



Muon g-2 Theory

Aida X. El-Khadra **University of Illinois**

QCD@LHC2024

7 – 11 October 2024, Freiburg / Germany

Outline

- Introduction
- Muon g-2 Theory Initiative 9
- data-driven HVP
- lattice HVP G
- HLbL
- Summary and Outlook
- Appendix

Lattice 2024 conference Muon g-2 TI workshop @ KEK (9-13 Sep 2024)



QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024



- The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the SM": 1st White Paper published in 2020 (132 authors, 82 institutions) [T. Aoyama et al, arXiv:2006.04822, Phys. Repts. 887 (2020) 1-166.]
- \bigcirc ``Prospects for precise predictions of a_{μ} in the SM": 2022 Snowmass Summer Study, <u>arXiv:2203.15810</u>
- Summary statement on the status of Muon g-2 Theory in the SM: https://muon-gm2-theory.illinois.edu



The magnetic moment of charged leptons

Dirac (leading order): g = 2



Quantum effects (loops):



Anomalous magnetic moment:

a



QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024

Anomalous magnetic moment

$$(e, \mu, \tau): \quad \vec{\mu} = g \frac{e}{2m} \vec{S}$$

$$= (-ie)\,\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}u(p)$$



$$p') \left[\gamma^{\mu} F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m} F_2(q^2) \right] u(p)$$

Note: $F_1(0) = 1$ and $g = 2 + 2 F_2(0)$
$$\equiv \frac{g-2}{2} = F_2(0) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^2) + \ldots = 0.00116...$$



Muon g-2 experiment

- The Fermilab experiment released the measurement result from their run 2&3 data on 10 Aug 2023. [D. Aguillard et al, <u>2308.06230</u>]
- Selease of final measurement result expected in 2025





adapted from <u>J. Mott @ Scientific Seminar, 10</u>





Muon g-2: SM contributions

 $a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}(\text{QED}) + a_{\mu}(\text{EW}) + a_{\mu}(\text{hadronic})$







Muon g-2: SM contributions





$$a_{\mu}(\mathrm{EW}) + a_{\mu}(\mathrm{hadronic})$$

 $116584718.9(1) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.001 ppm

 $153.6(1.0) \times 10^{-11}$

0.01 ppm

 $6845(40) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.34 ppm [0.6%] $92(18) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.15 ppm [20%]





Muon g-2: SM contributions





$$a_{\mu}(\mathrm{EW}) + a_{\mu}(\mathrm{hadronic})$$

 $116584718.9(1) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.001 ppm

 $153.6(1.0) \times 10^{-11}$

0.01 ppm

 $6845(40) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.34 ppm [0.6%] $92(18) \times 10^{-11}$ 0.15 ppm [20%]





Muon g-2: hadronic corrections



* Hadronic contributions are obtained by integrating over all possible virtual photon momenta, integral is weighted towards low q^2 .

Cannot use perturbation theory to reliably compute the hadronic bubbles

 \approx Two-point & four-point functions: $\mathsf{HVP:} \ \langle 0 | T\{j_{\mu}j_{\nu}\} | 0 \rangle \qquad \mathsf{HLbL:} \ \langle 0 | T\{j_{\mu}j_{\nu}j_{\rho}j_{\sigma}\} | 0 \rangle$

Two independent approaches 1. Dispersive, data-driven 2. Lattice QCD







6



Hadronic Corrections

Dispersive, data-driven: HVP: integrate hadronic cross section over CM energy:

Im[m[$m] \sim |m|$ hadrons $|^2 = a_{\mu}^{HVP,LQ}$

Many experiments (over 20+ years) have measured the e^+e^- cross sections for (almost) all channels over the needed energy range with increasing precision. For HLbL: new dispersive approach

Direct calculation using Euclidean Lattice QCD



Approximations: discrete space-time (spacing *a*)

• • •

ab-initio method to quantify QCD effects

- already used for simple hadronic quantities with high precision
- requires large-scale computational resources
- allows for entirely SM theory based evaluations
- A. El-Khadra



$$O = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int dq^2 \omega(q^2) \,\hat{\Pi}(q^2) = \frac{m_\mu^2}{12\pi^3} \int ds \frac{\hat{K}(s)}{s} \,\sigma_{\exp}(s)$$

$$\implies a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP,LO} = 4 \, \alpha^2 \, \int_0^\infty dt \, C(t) \, \tilde{w}(t)$$

finite spatial volume (L), and time extent (T)

Integrals are evaluated numerically using Monte Carlo methods.

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024





Hadronic Corrections

Dispersive, data-driven: HVP: integrate hadronic cross section over CM energy:

Im[m[$m] \sim |m|$ hadrons $|^2 = a_{\mu}^{HVP,LQ}$

Many experiments (over 20+ years) have measured the e^+e^- cross sections for (almost) all channels over the needed energy range with increasing precision. For HLbL: new dispersive approach

Direct calculation using Euclidean Lattice QCD



Approximations: discrete space-time (spacing *a*)

• • •

ab-initio method to quantify QCD effects

- already used for simple hadronic quantities with high precision
- requires large-scale computational resources
- allows for entirely SM theory based evaluations
- A. El-Khadra



$$O = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int dq^2 \omega(q^2) \,\hat{\Pi}(q^2) = \frac{m_\mu^2}{12\pi^3} \int ds \frac{\hat{K}(s)}{s} \sigma_{\exp}(s)$$

$$\implies a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP,LO} = 4 \, \alpha^2 \, \int_0^\infty dt \, C(t) \tilde{w}(t)$$

finite spatial volume (L), and time extent (T)

Integrals are evaluated numerically using Monte Carlo methods.

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024





Muon g-2 Theory Initiative

Steering Committee

- Gilberto Colangelo (Bern)
- Michel Davier (Orsay) co-chair
- Searchight Aida El-Khadra (UIUC & Fermilab) chair
- Martin Hoferichter (Bern)
- Christoph Lehner (Regensburg University) co-chair
- Laurent Lellouch (Marseille)
- State J-PARC Muon g-2/EDM experiment
- Lee Roberts (Boston) Fermilab Muon g-2 experiment
- Thomas Teubner (Liverpool)
- Hartmut Wittig (Mainz)

https://muon-gm2-theory.illinois.edu

- Maximize the impact of the Fermilab and J-PARC experiments quantify and reduce the theoretical uncertainties on the SM prediction
- assess reliability of uncertainty estimates
- summarize the theory status: White Papers
- In organize workshops to bring the different communities together:
 - First plenary workshop near Fermilab: 3-6 June 2017
 - • Virtual Spring 2024 TI workshop hosted by UIUC: 15-17, 23-24 Apr 2024
 - Seventh plenary workshop hosted by KEK/KMI (Japan): • 9-13 Sep 2024
 - •
 - Ninth and tenth plenary workshops: US, UK

Eight plenary workshop: Orsay (France), 8-12 Sep 2025





Near-term timeline



A. El-Khadra



Experiment vs SM theory









Experiment vs SM theory







2023

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024

*A. Keshavarzi @ Lattice 2023

Experiment vs SM theory



adapted from <u>J. Mott @ Scientific Seminar, 10 Aug</u>





≌ 2024

New LQCD results (all using blind analyses): BMW+DMZ 24 [arXiv:2407.10913]: LQCD+R-ratio (hybrid) RBC/UKQCD: <u>Lehner@Lattice 2024</u> Mainz: <u>Kuberski @ KEK 2024</u> FNAL/HPQCD/MILC: exp. fall 2024



S. Kuberski @ KEK 2024





Overview of the experiments









Overview of the experiments







Overview of the experiments





QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024







HVP: data-driven

$\pi\pi, \pi\pi\pi, K\bar{K}\pi, \eta\pi\gamma, 4\pi, \pi\pi\pi\eta, ...$ all ~ consistent with previous results





- **Since 2020:** Solution S and S an

HVP: data-driven

ππ, πππ, KKπ, ηπγ, 4π, πππη, ...all ~ consistent with previous results

Feb 2023: from CMD-3 [F. Ignatov et al, <u>arXiv:2302.08834</u>, PRD 2024]



Since 2020: Solution Since 2020: Solution Since 2020: Solution Since 2020: Solution Since 2020: Solution Soluti Solution Solution Solution Solut



• Discussions are continuing....







Ongoing work on experimental inputs:

- BaBar: ongoing analysis of large data set (not included before) in $\pi\pi$ channel, also $\pi\pi\pi$, other channels
- CMD3: ongoing analyses, comparisons with CMD-2 procedures, new data expected
- KLOE: ongoing analysis of large data set in $\pi\pi$ channel (not included before), other channels
- SND: new results for $\pi\pi$ channel, other channels in progress
- BESIII: new results in 2021 for $\pi\pi$ channel, continued analysis also for $\pi\pi\pi$, other channels
- Belle II: first results for $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ in 2024, ramping up $\pi\pi$ analysis Better ultimate statistics than BaBar or KLOE; similar or better systematics for low-energy cross sections

Ongoing work on theoretical aspects:

- better treatment of structure dependent radiative corrections (NLO) in $\pi\pi$ and $\pi\pi\pi$ channels
- new dispersive treatment [Colangelo at al, arXiv:2207.03495]
- Developing NNLO Monte Carlo generators (STRONG 2020 workshop <u>https://agenda.infn.it/event/28089/</u>)
- including τ decay data: requires nonperturbative evaluation of IB correction [M. Bruno et al, arXiv:1811.00508]



HVP: data-driven

Updates presented at the <u>7th Muon g-2 TI workshop @ KEK</u>

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024





Lattice HVP: Introduction

Calculate a_{μ}^{HVP} in Lattice QCD: $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HVP,LO}} = \sum c$

 Separate into connected for each quark flavor + disconnected contributions (gluon and sea-quark background not shown in diagrams) Note: almost always $m_{\mu} = m_d$







 need to add QED and strong isospin breaking $(\sim m_{\mu} - m_d)$ corrections: +

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}} = a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(ud) + a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(s) + a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(c) + a_{\mu,\text{disc}}^{\text{HVP,LO}} + \delta a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$





$$a_{\mu,f}^{\mathrm{HVP,LO}} + a_{\mu,\mathrm{disc}}^{\mathrm{HVP,LO}}$$



- light-quark connected contribution: $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(ud) \sim 90\% \text{ of total}$
- s,c,b-quark contributions $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(s,c,b) \sim 8\%$, 2%, 0.05% of total

disconnected contribution: $a_{\mu,\text{disc}}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ ~2% of total

 \bigcirc Isospinbreaking (QED + $m_u \neq m_d$) corrections: $\delta a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP,LO} \sim 1\%$ of total

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024



Lattice HVP: challenges



- $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ needed with < 0.5 % precision
- subpercent statistical precision: exponentially growing noise-to-signal in C(t) as $t \to \infty$ affects light-quark contributions
- sizable finite volume effects
- sensitivity to scale setting uncertainty
- control discretization effects
- quark-disconnected diagrams: control noise
- include isospin-breaking effects Separation of $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ into $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(ud)$ and $\delta a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ is scheme dependent.



charm

strange

- light-quark connected contribution: $a_u^{\text{HVP,LO}}(ud) \sim 90\%$ of total
- *s,c,b*-quark contributions *s,c,b*-quark contributions $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}(s,c,b) \sim 8\%$, 2%, 0.05% of total

disconnected contribution: $a_{\mu,\text{disc}}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ ~2% of total

 \bigcirc Isospinbreaking (QED + $m_u \neq m_d$) corrections: $\delta a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP,LO} \sim 1\%$ of total







 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HVP,LO}} =$

Use windows in Euclidean time to consider the different time regions separately [T. Blum et al, arXiv:1801.07224, 2018 PRL]

 $t_0 = 0.4 \, \text{fm}, t_1 = 1.0 \, \text{fm}$ Short Distance (SD) $t: 0 \rightarrow t_0$

Intermediate (W) $t: t_0 \to t_1$ Long Distance (LD) $t: t_1 \to \infty$

Is disentangle systematics/statistics from long distance/FV and discretization effects legintermediate window: easy to compute in lattice QCD; compare to disperse approach

combine:
$$a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{SD} + a_{\mu}^{W} + a_{\mu}^{LD}$$



QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024

Windows in Euclidean time



$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dt \, \tilde{w}(t) \, C(t)$$



Internal cross check: compute each window separately (in continuum, infinite volume limits,...) and



cross section inputs to windows observables







mm hmm u

- new results in 2022-2024 for intermediate and short-distance windows.
- Ind analyses by all lattice groups for results from 2023+









 $\sim 5\sigma$ tension between LQCD and (pre-2023) data-driven evaluations for intermediate window

QCD@LHC 2024, 7-11 Oct 2024

*based on disp. results for IB [Hoferichter et al, arXiv:2208.08993]







Lattice HVP: windows

update: Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC 2024

light-quark connected



► W:

- Consistent w/ all previous determinations
- Leading uncertainty: scale setting $(w_0 \text{ fm})$.
- SD: Good agreement with other groups.

appendix for updates from BMW 2024 for W, SD windows





S. Lahert talk @ KEK workshop: Unblinded results for (all) contributions to a_{μ}^{SD} and a_{μ}^{W} (including correlations).

\subseteq total (u, d, s, c) + disc + IB









update: BMW+DMZ 2024

BMW+DMZ 24 [A. Boccaletti et al, arXiv:2407.10913]

• statistical/systematic errors at long distances, $t \gtrsim 2.5$ fm still large:







Laurent Lellouch @ Lattice @ CERN:

- Partial tail $a_{\mu,28-35}^{\text{LO-HVP}}$ for comparison with lattice dominated by cross section below ρ peak: ١ \sim 70% for \sqrt{s} < 0.63 GeV
- cross section measurements compatible for $\sqrt{s} < 0.5 \text{ GeV}$





 $a_{\mu}(t_1 = 2.8 \text{ fm})$ with data-driven evaluation of long tail, t > 2.8 fm.

hybrid evaluation: combine lattice QCD calculation of one-sided window [Davies at at, arXiv:2207.04765]





update: RBC/UKQCD 2024

Lattice HVP: long-distance window



Unblinded results in BMW20 isospin-symmetric world



- ``BMW20 world'': fixed $w_0 = 0.1716$ fm scale uncertainty not included
- paper in progress



In long-distance window a_{μ}^{LD} and full a_{μ}^{ll} (conn.)

Result for $a_{\mu}^{\rm iso \ lqc}$ with 7.5/1000 precision.

$$a_{\mu}^{
m LD \ iso \ lqc} = 411.4(4.3)_{
m stat.}(2.3)_{
m syst.} imes 10^{-10}, \ a_{\mu}^{
m iso \ lqc} = 666.2(4.3)_{
m stat.}(2.5)_{
m syst.} imes 10^{-10}.$$





IN THE ISOVECTOR CHANNEL: CUTOFF DEPENDENCE



^{LD}: STATUS AND OUTLOOK

Achievements

- High statistical precision at m_{π}^{phys} and excellent control of the m_{π} dependence.
- Large span of lattice spacings to control the continuum extrapolation.
- Compute full isoQCD $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{LD}}$ to 1.3% precision (statistics dominated).

Outlook

- More data at fine lattice spacing and m_{π}^{phys} is being computed.
- Strong scale dependence in the long-distance regime:
 - We observe a strong scheme dependence: due to differences in the scale setting?
 - ► The global status of gradient flow scales is unsatisfactory [FLAG23].







QCD@LHC ZUZ4, /-II UCT ZUZ4







For total HVP and long-distance window: expect unblinded lattice results from FNAL/HPQCD/MILC (fall 2024) and ETM (~2025) consolidated (?) lattice HVP for White Paper 2









update: Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC 2024



A. El-Khadra



M. Lynch @ Lattice 2024 S. Lahert @ KEK 2024

In long-distance window a_{μ}^{LD} and full a_{μ}^{ll} (conn.)

- Inner error w/o scale setting $(w_0 \text{ fm})$ uncertainty
- Scale setting is now dominant error contributor.

We expect further improvements in stat., sys. uncertainties from...

• Generation of correlator data at a lattice spacing of 0.04 fm is in progress.

- Improved scale setting via M_{Ω} .
- Joint fit analysis with multiple vector current discretizations
- Direct finite volume study: $L \sim 5.5 \text{ fm} \rightarrow L \sim 11 \text{ fm}$ (at a = 0.09 fm) to replace EFT-based FV error estimates.
- Calculation of two-pion contributions to vector-current correlation functions at finer lattice spacings.





Lattice HVP: full window

update: ETMC 2024

 $V_l(t)$

l-quark connected [Preliminary]







M. Garofalo @ Lattice 2024 U. Wenger @ KEK 2024

- Data interpolated to L = 5.46 fm: \Rightarrow using GS/MLLGS approach
- Correction to isoQCD point:

 \Rightarrow calculation in progress

- Significant reduction in uncertainty possible:
 - \Rightarrow additional final lattice spacing
 - \Rightarrow higher statistics in progress
 - \Rightarrow EFT model for TM lattice artefacts
 - $(\rho \pi \gamma \text{model})$









For total HVP and long-distance window:

- expect unblinded lattice results from FNAL/HPQCD/MILC (fall 2024) and ETM (~2025) consolidated (?) lattice HVP for White Paper 2
- Solution Including $\pi\pi$ states for refined long-distance computation (Mainz, RBC/UKQCD, FNAL/MILC)
- smaller lattice spacings to test continuum extrapolations crucial

Slides from Lattice 2024 conference

Slides from Muon g-2 TI workshop @ KEK

More windows:

- \mathbb{S} Use linear combinations of finer windows to locate the tension (if it persists) in \sqrt{s} [Colangelo et al, arXiv:12963]
- \bigcirc One-sided windows (excluding the long-distance region $t \gtrsim 2.5 \, \text{fm}$) to test data-driven evaluations [Davies at at, arXiv:2207.04765]









Hadronic Light-by-Light: Summary





Lattice QCD+QED:

- Independent calculations by four groups (Mainz, RBC/UKQCD, ETM, BMW)
- consistent with each other and with previous calculations
- Lattice groups are continuing to improve their calculations, adding more statistics, lattice spacings, physical mass ensembles
 - ongoing LQCD calculations of π , η , η' transition form factors to determine pseudo scalar pole contributions [Mainz, ETMC, BMW, RBC/UKQCD]





Summary

- \approx 2 new LQCD results for long-distance contribution with ~ 0.8 % precision, more coming soon! check consolidation, develop lattice HVP average for White Paper 2
- \approx Programs and plans in place for:
 - data-driven HVP:
 - In attice HVP: if no tensions between independent lattice results, $\sim 0.5 \%$ possible
 - $\frac{10\%}{10\%}$ dispersive HLbL and lattice HLbL: no puzzles, steady progress, $\sim 10\%$
- x Need to understand tensions between data-driven and lattice HVP if they persist
- \Rightarrow including τ decay data in data-driven approach: requires nonperturbative evaluation of IB correction [M. Bruno et al, arXiv:1811.00508]
- continued coordination by Theory Initiative: 2nd WP in progress

x consistent results from independent, precise LQCD calculations for light-quark connected contribution to intermediate window a_{μ}^{W} (~ 1/3 of $a_{\mu}^{HVP,LO}$) $\rightarrow 5 \sigma$ tension with (pre-2023) data-driven results

new analyses from BaBar, KLOE, CMD3, SND, Belle II,.... will shed light on current discrepancies improved treatment of structure dependent radiative corrections (NLO) in $\pi\pi$ and $\pi\pi\pi$ channels



- a_{μ} is loop-induced, conserves CP & flavor, flips chirality. Generically expect: $a_{\mu}^{\text{NP}} \sim a_{\mu}^{\text{EW}} \times \frac{M_W^2}{\Lambda^2} \times \text{couplings}$ ⇒ the difference between exp-WP20 is large: $\Delta a_{\mu} = 249 (48) \times 10^{-11} > a_{\mu} (EW)$
- Will likely be different if using (consolidated) lattice HVP average. Ģ
- Tensions between data-driven and lattice HVP results: Ş
 - \subseteq Can new physics hide in the low-energy $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi)$ cross section? ▶ No [Luzio, et al, arXiv:2112.08312]
 - Solution New boson at ~ 1GeV decays into $\mu^+\mu^-$, e^+e^- , affects $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi\pi)$ indirectly [L. Darmé et al, arXiv:2112.09139]
 - Neutral, long-lived hadrons, heretofore undetected? [Farrar, arXiv:2206.13460]
 - \subseteq Z' at < 1 GeV, coupling to 1st gen matter particles [Coyle, Wagner, arXiv:2305.02354]

Beyond the SM possibilities





Cs: α from Berkeley group [Parker et al, Science 360, 6385 (2018)] Rb: α from Paris group [Morel et al, Nature 588, 61–65(2020)]



 $a_{\ell}^{\rm NP} \sim \frac{m_{\ell}^2}{\Lambda^2}$ Sensitivity to heavy new physics: $\left(m_{\mu}/m_{e}\right)^{2} \sim 4 \times 10^{4}$







Outlook



☆ Experimental program beyond 2025:

- J-PARC: Muon g-2/EDM
- CERN: MUonE
- Fermilab: future muon campus experiments?
- Belle II, BESIII, Novosibirsk,...
- Chiral Belle (?)
- ☆ Data-driven/dispersive program beyond 2025:
 - development of NNLO MC generators
 - dispersive approach
- ☆ MUonE will provide a space-like determination of HVP
- ☆ Lattice QCD beyond 2025:
 - all errors (statistical and systematic)
 - reduction) will accelerate progress
 - beyond g-2: a rich program relevant for all areas of HEP

for HLbL, improved experimental/lattice inputs together with further development of

subscription and the second se

sconcurrent development of better methods and algorithms (gauge-field sampling, noise







Outlook







Appendix

ן נ



Experimental Inputs to HVP



two exp. approaches

- ``Direct scan'': change CM energy of $e^+e^$ beams
- ``Radiative Return'': with fixed e^+e^- CM energy, select events with initial state radiation (ISR)





- MC generators for $\sigma_{had}(s)$ (e.g. PHOKARA)
- detailed studies of radiative corrections (now known through NLO)











2020 White Paper [T. Aoyama et al, <u>arXiv:2006.04822</u>, Phys. Repts. 887 (2020) 1-166.]

Conservative merging procedure to obtain a realistic assessment of the underlying uncertainties:

- account for tensions between data sets
- of correlations between systematic errors
- include results using constraints from unitarity & analyticity in $\pi\pi$ and $\pi\pi\pi$ channels [Colangelo et al, 2018; Anantharayan et al, 2018; Davier et al, 2019; Hoferichter et al, 2019]
- Full NLO radiative corrections [Campanario et al, 2019]

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}} = 693.1 (2.8)_{\text{exp}} (0.7)_{\text{DV+pQCD}} (2.8)_{\text{BaBar-KLOE}} \times 10^{-10}$ $= 693.1 (4.0) \times 10^{-10}$



• account for differences in methodologies for compilation of experimental inputs and treatment





cross section comparisons



• For $\sqrt{s} \leq 0.6$ GeV: good consistency between cross section measurements • For 0.6 GeV $\leq \sqrt{s} \leq 1$ GeV: significant differences between measurements





Lattice HVP: Introduction

Leading order HVP contribution:



• Calculate $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ in Lattice QCD Start with correlation function of EM currents: (

Fourier transform yields $\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt C$

so that $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}}$ can be obtained as an integral over Euclidean time, aka time momentum representation (TMR):

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dQ^2 \, w(Q^2) \,\hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = 4 \, \alpha^2 \int_0^\infty dt \, C(t) \int_0^\infty dQ^2 w(Q^2) \left[t^2 - \frac{4}{Q^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{Qt}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm HVP,LO} = 4 \,\alpha^2 \,\int_0^\infty a$$



$$\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big)^2 \int dq^2 \omega(q^2) \,\hat{\Pi}(q^2)$$

[B. Lautrup, A. Peterman, E. de Rafael, Phys. Rep 1972; E. de Rafael, Phys. Let. B 1994; T. Blum, PRL 2002]

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,x} \langle j_i^{\text{EM}}(x,t) j_i^{\text{EM}}(0,0) \rangle \quad j_{\mu}^{\text{EM}} = \sum_f q_f \, \bar{\psi}_f(x,t) \, \gamma_{\mu} \, \psi_f(x,t) \, f = u, d,$$

$$C(t)\left[t^2 - \frac{4}{Q^2}\sin^2\left(\frac{Qt}{2}\right)\right]$$

[D. Bernecker and H. Meyer, arXiv:1107.4388, EPJA 2011]

 $dt C(t) \tilde{w}(t)$









ermines the asymptotic behaviour



A. El-Khadra

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm win, disc} \times 10^{10}$







• Start with spectral decomposition: $C(t) = \sum |A_n|^2 e^{-E_n t}$

+ bounding method: [Borsanyi et al, PRL 2018, Blum et al, PRL 2018] for $t > t_c$: $0 \le C(t_c) e^{-\bar{E}_{t_c}(t-t_c)} \le C(t) \le C(t_c) e^{-E_0(t-t_c)}$

 E_{t_c} : effective mass of C(t) at t_c E_0 : ground state energy

replace $G(t > t_c)$ with upper and lower bound, vary t_c



n=0



Lattice HVP: long-distance tail (again)

- $C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \langle j \rangle$
- Start with spectral decomposition: $C(t) = \sum |A_n|^2 e^{-E_n t}$
 - to two-pion states
 - + use to reconstruct $C(t > t_c)$
 - + can be used to improve bounding method:

$$C(t) \rightarrow C(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^2 e^{-E_n t}$$

use E_{N+1} in upper bound

+ yields big reduction in stat. errors (compared with bounding method)





$$j_i^{\mathrm{EM}}(x,t) \, j_i^{\mathrm{EM}}(0,0) \rangle$$

+ obtain low-lying finite-volume spectrum (E_n, A_n) in dedicated study using additional operators that couple

- Start with spectral decomposition: $C(t) = \sum |A_n|^2 e^{-E_n t}$
 - to two-pion states
 - + use to reconstruct $C(t > t_c)$
 - + can be used to improve bounding method:

$$C(t) \rightarrow C(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^2 e^{-E_n t}$$

use E_{N+1} in upper bound

+ yields $\sim \times 2$ reduction in stat. errors







 $C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum \langle j_i^{\rm EM}(x,t) \, j_i^{\rm EM}(0,0) \rangle$

+ obtain low-lying finite-volume spectrum (E_n, A_n) in dedicated study using additional operators that couple



- Start with spectral decomposition: $C(t) = \sum |A_n|^2 e^{-E_n t}$
 - to two-pion states
 - + use to reconstruct $C(t > t_c)$
 - + can be used to improve bounding method:

$$C(t) \rightarrow C(t) - \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^2 e^{-E_n t}$$

use E_{N+1} in upper bound

+ yields $\sim \times 2.5$ reduction in stat. errors (compared with bounding method)





$$C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,x} \langle j_i^{\text{EM}}(x,t) \, j_i^{\text{EM}}(0,0) \rangle$$

+ obtain low-lying finite-volume spectrum (E_n, A_n) in dedicated study using additional operators that couple

[Lahert, et al, arXiv:2409.00756] see also: [Lahert et al, arXiv:2112.11647]

First LQCD calculation with staggered multi-pion operators

see also: [Frech for BMW @ Lattice 2024]





Long-distance tail (ud)

C(

[Shaun Lahert et al, arXiv: 2409.00756]

• Spectral reconstruction:

$$C(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n|^2 e^{-E_n t}$$

- + obtain low-lying finite-volume spectrum (E_n, A_n) in dedicated study using additional operators that couple to two-pion states
- First LQCD calculation with staggered multi-pion operators







$$t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,x} \langle j_i^{\text{EM}}(x,t) \, j_i^{\text{EM}}(0,0) \rangle$$

	[1, 1, 1]
	[1, 1, 0]
	[0, 0, 1]
•	$m_{\rho} pdg$
	$\gamma_i \otimes 1$
	$\gamma_5 \otimes 1$
	$\gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\mu$
	$\gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_ u$
	$\gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu$
	$\gamma_5\otimes\gamma_5$





In 2020 WP:

- Solution We have a verage at 2.6 % total uncertainty: $a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP,LO}} = 711.6(18.4) \times 10^{10}$
- \cong BMW 20 [Sz. Borsanyi et al, arXiv:2002.12347, 2021 Nature] first LQCD calculation with sub-percent (0.8~%) error in tension with data-driven HVP (2.1 σ)
- Further tensions for intermediate window:
 - -3.7σ tension with data-driven evaluation -2.2σ tension with RBC/UKQCD18

Staggered fermions:

- discretization errors
- before taking continuum extrapolation: continuum limit should not be affected





• taste-breaking effects (which yield taste splittings) are significant (sometimes dominant) source of

• possible to use EFT schemes (ChPT, Chiral Model, MLLGS) to correct for taste-splitting effects







 $\begin{pmatrix} \text{light} \\ \mu, \text{win} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{iso}}$ • Improvement: $a^{\text{light}}_{\text{in}}$ correct lattice data for discretization effects due to taste-splittings before taking continuum limit.

			ו ר		
Co <u>n</u>	tint	ւելը ext	ra	pplations obtained or	nly t <mark>ro</mark> m
data	nc	Mediane	cte	ABGr', aste-splittings.	
		68.3%		ETM '22	H H
		95.4%		Mainz '22 Aubin '22	
				$\chi QCD 22$	
				Lohnor '90	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i







update: BMW+DMZ 2024

BMW 24 [A. Boccaletti et al, arXiv:2407.10913]





_attice HVP: short-distance window (SD)



Short-distance window, a_u^{SD}

small tension in SD with pre-2023 data-driven evaluation

1 Oct 2024



ETM 22





 Γ



update: Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC 2024

 $a_{\mu}^{ll}(\text{conn.})$ Shaun Lahert (Utah) & Michael Lynch (UIUC)

Shaun Lahert (Utah)

David Clarke (Utah)

 Δa_{μ}^{ud} (SIB conn.)

Jake Sitison (U Colorado)

 $\Delta a_{\mu}^{ls}(\text{QED})$ Craig McNeile (Plymouth)









FNAL/HPQCD/MILC @ Lattice 2024:







update: Fermilab/HPQCD/MILC 2024

In long-distance window a_{μ}^{LD} and full a_{μ}^{ll} (conn.)









Michael Lynch @ Lattice 2024

 a_{μ}^{ll} (conn.) - Full





