Diboson and triboson production and polarization measurements



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation







- Triboson: recently observed processes
 - $WZ\gamma$ (CMS and ATLAS), $WW\gamma$ (CMS), $W\gamma\gamma$ (ATLAS)
- Dibosons (focus on polarization measurements):
 - WZ in CMS and ATLAS @ 13 TeV and 13.6 TeV
 - $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$



Outline



Overview of CMS cross section results

			CMS preliminary
Jet	7 TeV	PRD 90 (2014) 072006	
ν	7 ToV	PRD 84 052011 (2011)	
, W	2.76 TeV	PLB 715 (2012) 66	
W	5.02 TeV	SMP-20-004	
W	7 TeV	IHEP 10 (2011) 132	
W	8 TeV	PRL 112 (2014) 191802	
W	13 TeV	SMP-20-004	
Z	2.76 TeV	JHEP 03 (2015) 022	
Z	5.02 TeV	SMP-20-004	
Z	7 TeV	JHEP 10 (2011) 132	
Z	8 TeV	PRL 112 (2014) 191802	
Z	13 TeV	SMP-20-004	
Z	13.6 TeV	SMP-22-017	
Wν	7 TeV	PRD 89 (2014) 092005	
Wv	13 TeV	PRI 126 252002 (2021)	
, Zv	7 TeV	PRD 89 (2014) 092005	
γ Zγ	8 TeV	IHEP 04 (2015) 164	
WW	5.02 TeV	PRL 127 (2021) 191801	
WW	7 TeV	EPJC 73 (2013) 2610	
WW	8 TeV	EPJC 76 (2016) 401	
WW	13 TeV	PRD 102 092001 (2020)	
WZ	5.02 TeV	PRL 127 (2021) 191801	
WZ	7 TeV	EPJC 77 (2017) 236	
WZ	8 TeV	EPJC 77 (2017) 236	
WZ	13 TeV	JHEP 07 (2022) 032	
ZZ	5.02 TeV	PRL 127 (2021) 191801	
ZZ	7 TeV	JHEP 01 (2013) 063	
ZZ	8 TeV	PLB 740 (2015) 250	
ZZ	13 TeV	EPJC 81 (2021) 200	
VVV	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	$\sigma(VVV) =$
www	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	$\sigma(WWW) = 5.9e+02f$
WWZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	$\sigma(WWZ) = 3e+02 \text{ fb}$
WZZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	$\sigma(WZZ) = 2e+02 \text{ fb}$
ZZZ	13 TeV	PRL 125 151802 (2020)	
$WV\gamma$	8 TeV	PRD 90 032008 (2014)	
$WW\gamma$	13 TeV	SMP-22-006	$\sigma(WW\gamma) = 6 \text{ fb}$
$W\gamma\gamma$	8 TeV	JHEP 10 (2017) 072	$\sigma(W\gamma\gamma) = 4.9 \text{ fb}$
$W\gamma\gamma$	13 TeV	JHEP 10 (2021) 174	$\sigma(W\gamma\gamma) = 14 \text{ fb}$
Ζγγ	8 TeV	JHEP 10 (2017) 072	$\sigma(Z\gamma\gamma) = 13 \text{ fb}$
Ζγγ	13 TeV	JHEP 10 (2021) 174	$\sigma(Z\gamma\gamma) = 5.4 \text{ fb}$
VBF W	8 TeV	JHEP 11 (2016) 147	$\sigma(\text{VBF W}) = 4.2\text{e}+02 \text{ fb}$
VBF W	13 TeV	EPJC 80 (2020) 43	
VBF Z	7 TeV	JHEP 10 (2013) 101	$\sigma(VBFZ) = 1.5e+02 \text{ fb}$
VBF Z	8 TeV	EPJC 75 (2015) 66	$\sigma(VBF Z) = 1.7e+02 \text{ fb}$
VBF Z	13 TeV	EPJC 78 (2018) 589	$\sigma(\text{VBF Z}) = 5.3\text{e}+0.00$
EW WV	13 TeV	PLB 834 (2022) 137438	σ (EW W)
ex. γγ→WW	8 TeV	JHEP 08 (2016) 119	$\sigma(\text{ex. }\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{WW}) = 22 \text{ fb}$
EW qqW γ	8 TeV	JHEP 06 (2017) 106	$\sigma(EW qqW\gamma) = 11 fb$
EW qqW γ	13 TeV	PRD 108 032017	$\sigma(EW q q W \gamma) = 24 fb$
EW os WW	13 TeV	PLB 841 (2023) 137495	$\sigma(\text{EW os WW}) = 10 \text{ fb}$
EW ss WW	8 TeV	PRL 114 051801 (2015)	$\sigma(\text{EW ss WW}) = 4 \text{ fb}$
EW ss WW	13 TeV	PLB 809 (2020) 135710	$\sigma(\text{EW ss WW}) = 4 \text{ fb}$
EW qqΖγ	8 TeV	PLB 770 (2017) 380	$\sigma(\text{EW } \text{qqZ}\gamma) = 1.9 \text{ fb}$
EW qqZγ	13 TeV	PRD 104 072001 (2021)	$\sigma(\text{EW } \text{qq}\text{Z}\gamma) = 5.2 \text{ fb}$
EW qqWZ	13 TeV	PLB 809 (2020) 135710	$\sigma(EW qqWZ) = 1.8 \text{ fb}$
EW qq∠Z	13 IeV	PLB 812 (2020) 135992	O(EVV qqZZ) = 0.33 ID

1.0e+00

Measured cross sections and exclusion limits at 95% C.L. See here for all cross section summary plots

Inner colored bars statistical uncertainty, outer narrow bars statistical+systematic uncertainty Light to Dark colored bars: 2.76, 5.02, 7, 8, 13, 13.6 TeV, Black bars: theory prediction



1.0e+02

Standard Model Total Production Cross Section Measurements

рр	$\sigma = 104.7 \pm 0.22 \pm 1.07 \text{ mb (data)}$ $COMPETE HPR1R2 \text{ (theory)}$ $\sigma = 96.07 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.91 \text{ mb (data)}$ $COMPETE HPR1R2 \text{ (theory)}$	ATLAS Preliminary
	$\sigma = 95.35 \pm 0.38 \pm 1.3 \text{ mb} (\text{data})'$ COMPETE HPR1R2 (theory) $\sigma = 187 \pm 0.1 \pm 6.5 \text{ nb} (\text{data})$ DYTUBBO (CT18 (NNI O (NNI I)) (th	
\٨/	$\sigma = 190.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 4.2 \text{ nb (data)}$ DYTURBO + CT18 (NNLO+NNLL) (t $\sigma = 112.69 \pm 3.1 \text{ nb (data)}$	$\sqrt{s} = 5,7,8,13,13.6$ rev
	$\sigma = 98.71 \pm 0.028 \pm 2.191 \text{ nb} (data)$ DYNNLO + CT14NNLO (theory) $\sigma = 67.334 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.74 \text{ nb} (data)$ DYNLO + CT18 (NNLO + MNL) (theory)	
	$\sigma = 59.12 \pm 0.029 \pm 1.6 \text{ nb (data)}$ DYTURBO+CT18 (NNLO+NNLL) (th $\sigma = 60.18 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.78 \text{ nb (data)}$	eory)
Z	$\sigma = 34.24 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.92 \text{ hb (data)} \\ DYNNLO+CT14 \text{ NNLO (theory)} \\ \sigma = 29.53 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.77 \text{ hb (data)} \\ \end{array}$	neory)
	$\sigma = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{DYNNLO+CT14 NNLO} (\text{theory}) \\ \sigma = 20.11 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.35 \text{ nb} (\text{data}) \\ \text{DYTURBO+CT18} (\text{NNLO+NNLL}) (\text{th}) \\ \sigma = 850 \pm 3 \pm 27 \text{ nb} (\text{data}) \end{array}$	eory)
	$\sigma = 829 \pm 1 \pm 15.4 \text{ pb (data)}$ $\sigma = 829 \pm 1 \pm 15.4 \text{ pb (data)}$ $HC TOP WG (theory)$	
tĪ	$\sigma = 242.9 \pm 1.7 \pm 8.0 \text{ pb (data)}$ LHC TOP WG (theory) $\sigma = 182.9 \pm 3.1 \pm 6.4 \text{ pb (data)}$ LHC TOP WG (theory)	Å
	$\sigma = 67.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ pb} (data)$ LHC TOP WG (theory) $\sigma = 221 \pm 1 \pm 13 \text{ pb} (data)$ MCEM (b) (data)	
t _{t-chan}	$\sigma = 89.6 \pm 1.7 + 7.2 - 6.4 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{MCFM (NNLO) (theory)} \\ \sigma = 68 \pm 2 \pm 8 \text{ pb (data)} \end{cases}$	
	$\sigma = 27.1 + 4.4 - 4.1 + 4.4 - 3.7 \text{ pb (data})$ $\sigma = 94 + 10 + 28 - 23 \text{ pb (data)}$	
Wt	$\sigma = 23 \pm 1.3 + 3.4 - 3.7 \text{ pb (data)}$ $\sigma = 1.3 \pm 1.3 + 3.4 - 3.7 \text{ pb (data)}$. <mark>≜</mark>
	$\sigma = 10.8 \pm 2.9 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ NLO+NLL (theory) $\sigma = 58.2 \pm 7.5 \pm 4.5 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ $\text{LHC-HXSWG YB4} \text{ (theory)}$	<mark>Ф</mark>
н	$\sigma = 55.5 \pm 3.2 + 2.4 - 2.2 \text{ pb (data)}$ $LHC-HXSWG YB4 (theory)$ $\sigma = 27.7 \pm 3 + 2.3 - 1.9 \text{ pb (data)}$ $LHC-HYSWG YB4 (theory)$	¢ k
	$\sigma = 22.1 + 6.7 - 5.3 + 3.3 - 2.7 \text{ pb (data}$ LHC-HXSWG YR4 (theory) $\sigma = 130.04 \pm 1.7 \pm 10.6 \text{ pb (data)}$	
WW	$\sigma = 68.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 4.6 \text{ pb (data)}$ $\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2 \pm 4.4 \text{ pb (data)}$	<u></u>
	$\sigma = 51 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ pb (data)}$ $\sigma = 243 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ (theory)}$	Q
WZ	$\sigma = 19 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1 \text{ pb (data)}$ $\sigma = 19 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1 \text{ pb (data)}$ $MATRIX (NNLO) (theory)$	A
	$\sigma = 16.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ pb (data)}$ Matrix (NNLO) & Sherpa (NLO) (theo $\sigma = 17.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ pb (data)}$ Matrix (NNLO) & Sherpa (NLO) (theo	ory)
LL	$\sigma = 7.3 \pm 0.4 + 0.4 - 0.3 \text{ pb (data)} / (1000)$ NNLO (theory) $\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 + 0.5 - 0.4 \text{ pb (data)}$	A (
t _{s-chan}	$\sigma = 8.2 \pm 0.6 + 3.4 - 2.8 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NNL (theory)} \\ \sigma = 4.8 \pm 0.8 + 1.6 - 1.3 \text{ pb (data)}$	
tīW	$\sigma = 880 \pm 50 \pm 70 \text{ fb (data)}$ $\sigma = 369 \pm 86 - 79 \pm 44 \text{ fb (data)}$	
tŦ7	$\sigma = 860 \pm 40 \pm 40 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)}$ $\sigma = 166 \pm 52 \pm 18 \text{ (theory)}$	0
	$\sigma = 0.82 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.08 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$	
WWZ	$\sigma = 0.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.15 - 0.13 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$	
tītī	$\sigma = 22.5 + 4.7 - 3.4 + 6.6 - 5.5 \text{ fb (data)}$ NLO QCD + EW (theory)	
tttt	NLO QCD + EW (theory)	

TIDOSONS

Observation of WZy production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- $WZ\gamma$ process observed (expected) with a significance of 5.4 (3.8) σ
- Final state defined by requiring three charged leptons ($WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell)$ and a photon
- Fiducial cross section measured as: 5.48 ± 1.11 fb
 - Three electrons or muons with one Z-candidate, $p_T > 15$ GeV, $\Delta R(\ell, \gamma) > 0.3$ and $|\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.5$

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2905175

Observation of WZy production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- Simultaneous fit of signal and background regions
- Major background sources
 - Non-prompt photon and leptons
 - Prompt ZZ with an ISR photon

Pro V V١ To Nonpro Nonpro WZG Total bac Total pre

Obse

cess	SR	Nonprompt ℓ CR	Nonprompt γ CR	ZZ CR
V	13.0 ± 0.3	1.86 ± 0.12	0.16 ± 0.02	1016 ± 12
/V	0.69 ± 0.05	0.36 ± 0.11	0.01 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.04
γ	1.38 ± 0.76	4.66 ± 2.05	438 ± 27	0.01 ± 0.01
op	3.34 ± 0.55	227 ± 15	27.0 ± 5.9	0.30 ± 0.04
ompt ℓ	12.9 ± 2.8	1792 ± 34	< 0.1	< 0.1
ompt γ	15.8 ± 2.2	< 0.1	195 ± 19	< 0.1
signal	60.8 ± 3.5	0.66 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.04	0.02 ± 0.01
kground	48.5 ± 3.7	2027 ± 33	660 ± 21	1016 ± 12
ediction	109 ± 5	2027 ± 33	660 ± 21	1016 ± 12
erved	108	2029	658	1017

BSM implication of $WZ\gamma$

EFT interpretation of dimension-8 operators featuring $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ field strength

Operators	Observed limits [TeV $^{-4}$]	Expected limits [TeV $^{-4}$]	Unitarity bound [TeV]
$F_{\mathrm{T,0}}/\Lambda^4$	[-2.60, 2.60]	[-2.52, 2.52]	1.32
$F_{\rm T,1}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.28, 3.24]	[-3.18, 3.14]	1.48
$F_{\rm T,2}/\Lambda^4$	[-7.15, 7.05]	[-6.95, 6.85]	1.35
$F_{\rm T.5}/\Lambda^4$	[-2.54, 2.56]	[-2.46, 2.50]	1.55
$F_{\rm T.6}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.18, 3.22]	[-3.08, 3.14]	1.61
$F_{\mathrm{T,7}}^{1,0}/\Lambda^4$	[-6.85, 7.05]	[-6.65, 6.85]	1.71

The $WZ\gamma$ process is also sensitive to mediation by axion-like particles

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.05174

YITP-SB-2020-8

Unitarity Constraints on Anomalous Quartic Couplings

Eduardo da Silva Almeida^{*} and O. J. P. Éboli[†] Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo - SP, Brazil.

M. C. Gonzalez–Garcia[‡]

Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria, Universitat de Barcelona, 647 Diagonal, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain and C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA

We obtain the partial-wave unitarity constraints on the lowest-dimension effective operators which generate anomalous quartic gauge couplings but leave the triple gauge couplings unaffected. We consider operator expansions with linear and nonlinear realizations of the electroweak symmetry and explore the multidimensional parameter space of the coefficients of the relevant operators: 20 dimension-eight operators in the linear expansion and 5 $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ operators in the derivative expansion. We study two-to-two scattering of electroweak gauge bosons and Higgs bosons taking into account all coupled channels and all possible helicity amplitudes for the J = 0, 1 partial waves. In general, the bounds degrade by factors of a few when several operator coefficients are considered to be nonvanishing simultaneously. However, this requires considering constraints from both J = 0 and J = 1 partial waves for some sets of operators.

Observation of WZy production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- Final state: $W \to \ell \nu, Z \to \ell^+ \ell^- (\ell = e, \mu)$
- WZy observed with a significance of 6.3 σ
- Cross section (fiducial):
 - 2.01 ± 0.30 (stat.) ± 0.16 (syst.) fb
- $K_{\rm EW} = \sigma_{\rm fid.}^{\rm NLO \ EW} / \sigma_{\rm fid.}^{\rm LO} = 1.05$

	Fiducial re	quirements	
	Photons	Leptons (e, μ)	Neutrin
$ \eta $	$ \eta^{\gamma} < 2.37$	$ \eta^{\ell} < 2.5$	_
p_{T}	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma} > 15 GeV$	$p_{\rm T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3} > 30, 20, 20 GeV$	$p_{\rm T}^{\nu} > 20 G$
Isolation	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{cone0.2}}/p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma} < 0.07$	—	—
ℓ_Z assignment	for $eee/\mu\mu\mu$ c	hannels, choose smallest $ m_{j} $	$_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $
ΔR		$\Delta R(\ell,\gamma) > 0.4$	
Z invariant mass		$m_{\ell\ell} > 81 GeV$	

 Clean final state with large number of signal events with respect to the background contributions

9

Observation of WWy production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- $WW\gamma$ process observed (expected) with a significance of 5.6 (5.1) σ
- Fiducial cross section measured as: 5.9 ± 0.8 (stat.) ± 0.8 (syst.) ± 0.7 (modeling*) fb
- Associated search for H with a photon explored \rightarrow generated by coupling of the Higgs boson to light quarks

*The theoretical modeling uncertainties include the renormalization and factorization of QCD scales, PDFs, and parton shower modeling

Trilinear coupling

Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 121901

Associated production $(H\gamma)$

Quartic coupling

Observation of WWy production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- $WW\gamma \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu_\mu}\gamma$ or $\mu^+ \nu_\mu e^- \bar{\nu_e}\gamma$ final state
- Events with b-jets vetoed
- Additional loose leptons vetoed
- Backgrounds suppressed by
 - $M_{\ell\ell} > 10 \, \text{GeV}$
- $p_T^{\ell\ell} > 15 \, \text{GeV}$
- $m_T^{WW} > 10 \text{ GeV}$

Signal extracted from a binned maximum likelihood fit using two dimensional distributions in m_T^{WW} and $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ (product of the Poisson probability mass functions for each bin forms the likelihood function)

$$m_T^{WW} = \sqrt{2p_T^{\ell\ell} p_T^{miss} [1 - \cos \Delta \phi(\vec{p}_T^{\ell\ell}, \vec{p}_T^{n})]}$$

Observation of $W\gamma\gamma$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

Electron p_T : 51.8 GeV Photon 1 E_T : 99.3 GeV Photon 2 E_T : 53.1 GeV E_T^{miss} : 61.5 GeV

Run: 331975 Event: 1451688618 2017-08-09 03:09:50 CEST

Final state with 2 photons and one electron

Final state with 2 photons and one muon

Observation of $W\gamma\gamma$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.03041

- $W\gamma\gamma$ observed with a significance of 5.6 σ
- Final state: $W \rightarrow \ell \nu \ (\ell = e, \mu)$
- Fiducial cross section:
 - $\sigma_{\text{fid}} = 13.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ (stat.)}_{-2.0}^{+2.1} \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.1 \text{ (lumi) fb}$
- Largest background from jets that fake a photon $(j \rightarrow \gamma)$

Source	SR	TopCR
$W\gamma\gamma$	410 ± 60	28 ± 5
Non-prompt $j \to \gamma$	420 ± 50	42 ± 20
Misidentified $e \to \gamma$	155 ± 11	120 ± 9
Multiboson $(WH(\gamma\gamma), WW\gamma, Z\gamma\gamma)$	76 ± 13	5.2 ± 1.7
Non-prompt $j \to \ell$	35 ± 10	—
Top $(tt\gamma, tW\gamma, tq\gamma)$	30 ± 7	136 ± 32
Pileup	10 ± 5	_
Total	1136 ± 34	332 ± 18
Data	1136	333

Observation of $W\gamma\gamma$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

- Backgrounds estimated using data-driven methods
- Largest uncertainty associated with $j \rightarrow \gamma$ background

• performing a two-dimensional template fit to the leading and sub-leading photon isolation distributions

NLO electroweak corrections included

Dibosons

Why study polarization?

Studying the Higgs mechanism *Higgslessly*!

ChatGPT

"However, it is important to note that the precise role of the Higgs boson in unitarizing WW scattering, in particular, is still an active area of research and there are ongoing studies to refine our understanding of this process." 18

Study of different polarization states in diboson processes \rightarrow sensitive probe of electroweak symmetry breaking

arXiv:0806.4145

Polarization is a framedependent measurement

WZ rest frame

Z rest frame

- Helicity fractions are frame dependent
- Joint polarization state:
 - f_{00} (f_{TT}) both bosons longitudinally (transversely) polarized
 - $f_{0T}(f_{T0})$: W(Z) boson is longitudinally polarized, Z(W) is transversely polarized
- Joint helicity fractions measured:
 - f_{00} : 7.1 σ , f_{0T} : 3.4 σ , f_{T0} : 7.1 σ , f_{TT} : 11 σ

	Data	POWHEG+PYTHIA	NLO QCD				
	$W^{\pm}Z$						
f_{00}	0.067 ± 0.010	0.0590 ± 0.0009	0.058 ± 0.002				
$f_{0\mathrm{T}}$	0.110 ± 0.029	0.1515 ± 0.0017	0.159 ± 0.003				
f_{T0}	$0.179 ~\pm~ 0.023$	0.1465 ± 0.0017	0.149 ± 0.003				
$f_{\rm TT}$	0.644 ± 0.032	0.6431 ± 0.0021	0.628 ± 0.004				
	W^+Z						
f_{00}	0.072 ± 0.016	0.0583 ± 0.0012	0.057 ± 0.002				
$f_{0\mathrm{T}}$	0.119 ± 0.034	0.1484 ± 0.0022	0.155 ± 0.003				
f_{T0}	0.152 ± 0.033	0.1461 ± 0.0022	0.147 ± 0.003				
$f_{\rm TT}$	0.66 ± 0.04	0.6472 ± 0.0026	0.635 ± 0.004				
		W^-Z					
f_{00}	0.063 ± 0.016	0.0600 ± 0.0014	0.059 ± 0.002				
$f_{0\mathrm{T}}$	0.11 ± 0.04	$0.1560~\pm~0.0027$	0.166 ± 0.003				
f_{T0}	0.21 ± 0.04	0.1470 ± 0.0027	0.152 ± 0.003				
f_{TT}	0.62 ± 0.05	0.6370 ± 0.0033	0.618 ± 0.004				

arXiv:2211.09435

combinations

Joint polarization measurement in WZ process

- Frame defined as:
 - Decay angles in the W^{\pm}/Z rest frame relative to the W^{\pm}/Z direction in the W^{\pm}/Z center-of-mass frame
- Deep Neural Network (DNN) trained with several kinematic variables: transverse momenta of the three leptons and the neutrino and angular variables

 $(\Delta \phi(\ell^W, \nu), \Delta \phi(\ell_1^Z, \ell_2^Z), p_T^{WZ})$

- DNN classifier trained: components of the vector output, one for each joint-polarization state, combined in single output node at last layer
- Four categories defined to disentangle mixed helicity states (0T and T0)
 - $|\cos \theta^*_{\rho_W}| < 0.5, |\cos \theta^*_{\rho_Z}| < 0.5$
 - $|\cos \theta^*_{\rho_W}| > 0.5, |\cos \theta^*_{\rho_Z}| < 0.5$
 - $\cos \theta_{\ell W}^* | < 0.5, |\cos \theta_{\ell Z}^*| > 0.5$
 - $|\cos\theta^*_{\ell^W}| > 0.5, |\cos\theta^*_{\ell^Z}| > 0.5$

arXiv:2211.09435

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.16365

Polarization measur

- Boosted decision tree trained to measu
 - New: measurement of fractions in high
 - Leads to an improvement of 20-30

rest frame with respect to the *z*-axis

ement in WZ (high)	\mathcal{D}_T^Z)
are polarization fractions (7 variable p_T^Z regime and low p_T^{WZ}	es): States Experies

Measurement			Prediction		
$00 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV}$		$100 < p_T^Z \le 200 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T^Z > Z$	
$9 \pm_{0.03}^{0.03} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.02}^{0.02} (\text{syst})$	$0.13 \pm_{0.08}^{0.09} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.02}^{0.02} (\text{syst})$	$ f_{00}$	0.152 ± 0.006	0.234	
$8 \pm_{0.08}^{0.07} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.06}^{0.05} (\text{syst})$	$0.23 \pm_{0.18}^{0.17} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.10}^{0.06} (\text{syst})$	f_{0T}	0.120 ± 0.002	0.062	
$3 \pm_{0.05}^{0.05} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.04}^{0.04} (\text{syst})$	$0.64 \pm_{0.12}^{0.12} (\text{stat}) \pm_{0.06}^{0.06} (\text{syst})$	f_{T0}	0.109 ± 0.001	0.058	
5.2 (4.3) <i>σ</i>	1.6 (2.5) σ	$\int f_{TT}$	0.619 ± 0.007	0.646	

Fraction of events where both bosons are longitudinally polarized measured with an observed significance of

5.2 σ (1.6 σ) in the phase space: $100 < p_T^Z < 200 \text{ GeV} (p_T^Z > 200 \text{ GeV})$

Radiation Amplitude Zero effect in WZ at 13 TeV

- Dominant helicity amplitude with two transversely-polarized bosons exactly zero • when scattering angle of the W boson in the WZ rest frame (w.r.t the incoming antiquark direction) approaches $90^{0} \rightarrow$ Radiation Amplitude Zero (RAZ) arises from the gauge structure in the SM
- RAZ \Rightarrow drop at 0 in the $\Delta Y(WZ)$ (rapidity difference between W and Z bosons) and $\Delta Y(l_WZ)$ (rapidity

difference between lepton from W decay and Z boson) distributions

- First observation of RAZ effect in WZ production, seen in $W\gamma$ previously (SMP-20-005), longitudinally polarized Ws make observation challenging • Next-to-leading order (NLO) QCD correction dilute the effect, hadronic activity reduced by placing stringent requirement on p_T^{WZ}

https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.16365

Radiation Amplitude Zero effect in WZ at 13 TeV

Unfolded distributions

Effect expected for only transversely polarized bosons, all other polarization components are subtracted

Measurement of the WZ process

- Electroweak process: sensitive to the PDFs of u and d quarks; relatively unaffected by the gluon
- cross section is one of the most precisely measurable quantities Ratio of –
- Measured at several center-of-mass energies

High WZ cross section makes it the dominant process that can be studied in the trilepton final state

	=
	-
W ⁺ Z	

Measuring the W polarization in WZ processes

- Single boson spin density matrix derived from joint spin density matrix
 - transverse helicity states)
- from its primary decay

• Individual helicity fractions: f_0 (longitudinal), f_L and f_R (left and right handed

• θ^w: angular distance between the momenta of the W boson and the charged lepton

Measuring the Z_L polarization in $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ process

- Study of polarization in $ZZ \to 4\ell$
 - Require 2 Z-candidates
- Maximize sensitivity to Z_L by constructing BDT
- Reweighting for:
 - each polarization state (separately for

 $gg \rightarrow ZZ, q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$)

- interference effect between polarization states
- higher order calculations

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2310.04350

- Variables sensitive to polarization
- CP sensitive variables defined as the direction of motion of the Z_1 boson in the in the four-lepton rest $_{\rm 27}$ frame

Measuring the Z_L polarization in $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ processes • Z_LZ_L measured with 4.3 σ

- interference modeling
- sources), background uncertainties have high statistical component

Analysis statistically limited, highest source of systematic uncertainty from $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$

Clean final state with background contributions from Z+jets and $t\bar{t}$ events (non-prompt)

		Pre-fit	Post-fit
ZZ	$Z_{\rm L}Z_{\rm L}$ $Z_{\rm T}Z_{\rm L}$ $Z_{\rm T}Z_{\rm T}$ Interference	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
No	on-prompt	18.7 ± 7.1	18.5 ± 7.0
	Others	20.0 ± 3.7	19.9 ± 3.7
	Total	3140 ± 150	3149 ± 57
Data		3149	3149

Stress testing the SM over various energies with dibosons

Conclusion

- The full Run 2 dataset allowed us to observe rare processes predicted by the SM Precision tests of diboson processes now possible
- Studying the Higgs mechanism Higgslessly with polarization measurements
- Run 3 luminosity already exceeds Run 2!

Additional Material

τ polarization in Z-boson decays

- Polarization of τ leptons is measured in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ events \bullet
- Differential cross section of the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ in lowest order is expressed as:

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\tau}} = F_0(\hat{s})(1+\cos^2\theta_{\tau}) + 2F_1(\hat{s})\cos\theta_{\tau} h_{\tau}[F_2(\hat{s})(1+\cos^2\theta_{\tau}) + 2F_3(\hat{s})\cos\theta_{\tau}]$ Forward-backward asymmetry defined in terms of F_1 and F_2
- Polarization defined in terms of F_2 and F_0
 - Simplified when $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = M_7$

Helicity states of incoming quarks and outgoing τ leptons: thin arrows show direction of movement, thick arrows show helicity θ_{τ} : scattering angle of the τ^- with respect to the quark momentum in the rest frame of the Z boson h_{τ} : helicity 32

τ polarization in Z-boson decays

- Tau spin observables constructed from several decay angles
- Optimal observable to measure τ helicity \rightarrow polarimetric vector
 - Final-state dependent variable
 - Largest source of uncertainty from QCD normalization
- Measured value of τ polarization: $P_{\tau}(Z) = -0.144 \pm 0.006$ (stat) ± 0.014 (syst)
 - In agreement with LEP, SLD, ATLAS
- More precise than the previous ATLAS measurement, almost matches precision of single LEP experiments ullet
- Weak mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.2319 \pm 0.0019$ (0.8% precision)

\mathbf{O} CO J <mark>g</mark>la \bigcirc う \mathbf{O} J SU J 00 S S Ō 0 Jation 0 **/**3

eading photon ID Loose ⁽	TL'	Ľ
Tight Subl	so Non-iso	L
	Tight	Lo eading p
M _T ^W < 40 GeV E ^{miss} < 25 GeV	j→l VR ←	Loc foi
M _T ^W > 40 GeV E ^{miss} > 25 GeV	SR 🕻	Lo fo
	Signal	

Measuring the W polarization in WZ processes

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{W^{\pm}}} = \frac{3}{8} \left[(1 \mp \cos(\theta_{W^{\pm}}))^2 f_L^W + (1 \pm \cos(\theta_{W^{\pm}}))^2 f_L^W + (1$$

- Single boson spin density matrix derived from joint spin density matrix
 - Individual helicity fractions: f_0 (longitudinal), f_L and f_R (left and right handed transverse helicity states)
- θ^w: angular distance between the momenta of the W boson and the charged lepton from its primary decay

$(W_{W^{\pm}}))^{2} f_{R}^{W} + 2 \sin^{2}(\theta_{W^{\pm}}) f_{0}^{W}$ and

$+\cos^2(\theta_z) + 2c\cos(\theta_z)f_R^Z + 2\sin^2(\theta_z)f_0^Z$

Content of the talk

- ATLAS
 - Diboson polarization fractions and Radiation Amplitude Zero effect in WZ production
 - <u>https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2020-01/</u>
 - Measurement of ZZ production cross-sections in the four-lepton final state
 - https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2022-17/
 - - https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2021-05/ ightarrow
 - Observation of gauge boson joint-polarisation states in WZ production ightarrow
 - <u>https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PAPERS/STDM-2022-01/</u>
- CMS
 - anomalous gauge couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
 - https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/SMP-20-014/index.html
 - proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
 - Measurement of the τ lepton polarization in Z boson decays
 - https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/SMP-18-010/index.html

Evidence of pair-production of longitudinally polarised vector bosons and study of CP properties in $ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ events

• Measurement of the $pp \rightarrow WZ$ inclusive and differential cross sections, polarization angles and search for

• Measurements of production cross sections of polarized same-sign W boson pairs in association with two jets in

<u>https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/SMP-20-006/index.html</u>

The pileup background consists of events in which one or both photons do not originate from the primary vertex, mainly due to a limited photon pointing resolution. The fraction of photons originating from a pileup vertex is calculated in a subset of SR data where at least one photon is converted. Since the fraction of photons that convert is independent of their production vertex, the relative fractions of signal and pileup photons in the converted sample is representative of the fractional number of signal and pileup photons in the full SR. Converted photons that are required to have at least one ID track with silicon hits [49] and a conversion radius, defined as the radial distance of the conversion vertex, of less than 400 mm are used for this estimate because the presence of an ID track allows for the calculation of a longitudinal impact parameter. The difference between the longitudinal impact parameters of the converted photon and the primary vertex, Δz , is Gaussian-distributed and expected to be close to zero for photons from the hard scatter, while pileup photons are expected to have a much broader distribution [55]. The $|\Delta z| > 55$ mm tails of the distribution are used to estimate the fraction of pileup photons in the SR. The statistical uncertainty on the pileup background is 56%, due to the limited number of events in the estimation region.

For the polarisation measurements, dedicated systematic uncertainties are considered in the modelling of the polarisation templates, including theoretical uncertainties from higher-order corrections, PDFs and α_S described above, and the uncertainties associated with the reweighting methods as described in Section 6.1. For both the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ and $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ polarisation templates, the theoretical uncertainties from QCD scales are taken from the MoCANLO calculations by varying the QCD scales as described above, while for the template of the interference term, which is reweighted from the inclusive SHERPA $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$ samples, these theoretical uncertainties are estimated from the SHERPA sample. The parton showering and hadronisation uncertainty is estimated for the signal by comparing the nominal PYTHIA 8 parton showering with the alternative HERWIG 7 [95, 96] algorithm. Uncertainties from the NLO EW corrections are estimated by taking the difference between the additive and the multiplicative prescription in MoCANLO [66]. For the 1D reweighting method in Section 6.1, the uncertainty is estimated by comparing the reweighted templates using the nominal observable and an alternative observable: the rapidity difference of the two Z bosons. For the additional 2D reweighting, the residual difference between the sum of the four templates and the inclusive prediction from the SHERPA sample is taken as an uncertainty.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2310.04350