CWB+GMM 2023 AXIS Lab report

2023 HEP workshop | Jiyoon Sun





Gravitational Wave What is Gravitational Wave and how is it measured?

• Gravitational waves are the perturbations in space-time metric triggered by the movement of massive objects $s(t) = \xi(\theta, \phi)h(t)$

 Strain is measured by gigantic interferometers

 $s(t) = \xi(\theta, \phi)h(t) + n(t)$





Burst **Unmodelled and Transient GW signal**







Wave













coherent WaveBurst Algorithm for detecting generic transient signal

cWB identifies the coherent excess power in multiple detectors



Scalogram (sqrt((E00+E90)/2))



Scalogram (sqrt((E00+E90)/2))

coherent WaveBurst Attributes to capture the properties of the identified triggers

Likelihood 584 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 134



Likelihood = Coherent Energy + Incoherent Energy >> GW signal component of the total E

Null 21 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 130



coherent WaveBurst Attributes to capture the properties of the identified triggers

Likelihood 584 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 134





Time (sec) : GPS OFFSET = 1242459590.000

ikelihood = Coherent Energy + Incoherent Energy >> GW signal component of the total E

Null 21 - dt(ms) [7.8125:250] - df(hz) [2:64] - npix 130

267.5 268 267

Time (sec) : GPS OFFSET = 1242459590.000

Null

>> Background noise component of the total E



coherent WaveBurst **Background analysis using unphysical Time shift**



Background analysis gives glitches that are identified as event triggers



Red dots vetoed CAT3 or hveto



Gaussian Mixture Modelling Enhance the detection efficiency using machine learning

 GMM uses a superposition of Gaussian functions to characterize the parameter space covered by a set of data points.

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} w_j \, \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)$$

- One GMM to model the attribute space by simulated signals
- Another GMM to model the attribute space by time-shifted background triggers







06/04/19 06/05/19 05/06/19 05/07/19 04/08/19 03/09/19 03/10/19 Red dots vetoed CAT3 or hveto

Gaussian Mixture Modelling Signal-Glitch classification

 Use trained GMMs to calculate the loglikelihood

$$egin{aligned} &\ln\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln p(ec{x}_i|\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^K w_j N(ec{x}_i|\mu_j,\Sigma_j \otimes \Theta) + \Theta (ec{x}_i|\Theta) + \Theta (ec{x}_i|\Theta) + \Omega (ec{x}_i|\Theta) +$$

 With 2 models representing signal and glitch, the GMM detection statistic for each trigger is calculated

$$W = \ln(\hat{\mathcal{L}})|_{\hat{K}}$$

$$T = W_{\rm s} - W_{\rm g}$$







Gaussian Mixture Modelling Result : cWB vs cWB+GMM and updates ...



GMM enhances the detection performance of standard cWB

False alarm rate (yr^{-1})



cWB+GMM Summary

- The coherent WaveBurst covers a broad range of parameter space without requiring model waveforms
- GMM method enhances the detection efficiency of cWB algorithm
- Updates for O4 run are being prepared
 - New method to determine the optimal number of Gaussians
 - Test on parallelizing the training process to use more training data which was not possible due to high computational cost



