

On the lack of scale-separated supersymmetric AdS_2 flux vacua

Nikolaos Liatsos

School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences,
National Technical University of Athens

Based on: N. Cribiori, F. Farakos and N. Liatsos,
“On scale-separated supersymmetric AdS_2 flux vacua”,
arXiv: 2411.04932 [hep-th]

Xmas Theoretical Physics Workshop

Athens, 18 December 2024

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main argument
- 3 2D supergravity analysis
- 4 Examples from flux compactifications
- 5 Discussion

Introduction

A given vacuum is characterized as scale-separated, if the dimensions of the internal compact space are much smaller than those of the external spacetime \Rightarrow a lower-dimensional effective description makes sense.

- From a top-down perspective, an AdS vacuum is scale-separated if its radius, L_{AdS} , is much greater than the KK length scale:

$$\frac{L_{\text{AdS}}}{L_{\text{KK}}} \gg 1. \quad (1)$$

- From a bottom-up perspective, scale separation is the following hierarchy between the AdS radius and the ultraviolet cutoff of the effective theory, Λ_{UV} :

$$\Lambda_{\text{UV}} L_{\text{AdS}} \gg 1. \quad (2)$$

Vacua satisfying the last relation are scale-separated and can explain why only an AdS factor of spacetime is large enough to be visible at low energy.

An advantage of the bottom-up approach to scale separation is that Λ_{UV} does not necessarily need to have a geometric interpretation, while the KK scale is typically related to the geometry of the extra dimensions.

This approach has been employed by [Cribiori and Dall'Agata (2022), Cribiori and Montella (2023)] to prove that maximally supersymmetric AdS vacua of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravities in 4D and 5D with a residual abelian gauge symmetry cannot be scale-separated, since

$$|V_{\text{AdS}}| \gtrsim \Lambda_{UV}^2, \quad (3)$$

where Λ_{UV} is the UV cutoff postulated by the magnetic weak gravity conjecture [Arkani-Hamed, Motl, Nicolis and Vafa (2007)].

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric AdS_4 vacua that exhibit scale separation have been constructed by [DeWolfe, Giriyavets, Kachru and Taylor (2005)] by compactification of massive type IIA supergravity on a CY_3 in the presence of fluxes and O6 -planes.

Scale-separated AdS_3 vacua have been constructed by flux compactifications of IIA supergravity on 7D spaces with G_2 holonomy [Farakos, Tringas and Van Riet (2020)].

No scale-separated AdS vacuum in 2D has been constructed so far.

We have provided a bottom-up explanation of why supersymmetric AdS_2 vacua cannot be scale-separated.

Main argument

For an AdS_2 vacuum the cosmological constant Λ is related to the radius, L , by

$$\Lambda = -\frac{2}{L^2}. \quad (4)$$

The 2D Planck scale M_{Pl} does not appear in the above equation.

An AdS_2 flux vacuum is supported by an (electric) gauge field strength with components along the AdS_2 directions:

$$-\frac{2}{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}|F_2|^2 = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \quad (5)$$

In principle, we can have two different AdS_2 vacua supported by fluxes of the form

$$F_2^{(\alpha)} = \alpha \epsilon_2, \quad F_2^{(\beta)} = \beta \epsilon_2, \quad (6)$$

separated by a domain wall particle.

The tension of such a particle, T , sets an upper bound on the UV cutoff of the 2D effective theory:

$$T > \Lambda_{\text{UV}}. \quad (7)$$

If the domain wall is a BPS one,

$$T = |Q|, \quad (8)$$

thus

$$|Q| > \Lambda_{\text{UV}}, \quad (9)$$

which is reminiscent of the magnetic weak gravity conjecture

The change in the flux F_2 induced by the domain wall is

$$\Delta F_2 = F_2^{(\beta)} - F_2^{(\alpha)} = (\beta - \alpha)\epsilon_2 = Q\epsilon_2. \quad (10)$$

If we impose flux quantization,

$$\alpha = N Q, \quad \beta = (N + 1) Q \quad (11)$$

for some integer N , the radius of the AdS_2 background with $F_2 = F_2^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{L_{(\alpha)}} = \frac{1}{2}|NQ| = \frac{1}{2}|N|T \gtrsim \Lambda_{\text{UV}}. \quad (12)$$

The natural interpretation of (12) is that supersymmetric AdS_2 flux vacua are not scale-separated.

There can be a contribution to the cosmological constant not related to any 2-form flux:

$$-\frac{2}{L^2} = \frac{1}{2}|F_2|^2 \pm \lambda^2. \quad (13)$$

- The positive sign is beyond the scope of our work, since a positive contribution to the cosmological constant means that there is at least one sector that spontaneously breaks supersymmetry.
- An additional negative contribution to the cosmological constant that is not induced by a flux can only make things worse as far as scale separation is concerned. Indeed, we have

$$\frac{2}{L^2} = -\frac{1}{2}|F_2|^2 + \lambda^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2}|F_2|^2 \gtrsim \Lambda_{UV}^2. \quad (14)$$

Thus, the presence of a flux-induced term in the vacuum energy is enough to exclude scale separation for supersymmetric AdS₂ vacua.

JT supergravity and one-form dilaton multiplet

$\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric extension of Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) gravity:

- field content: supergravity multiplet $(e_{\mu}^a, \psi_{\mu}, A)$ + dilaton multiplet (ϕ, λ, F)
- off-shell action [Chamseddine (1991)]:

$$S = \int d^2x e \left(\phi R - 2 \left(A + \frac{1}{L} \right) F - \frac{2}{L} \phi A + \frac{1}{2L} \phi \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma_3 \psi_{\nu} - 2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \bar{\lambda} \gamma_3 D_{\mu} \psi_{\nu} + \frac{1}{L} \bar{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{\mu} \right), \quad (15)$$

where L is a real constant with dimensions of length.

Euler-Lagrange equations for component fields of dilaton multiplet:

$$\delta F : A = -\frac{1}{L}, \quad (16)$$

$$\delta \lambda : \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \hat{D}_\mu \psi_\nu = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\delta \phi : R = -\frac{2}{L^2} - \frac{1}{2L} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma_3 \psi_\nu, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\hat{D}_\mu \psi_\nu \equiv D_\mu \psi_\nu - \frac{1}{2} A \gamma_\nu \psi_\mu. \quad (19)$$

Equation (18) indicates the presence of an AdS_2 vacuum with length scale L .

Dualization of the auxiliary scalar F to a 2-form $H_2 = dB_1$:

$$\star H_2 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu B_\nu = F + \phi A - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}\gamma^\mu\psi_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\phi\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma_3\psi_\nu. \quad (20)$$

Resulting action:

$$S_{1\text{-form}} = \int d^2x e \left(\phi R - 2A \star H_2 + 2\phi A^2 - A\bar{\lambda}\gamma^\mu\psi_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\phi A\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma_3\psi_\nu - 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\gamma_3 D_\mu\psi_\nu \right). \quad (21)$$

After integrating out A , the e.o.m. for the 1-form B_1 reads

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{1}{\phi} \star H_2 \right) = 0, \quad (22)$$

so

$$\frac{1}{\phi} \star H_2 = c. \quad (23)$$

Then, varying $S_{1\text{-form}}$ with respect to ϕ , we find

$$R = -\frac{1}{2\phi^2}(\star H_2)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}c^2, \quad (24)$$

which for $c \neq 0$ describes an AdS_2 spacetime with length scale L related to the constant value of $\frac{1}{\phi} \star H_2$ by

$$c^2 = \frac{4}{L^2}. \quad (25)$$

Coupling to a domain wall particle

Action for a particle in 2D coupled to B_1 :

$$S_p = -|Q| \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}(X^\rho) \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu} |\phi(X^\rho)| \\ + Q \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau B_\mu(X^\nu) \dot{X}^\mu, \quad (26)$$

where

- \mathcal{W}_1 is the worldline of the particle, parametrized by τ .
- $X^\mu(\tau)$ are the values of the spacetime coordinates on \mathcal{W}_1 .
- Q is the real charge of the particle under B_1 .
- $\dot{X}^\mu \equiv \frac{dX^\mu}{d\tau}$.

We consider the action

$$S = S_{1\text{-form}} + S_p. \quad (27)$$

- Euler-Lagrange equation for ϕ :

$$R = -\frac{1}{2\phi^2}(\star H_2)^2 + e^{-1}|Q|\frac{\phi}{|\phi|} \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau \delta^{(2)}(x^\rho - X^\rho(\tau)) \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu(\tau) \dot{X}^\nu(\tau)}. \quad (28)$$

- E.o.m. for B_1 :

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{1}{\phi} \star H_2 \right) = Q e^{-1} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau \delta^{(2)}(x^\rho - X^\rho(\tau)) \dot{X}^\nu(\tau). \quad (29)$$

- E.o.m. for $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\nabla^\mu \partial^\nu \phi - g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho \partial^\rho \phi + \frac{1}{4\phi} (\star H_2)^2 g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-1} |Q\phi| \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau \delta^{(2)}(x^\lambda - X^\lambda(\tau)) \frac{\dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu}{\sqrt{-g_{\rho\sigma} \dot{X}^\rho \dot{X}^\sigma}} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Let $x^\mu = (t, x)$ be the coordinates of the 2D target spacetime. If the particle lies on the axis $x = 0$, then in the static gauge, in which $T = \tau$, the x component of (29) becomes

$$\partial_x(\phi^{-1} \star H_2) = -Q\delta(x). \quad (31)$$

Integrating (31) over an interval $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, where $\epsilon > 0$, we find

$$\alpha_+ - \alpha_- = -Q, \quad (32)$$

where α_+ and α_- are the constant values of $\phi^{-1} \star H_2$ for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ respectively.

If neither of α_{\pm} is zero, then the regions $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ are both AdS_2 spaces with length scales L_+ and L_- respectively, which are given by

$$\frac{1}{L_{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_{\pm}|. \quad (33)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L_+}, \frac{1}{L_-} \right\} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{L_+} + \frac{1}{L_-} \right) = \frac{1}{4}(|\alpha_+| + |\alpha_-|) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}|\alpha_+ - \alpha_-| = \frac{1}{4}|Q| > \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{\text{UV}}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which implies the absence of scale separation.

BPS domain wall solutions

Solution of the e.o.m. for a charged domain wall particle lying on the axis $x = 0$ in the static gauge:

- Ansatzes for metric, dilaton and 1-form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2f(x)} dt^2 + dx^2, \quad (35)$$

$$B_1 = B(x)dt, \quad \phi = \phi(x). \quad (36)$$

- If $Q\phi(0) > 0$,

$$\phi(x) = \phi(0) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + QH(-x))x \right], \quad (37)$$

$$f(x) = \ln |\phi(x)|, \quad (38)$$

$$B(x) = (\text{sgn } Q)\phi^2(0) \exp[(\alpha + QH(-x))x], \quad (39)$$

where α is a real constant and H is the Heaviside step function.

- If $Q\phi(0) < 0$,

$$\phi(x) = \phi(0) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + QH(-x))x \right], \quad (40)$$

$$f(x) = \ln |\phi(x)|, \quad (41)$$

$$B(x) = (\text{sgn } Q)\phi^2(0) \exp[-(\alpha + QH(-x))x]. \quad (42)$$

Killing spinors associated with the above solutions:

- If $Q\phi(0) > 0$,

$$\epsilon(x) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{4}(\alpha + QH(-x))x \right] \eta, \quad (43)$$

where η is a constant Majorana spinor satisfying the condition

$$\eta = -\gamma_1 \eta. \quad (44)$$

- If $Q\phi(0) < 0$,

$$\epsilon(x) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha + QH(-x))x \right] \eta, \quad (45)$$

where η is a constant Majorana spinor obeying

$$\eta = \gamma_1 \eta. \quad (46)$$

Example in type IIA

Solutions of type IIA supergravity of the form [Lüst and Tsimpis (2020)]

$$\text{AdS}_2 \times \text{S}^2 \times \text{T}^6 \quad (47)$$

with

- electric RR flux F_2
- magnetic RR flux F_4 wrapping 4-cycles of the form $\text{S}^2 \times \text{T}^2$
- $H_3 = F_0 = 0$
- constant 10D dilaton, $\varphi = \varphi_0$
- no sources

E.o.m. in Einstein frame for constant φ :

$$R_{MN} = e^{3\varphi/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |F_2|_{MN}^2 - \frac{1}{16} g_{MN} |F_2|^2 \right) + e^{\varphi/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} |F_4|_{MN}^2 - \frac{3}{16} g_{MN} |F_4|^2 \right), \quad (48)$$

$$0 = \frac{3}{4} e^{3\varphi/2} |F_2|^2 + \frac{1}{4} e^{\varphi/2} |F_4|^2, \quad (49)$$

$$0 = dF_p = d(\star F_p), \quad \text{with } p = 2, 4, \quad (50)$$

where

$$|F_p|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{p!} F_{M_1 \dots M_p} F^{M_1 \dots M_p}, \quad (51)$$

$$|F_p|_{MN}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{(p-1)!} F_{MM_2 \dots M_p} F_N^{M_2 \dots M_p}. \quad (52)$$

From the Einstein equations and the e.o.m. for the dilaton it follows that

$$R_{2D} = 2e^{3\varphi/2}|F_2|^2 = -2e^{-3\varphi/2}|F_8|^2, \quad (53)$$

where

$$F_8 = -e^{3\varphi/2} \star F_2. \quad (54)$$

Flux quantization:

$$\int_{X_8} F_8 = (2\pi l_s)^7 f_8, \quad (55)$$

where $X_8 = S^2 \times T^6$ and $f_8 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$|F_8|^2 = \frac{((2\pi l_s)^7 f_8)^2}{(\text{Vol}[X_8])^2}. \quad (56)$$

Then, the curvature scalar of the external AdS_2 spacetime is

$$R_{2D} = -2 \left(\frac{(2\pi l_s)^7 f_8}{e^{3\varphi/4} \text{Vol}[X_8]} \right)^2. \quad (57)$$

For $2\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi)^7 l_s^8 = 1 \Leftrightarrow l_s = (2\pi)^{-7/8}$, the AdS_2 radius, L , is given by

$$\frac{1}{L} = \frac{(2\pi)^{7/8} |f_8|}{\text{Vol}[X_8]} e^{-3\varphi/4}. \quad (58)$$

This scale is related to the tension of a D0-brane smeared along the compact directions.

We can deduce this tension by determining its backreaction on the 2D curvature.

Action for a D0-brane in 10D in the Einstein frame:

$$S_{D0} = -T_0 \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} d\tau e^{-\frac{3}{4}\varphi} \sqrt{-g_{MN} \dot{X}^M \dot{X}^N} + \mu_0 \int_{\mathcal{W}_1} C_1, \quad (59)$$

where

- $T_0 = \frac{1}{l_s} = (2\pi)^{7/8}$
- μ_0 is the real charge of the brane under the RR 1-form C_1 .

In the presence of the D0-brane,

$$R_{MN} = \frac{1}{2} \left(T_{MN}^{D0} - \frac{1}{8} g_{MN} T^{D0} \right) + \dots, \quad (60)$$

where $T_{MN}^{D0} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g_{(10)}}} \frac{\delta S_{D0}}{\delta g^{MN}}$ and $T^{D0} \equiv g^{MN} T_{MN}^{D0}$.

If the D0-brane lies at $x^1 = x^2 = \dots = x^9 = 0$, then in the static gauge, in which $X^0 = \tau$, we have $T_{\mu i}^{\text{D0}} = T_{ij}^{\text{D0}} = 0$ and

$$T^{\text{D0}} = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{D0}} = -\frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{\sqrt{-g_{(10)}}} T_0 e^{-3\varphi/4} \delta(x^1) \delta^{(8)}(x^i). \quad (61)$$

Contribution of D0-brane to the curvature of the 2D external spacetime:

$$R_{2D} = \frac{3}{8} T^{\text{D0}} + \dots \quad (62)$$

Smearing of the D0-brane along the compact 8D internal space:

$$\delta^{(8)}(x^i) \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{g_{(8)}}}{\text{Vol}[X_8]}, \quad (63)$$

where $g_{(8)} = \det g_{ij}$.

In the smeared approximation,

$$R_{2D} = -\frac{3(2\pi)^{7/8}}{8 \text{vol}[X_8]} \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{\sqrt{-g_{(2)}}} e^{-3\varphi/4} \delta(x^1) + \dots, \quad (64)$$

where $g_{(2)} = \det g_{\mu\nu}$.

Tension of the smeared D0-brane:

$$T_{\text{smeared D0}} \sim \frac{1}{e^{3\varphi/4} \text{Vol}[X_8]}, \quad (65)$$

so

$$\frac{1}{L} \sim |f_8| T_{\text{smeared D0}} \gtrsim T_{\text{smeared D0}}, \quad (66)$$

in accordance with our general argument against scale separation.

General argument for flux compactifications

In a type II setup with an AdS_2 solution supported by a magnetic flux F_n ,

$$R_{2D} \sim -e^{\frac{5-n}{2}\varphi} |F_n|^2, \quad (67)$$

Flux quantization:

$$\int_{\Sigma_n} F_n \sim f_n, \quad (68)$$

where $f_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Σ_n is the n -cycle threaded by F_n .

$$R_{2D} \sim - \left(e^{\frac{5-n}{4}\varphi} \frac{f_n}{\text{Vol}[\Sigma_n]} \right)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{L} \sim e^{\frac{5-n}{4}\varphi} \frac{|f_n|}{\text{Vol}[\Sigma_n]}. \quad (69)$$

Let the electric flux $\star F_n$ be sourced by a Dp -brane with $p = 8 - n$ electrically coupled to the RR potential C_{9-n} .

We split the coordinates of the 8D internal space as $x^i = (x^{\hat{i}}, x^{\tilde{i}})$, where

- $x^{\hat{i}}, \hat{i} = 2, \dots, n + 1$, are the coordinates tangential to Σ_n ,
- $x^{\tilde{i}}, \tilde{i} = n + 2, \dots, 9$ are the internal coordinates normal to Σ_n .

The spacetime coordinates $(x^0, x^{\tilde{i}})$ are parallel to the Dp -brane, while the coordinates $(x^1, x^{\hat{i}})$ are transverse to it.

Internal space metric:

$$ds_8^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j = g_{\hat{i}\hat{j}} dx^{\hat{i}} dx^{\hat{j}} + g_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} dx^{\tilde{i}} dx^{\tilde{j}}. \quad (70)$$

If the $D(8-n)$ -brane lies at $x^1 = x^{\hat{i}} = 0$, its backreaction on the 2D external curvature is

$$R_{2D} = \frac{1}{8}(5-n)(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{8}} e^{\frac{5-n}{4}\varphi} \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}} \sqrt{\tilde{g}_{(8-n)}}}{\sqrt{-g_{(10)}}} \delta(x^1) \delta^{(n)}(x^{\hat{i}}) + \dots, \quad (71)$$

where $\tilde{g}_{(8-n)} = \det g_{\tilde{ij}}$.

Smearing of the $D(8-n)$ -brane along Σ_n :

$$\delta^{(n)}(x^{\hat{i}}) \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\hat{g}_{(n)}}}{\text{Vol}[\Sigma_n]}, \quad (72)$$

where $\hat{g}_{(n)} = \det g_{\hat{ij}}$.

$$R_{2D} = \frac{1}{8}(5-n) \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{8}}}{\text{Vol}[\Sigma_n]} \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{\sqrt{-g_{(2)}}} e^{\frac{5-n}{4}\varphi} \delta(x^1) + \dots \quad (73)$$

Thus, the $D(8 - n)$ -brane behaves as an effective D0-brane with tension

$$T_{\text{eff. D0}} \sim \frac{e^{\frac{5-n}{4}\varphi}}{\text{Vol}[\Sigma_n]} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{L} \sim |f_n| T_{\text{eff. D0}} \gtrsim T_{\text{eff. D0}}, \quad (75)$$

so such flux-supported AdS_2 vacua in type II compactifications are not scale-separated.

Discussion

- We have provided a bottom-up argument excluding the existence of supersymmetric scale-separated AdS_2 vacua supported by fluxes.
- It relies on the existence of a fundamental BPS domain wall between two such vacua, whose tension is an upper bound for the UV cutoff of the 2D effective theory.
- If scale separation is possible at all in 2D, it is most likely to occur in setups with at most one supercharge.
- Such a model could be constructed by compactifying type II superstring theory on a manifold with $\text{Spin}(7)$ holonomy, which indeed preserves $1/16$ of the original supersymmetry, and then performing an appropriate orientifold projection to reduce it further by a factor of $1/2$.

Acknowledgements

Thank you for your attention!

The research work was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) under the 3rd Call for HFRI PhD Fellowships (Fellowship Number: 6554).

