LHCb upgrades and plans





Vladimir V. Gligorov, CNRS/LPNHE/CERN 115th plenary ECFA meeting, CERN, 15.11.2024















Align and calibrate detector in quasi-real time, full detector reconstruction and pileup suppression in trigger



It works! Concept of forward flavour detector validated, many world-best measurements







2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041

3 fb⁻¹ 9 fb-1 23 fb⁻¹ 50 fb⁻¹ It works! Concept of forward **Greatly improved tracker & PID** flavour detector validated, many granularity, 30 MHz detector readout & GPU tracking trigger world-best measurements













LSA



>300 fb-1

Deploy 4D tracking, PID, and calorimetry + a highly granular pixel tracker to be processed by a heterogenous trigger

LHCb in 2024: twice doubled data



Doubled the recorded integrated luminosity thanks to excellent detector&LHC performance More than doubled the efficiency for hadronic signals thanks to 30 MHz GPU tracking trigger

LHCb prospects for Run 3

Observable	Old LHCb	LHCb U1	NAMES OF STREET STREET STREET
	$(up to 9 fb^{-1})$	$(23\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	
<u>CKM tests</u>			
$\gamma \ (B \rightarrow DK, \ etc.)$	2.8° [18, 19]	1.3°	
$\phi_s \ \left(B^0_s \to J/\psi \phi ight)$	$20 \mathrm{mrad}$ [22]	$12\mathrm{mrad}$	
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} \ (\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}, \ etc.)$	6% [55, 56]	3%	
$\underline{\mathbf{Charm}}$			Wir Pheno
$\Delta A_{CP} \ \left(D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \right)$	$29 \times 10^{-5} \ [25]$	13×10^{-5}	March March March States
$A_{\Gamma} \ (D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-)$	$11 \times 10^{-5} [29]$	5×10^{-5}	
$\Delta x \ (D^0 \to K^0_{\rm S} \pi^+ \pi^-)$	18×10^{-5} [57]	6.3×10^{-5}	
Rare decays			- A Training and the state of the
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	$^{-})$ 69% $[30, 31]$	41%	
$S_{\mu\mu} \ (B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	<u> </u>		
$A_{\rm T}^{(2)} \ (B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^-)$	0.10 [58]	0.060	
$S_{\phi\gamma}(B^0_s \to \phi\gamma)$	0.32 [59]	0.093	
$\underline{\alpha_{\gamma}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \gamma)}$	$^{+0.17}_{-0.29}$ [60]	0.148	STATISTICS STATISTICS

Beyond-luminosity-scaling improvements in sensitivity expected in many observables due to the full detector readout and 30 MHz tracking trigger

Thanks to a new automated analysis production system terabytes of physics-ready ntuples are already being analysed across the working groups – prepare your spoons!



LHCb prospects for Run 3



Beyond-luminosity-scaling improvements in sensitivity expected in many observables due to the full detector readout and 30 MHz tracking trigger

Thanks to a new automated analysis production system terabytes of physics-ready ntuples are already being analysed across the working groups – prepare your spoons!

LHCb prospects for Run 3



Beyond-luminosity-scaling improvements in sensitivity expected in many observables due to the full detector readout and 30 MHz tracking trigger

Thanks to a new automated analysis production system terabytes of physics-ready ntuples are already being analysed across the working groups – prepare your spoons!

Why another LHCb upgrade?

Physics case

Physics Case

LHCb Upgrade II

for an



LHCC-2017-003

Accelerator study

CERN Research Board

September 2019

CERN-ACC-2018-038

ortunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era

LHCC-2018-027

"The recommendation to prepare a framework TDR for the LHCb Upgrade-II was endorsed, noting that LHCb is expected to run throughout the HL-LHC era."

European Strategy update 2020

"The full potential of the LHC and the HL-LHC, including the study of flavour physics, should be exploited."

Framework TDR



LHCC-2021-012

Approved by LHCC March 2022

"The LHCC recommends that LHCb continue the R&D necessary to complete technical design reports on the proposed schedule, ..."

"The LHCC recommends the continued investigation of descoping and other cost-saving possibilities. ..."

"The LHCC recommends that a well-defined process to establish the financial envelope prior to the preparation of TDRs be set up and notes that close coordination with funding agencies will likely be required in this process.

Scoping document

Detector design and technology options

R&D program and

schedule

Cost for baseline. options for descoping

National interests



Technical Design Report

Submitted to LHCC (Sept 2024) **Under review**

Why another LHCb upgrade?

			LHCb Upg	rade 2 Scopin	g Document
Observable	Old LH	lCb	Upgr	ade I	Upgrade II
	(up to s	$9\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$)	$(23\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	$(50\mathrm{fb}^{-1})$	$(300{\rm fb}^{-1})$
$\underline{\mathbf{CKM} \ \mathbf{tests}}$					
$\gamma \ (B \rightarrow DK, \ etc.)$	2.8°	[18, 19]	1.3°	0.8°	0.3°
$\phi_s \; \left(B^0_s ightarrow J\!/\psi \phi ight)$	$20\mathrm{mrac}$	l [22]	$12\mathrm{mrad}$	$8\mathrm{mrad}$	$3\mathrm{mrad}$
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} \ (\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu, \ etc.)$	6%	[55, 56]	3%	2%	1%
<u>Charm</u>					
$\Delta A_{CP} \ \left(D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^- \right)$	29×10^{-1}	$^{-5}$ [25]	13×10^{-5}	8×10^{-5}	3.3×10^{-5}
$A_{\Gamma} \ (D^0 \to K^+ K^-, \pi^+ \pi^-)$	11×10^{-1}	$^{-5}$ [29]	5×10^{-5}	3.2×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}
$\Delta x \ (D^0 \to K^0_{\rm S} \pi^+ \pi^-)$	18×10^{-1}	$^{-5}$ [57]	6.3×10^{-5}	4.1×10^{-5}	1.6×10^{-5}
Rare decays					
$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-) 69%	[30, 31]	41%	27%	11%
$S_{\mu\mu} \ (B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$					0.2
$A_{\rm T}^{(2)} \ (B^0 \to K^{*0} e^+ e^-)$	0.10	[58]	0.060	0.043	0.016
$S_{\phi\gamma}(B^0_s \to \phi\gamma)$	0.32	[59]	0.093	0.062	0.025
$\alpha_{\gamma}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\gamma)$	$+0.17 \\ -0.29$	[60]	0.148	0.097	0.038

Key precision observables remain statistically limited + unique reach for ions, baryons & exotic hadrons After showing that systematics scale with luminosity in Run 3 – aim to build the best quality U2 detector! 13

Why another LHCb upgrade?



LHCb Upgrade 2 detector layout



LHCb Upgrade 2 luminosity scenarios

	Ro	und opt	sics	F	lat opti	CS		
Levelled $\mathcal{L}_{\text{peak}} (10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.4	
β_x^*/β_y^* (m)		1.5/1.5			0.5/1.5		${\rm m}_{1.2}^{\rm c}$	
$N_{\rm bunch}$ total/colliding in LHCb	2	760/257	' 4	2	760/257	' 4	Hz/(
Levelled pile-up	28	36	42	28	36	42	₹ 1.0	
Delivered \mathcal{L}_{int} per year (fb ⁻¹)	42.16	47.25	49.34	48.73	57.89	63.36	$\frac{1}{1}$	
Levelling time t_{lev} (h)	3.42	2.00	1.08	5.42	4.25	3.42	osit	
Optimal fill length t_{opt} (h)	7.67	7.58	7.58	7.58	7.50	7.42	.0.6	
$t_{ m lev}/t_{ m opt}$	0.45	0.26	0.14	0.72	0.57	0.46	lk lu	
RMS luminous region (z) at $t = 0 \text{ (mm)}$	43.30	43.31	43.31	38.41	38.44	38.45	Pea	
Peak pile-up density at $t = 0 \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.29	0.35	0.40	0.41	0.49	0.54	0.2	
) 1

Many thanks to our LHC machine colleagues for their hard work and support! Looking forward to the results of machine tests to understand if flat optics are feasible.



Why enhance LHCb during LS3?

- 1. Calorimeter radiation damage must be addressed – use this opportunity to improve instead of standing still
- 2. We know precision timing is mandatory for U2 physics performance: exercise as much of this as possible in LS3 so we can learn any lessons long before Run 5
- 3. We must nurture and develop a team with the right mixture of skills to master heterogeneous computing architectures of the 2030s. This is best done through concrete incremental work.



And of course seize any opportunity to improve the physics sensitivity of Run 4!



OSFP112 1. Clock distribution with jitter and deterministic phase of O(10) ps

- 2. The usage of IpGBT links
- 3. The usage of very high speed links running at 100Gbit/s using data-centre protocols like **Ethernet 400 or PCIe Gen5**
- 4. Creation and use of reconstruction primitives embedded within the readout, with potential gains for triggering already in Run 4.

LS3 enhancements: data acquisition

The aim is to exercise the following features ahead of Run 5



400 PCle Gen5 x16 / CXL



LHCb data acquisition enhancements TDR

LS3 enhancements: particle identification





LHCb particle identification enhancements TDR

Enhanced calorimeter granularity & SpaCal modules: maintain performance despite radiation damage Fast timing information in the RICH: improved hadron identification and gain experience for Run 5



19

LHCb U2: detector scenarios

Three detector scenarios considered

Baseline: ultimate acceptance, granularity, and material budget leading to maximal instantaneous luminosity headroom.

Middle: keeps all subsystems but in some cases reduces their acceptance. Lower instanteous luminosity leads to significant savings in data processing cost.

Low: worse acceptance, granularity, and material budget depending on the detector. Two detectors fully removed. Highest risk and least robust option.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{peak}} (10^{34} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1})$

VELO UP Magnet Stations Mighty-SciFi Mighty-Pixel RICH TORCH PicoCal Muon RTA Online Infrastructure Total

All scenarios meet the core physics goals of Upgrade 2, but low has the least versatility and robus tness 20



	Baseline	Middle	Low
$^{1})$	1.5	1.0	1.0
	(kCHF)	(kCHF)	(kCHF)
	16672	15906	13753
	8077	7719	6887
	2592	2234	0
	21767	21273	17388
	15994	11641	11061
	21450	18415	14794
	12508	8756	0
	27607	27607	21584
	9785	8266	8266
	18800	11700	9500
	11800	9467	8993
	14463	13284	12430
	181515	156268	124656

LHCb U2: tracking



The baseline design ensures high efficiencies with acceptable fake rates!

Similar tracking efficiencies for pp and PbPb will allow reconstruction of the most central collisions.

Channel

$$B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$B_s^0 \to \phi (\to K^+ K^-) \phi (-$$

$$D^0 \to K_{\rm S}^0 (\to \pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^+$$

Reduced acceptance and increased material in the low scenario will have a clear impact on physics.

	Relative ac	ceptance $\%$
	Middle	Low
	99.3 ± 0.1	95.3 ± 0.1
$\rightarrow K^+K^-)$	99.4 ± 0.1	90.6 ± 0.2
π^{-}	99.7 ± 0.1	84.8 ± 0.8

LHCb U2: tracking (2)



LHCb Upgrade 2 Scoping Document

UP + MT (pixels) significantly improves momentum resolution compared to U1 LHCb!

LHCb U2: tracking (3)



LHCb U2: particle identification





LHCb U2: particle ic





The muon ID performance good, but not yet at the excellent levels we are used to. Studies to improve it are ongoing. P > 10 GeV/c PT > 1 GeV/c



LHCb U2: DAQ & real-time analysis



LHCb Upgrade 2 will be the biggest data processing challenge attempted in HEP





LHCb U2: DAQ & real-time analysis



Trigger saturated by signal – must perform real-time analysis! See slide 36 for details.



Impact of U2 scenarios on sensitivity

Baseline	Middle	Low	
	$B^0_{(s)}\! ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$		
Improved background reject	tion from VELO with timing	Worse background rejection	
Improved n	nass resolution to separate B^0 a	and B_s^0 peaks	
Loss of muon identification Loss of muon identification		Loss of muon identification	
Acceptance comparat	ble to current detector	Reduced acceptance	
$\gamma~{ m fr}$	rom $B^+ ightarrow DK^+, D ightarrow K^0_{ m S}\pi$	$\pi^+\pi^-$	
Improved high momentum kaon/pion separation		Less or no improvement	
Background rejection for downstream tracks with RICH2 & TORCH timing	Reduced TORCH acceptance	RICH2 timing only	
Acceptance comparat	ble to current detector	Reduced acceptance also for downstream tracks	
	$D^{*+} ightarrow D\pi^+, D ightarrow K^+K^-$	_	
Acceptance for long tracks comparable to current detector		Reduced acceptance	
Improved slow pion acceptance from Magnet Stations		No improvement	
Trigger throughput comparable to current detector		Reduced online farm capacity	
	$\phi_s \text{ from } B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$		
Loss of muon identification	Loss of muon identification	Loss of muon identification	
Improved high momentu	um kaon/pion separation	Less or no improvement	
Improved decay	time resolution	Worse performance	
Improved flavour tagging		No improvement	



Precise impact under study

~5% per track

~10% PID efficiency loss

3x higher background

~10-15% per track

Up to 40% total tracking efficiency loss

Impact on trigger to be evaluated

~10% sensitivity dilution ~5% flavour tagging loss

U2 schedule, risks, mitigation



We are making sure lessons from Upgrade 1 are being learned

- ASIC developments will minimise the number of different chips
 - RICH + TORCH | UP + MT(pixel) | MS + MT (SciFi)
 - Ensure continuous communication with designers in system test stage
- DAQ and firmware will establish the design early & benefit from LS3 enhancements
 - Key so that we can start commissioning early with final DAQ system

Further details for individual subdetectors can be found in the scoping document



LHCb Upgrade 1 is moving at full speed and will dramatically improve knowledge of heavy flavour physics during Run 3, often by >2x in sensitivity



LHCb Upgrade 1 is moving at full speed and will dramatically improve knowledge of heavy flavour physics during Run 3, often by >2x in sensitivity

LHCb Upgrade 2 is the ultimate flavour factory that we can hold in our hands and build today and it will gain another 3-4x in sensitivity on top of U1



LHCb Upgrade 1 is moving at full speed and will dramatically improve knowledge of heavy flavour physics during Run 3, often by >2x in sensitivity

LHCb Upgrade 2 is the ultimate flavour factory that we can hold in our hands and build today and it will gain another 3-4x in sensitivity on top of U1

LHCb Upgrade 2 is also a tangible project with which to drive technology developments for future experiments and facilities



LHCb Upgrade 1 is moving at full speed and will dramatically improve knowledge of heavy flavour physics during Run 3, often by >2x in sensitivity

LHCb Upgrade 2 is the ultimate flavour factory that we can hold in our hands and build today and it will gain another 3-4x in sensitivity on top of U1

LHCb Upgrade 2 is also a tangible project with which to drive technology developments for future experiments and facilities

Join us!



BACKUP

U2 installation schedule



Completing all work in 2 years is challenging but achievable





U2 resource requireme



These are aggregated from inputs provided by subdetectors, comparisons ongoing to calibrate





LHCb U2: DAQ & real-time analysis

The LHCb trigger architecture is designed to be fully efficient for hadronic decays of charm hadrons

At 1.5e34 ~every bunch crossing produces a ccbar pair! You can't inclusively select interesting bunch crossings.

This is why LHCb performs "real-time analysis": full analysis-quality reconstruction, alignment, calibration, and selection (including pileup suppression) in the trigger.

Increasing the instantaneous luminosity simultaneously increases the fraction of events containing signal to be analysed and event complexity.

So the bulk of the system cost varies with the integrated luminosity squared even if the reconstruction and selection algorithms vary linearly with event complexity.

The key challenge of the next decade will be to maintain and further develop a team with the skills to exploit heterogeneous parallel computing architectures in the mid-2030s, alongside languages and frameworks specialising for highthroughput scientific computing.



U2 detailed schedule



Year

Upgrade 2 risks & lessons from U1

- Procurement delays
 - Start early, include time for tendering in planning
- ASIC developments
 - Minimise number of different chips
 - RICH + TORCH
 - UP + MT(pixel)
 - MS + MT (SciFi)
 - Communication with designers in system test stage
 - Contingency for additional submissions
- DAQ and firmware
 - Establish design early & benefit from LS3 enhancements
 - Monitor availability of experts and broaden base of expertise
 - Start commissioning early with final DAQ system

LHCb open geometry makes a staged installation possible, but careful planning is needed

Re-use of significant existing infrastructure (Magnet, mechanical support structures, certain subdetector elements)

Exceptional circumstances (like Covid) can only be handled through contingency and the ability to inject additional personpower, especially at CERN

