelihood

$$
\ln \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln p(\vec{x}_i | \Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{K} w_j N(\vec{x}_i | \mu_j, \Sigma_j) \right\}
$$

$$
\Theta = \phi_j, \mu_j, \Sigma_j, \{j = 1...K\}
$$

• With 2 models representing signal and glitch, the GMM detection statistic for each trigger is calculated

$$
W=\ln(\hat{\mathcal{L}})|_{\hat{K}}
$$

$$
T = W_{\rm s} - W_{\rm g}
$$







# **Gaussian Mixture Modelling Signal-Glitch classification**

# **Gaussian Mixture Modelling Result : cWB vs cWB+GMM and updates …**



• GMM enhances the detection performance of standard cWB

False alarm rate  $(yr^{-1})$ 





# **cWB+GMM Summary**

- The coherent WaveBurst covers a broad range of parameter space without requiring model waveforms
- GMM method enhances the detection efficiency of cWB algorithm
- Updates for O4 run are being prepared
	- New method to determine the optimal number of Gaussians
	- Test on parallelizing the training process to use more training data which was not possible due to high computational cost



