Journeys in Standard Model (and beyond)





Dipan Sengupta



Particles and Forces



Particles





- Greek philosopher, 400 BC
- Named the smallest piece of matter "atomos," meaning "not to be cut."
- Democritus' atoms were <u>small</u>, hard particles that were all made of the same material but were different shapes and sizes.
 - Atoms were <u>infinite</u> in number, always moving and capable of joining together.









John Dalton (1803): Atoms are tiny, hard spheres that cannot be split up.



J.J. Thomson (1897): Electrons are distributed inside a positive mass like raising in a plum pudding.



Ernest Rutherford (1909): Most of the atom's mass is inside the nucleus. Electrons circle the nucleus. Most of the atom is empty space.







Particles

Until Rutherford particles were thought of as classical rigid objects, a point or a spherical object that can be broken down



Bohr's model + de-Broglie's quantization condition gave a quantum interpretation to the particle. Fun fact : Bohr never believed in the photon

Bohr model was still semi-classical, A full quantum treatment required us to think of electron clouds, issues with $Ze \frac{nh}{gm} \overline{an}^{mvr}$, Effect/ Stark effect ...

No new particles untill fundamental particles untill the $\frac{\ell}{403}$,

Particles $n\lambda = 2\pi r$.

$$\lambda = rac{h}{mv}$$

$$rac{nh}{mv} = 2\pi r,$$



mvr

2/h



Key particle discoveries





Particles

Schrodinger-Heisenberg-Dirac :



Werner Heisenberg: "matrix mechanics"





Paul Dirac: Heisenberg's and Schrödinger's formalisms are equivalent

Newton's Laws are replaced by the Schrödinger Equation for the quantum state: $|\Psi\rangle = i\partial_t |\Psi\rangle$

Erwin Schrödinger: "wave mechanics"







Galileo: Objects accelerate at the same rate down the slope









Galileo: Objects accelerate at the same rate down the slope



Forces: Newton-Kepler-Copernicus



No new forces untill Maxwell interpreted electromagnetism being carried by a force









Interaction	Current theory	Mediators	Relative strength ^[20]	Long-distance behavior (potential)	Rang [2
Weak	Electroweak theory (EWT)	W and Z bosons	10 ³³	$rac{1}{r} e^{-m_{\mathrm{W,Z}} r}$	10 ⁻¹⁸
Strong	Quantum chromodynamics (QCD)	gluons	10 ³⁸	$\sim r$ (Color confinement, see discussion below)	10 ⁻¹⁵
Gravitation	General relativity (GR)	gravitons (hypothetical)	1	$\frac{1}{r^2}$	∞
Electromagnetic	Quantum electrodynamics (QED)	photons	10 ³⁶	$\frac{1}{r^2}$	00

The interactions [edit]

Forces

Source Wikipedia





Standard Model of Particle Physics

Standard Model of Elementary Particles



Organizing particles and forces : QM to QFT

Particles and fields : Classically a particle is a rigid sphere of sorts





A field pervades space and time QFT: A particle is a localized quantum excitation of a field





Organizing particles and forces : QM to QFT

Particles and fields : Classically a particle is a rigid sphere of sorts





A field pervades space and time QFT: A particle is a localized quantum excitation of a field





Organizing Particles : Group them



$$\begin{split} &[P^{\mu}, P^{\nu}] = 0, \\ &[M^{\mu\nu}, P^{\lambda}] = i(\eta^{\lambda\nu} P^{\mu} - \eta^{\lambda\mu} P^{\nu}), \\ &[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\lambda\rho}] = i(\eta^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\lambda} - \eta^{\mu\lambda} M^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\nu\lambda}) \end{split}$$

The Poincare' algebra $L(\mathcal{P})$ contains

10 generators = 4-translations, 3-rotations and 3-boosts

At the very least,

A particle is an irreducible representation of a poincare group

 $M^{\mu\rho} - \eta^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\lambda}$



Organizing Particles : Group them like Wigner

Wigner got his Nobel in 1963, but not for his **1939** paper addressing issues on internal space-time symmetries.

Wigner's Little Groups: Subgroups of the Lorentz group whose transformations keep the given momentum of a particle invariant.

A massive particle can be brought to its rest frame. The momentum is invariant under rotations, but its spin can be rotated. Thus the little group is like O(3).

A massless particle cannot be brought to the rest frame. Rotations around the momentum leaves it invariant. The dynamical quantity associated with this rotation is called the helicity.

ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 40, No. 1, January, 1939

Eugene Paul Wigner

ON UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE INHOMOGENEOUS LORENTZ GROUP*

> BY E. WIGNER (Received December 22, 1937)

1. ORIGIN AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PROBLEM





Organizing Particles : Group them like Wigner

For a massive particle at rest, it is O(3). If the particle gains Its momentum, it is a Lorentz-boosted O(3). Thus, if the momentum is not zero, the Little group is a Lorentz-boosted O(3) or O(3)-like little group.

If a particle is massless, the little group consists of rotations around the momentum.

In addition, Wigner showed that this ugly matrix leaves the four-momentum of the massless particle invariant.



Organizing Particles : Use Spin to group them

Spin operator

$$\Psi(s_n + \theta_0) = e^{i\theta_0 s \cdot n/\hbar} \Psi(s_n), \quad s = \hbar\sigma/2$$

 $R(\theta) = e^{i\theta_0 s/\hbar}$

Spin-Statistics theorem

 $\frac{1}{2}$ integer spins are fermions R(2 π) = -1 Ψ (1,2) = - Ψ (2,1)



Integer spins are bosons (including s = 0) $R(2\pi) = 1$ $\Psi(1,2) = \Psi(2,1)$





Organizing Particles : Hadrons and Leptons



Organizing Particles: Hadrons and Leptons

Hadron "zoo"

mesons

	LIGHT UNFLAVORED		HT UNFLAVORED STRANGE BOTTOM					4/1000						-		****	-0		****			
	(S = C)	= B = 0)	61 PC	$(S = \pm 1, 0$	C = B = 0	(B =	±1) (61 PC)	P	P_{11}	****	$\Delta(1232)$	P ₃₃	****	Λ	P_{01}	****	2+	P_{11}	****	=", =	P_{11}	****
*	1=(0=)		0=(1 = =)	- K*	1(3)	- P*	1/2/0-)	n	P_{11}	****	$\Delta(1600)$	P ₃₃	***	A(1405)	S_{01}	****	Σ°	P_{11}	****	$\Xi(1530)$	P ₁₃	****
• x	$1^{-}(0^{-}+)$	 φ(1680) φ(1690) 	0(1) $1^+(3^-)$	• K ⁰	1/2(0)	• B ⁰	1/2(0)	N(1440)	P ₁₁	****	$\Delta(1620)$	S31	****	A(1520)	D ₀₃	****	Σ-	P ₁₁	****	$\Xi(1620)$		*
• 7	$0^+(0^{-+})$	• p(1700)	$1^+(1^-)$	• K ⁰	1/2(0-)	• B*/B0 ADM	IXTURE	N(1520)	D12	****	A(1700)	Das	****	A(1600)	Pm	***	Σ(1385)	P13	****	=(1690)		***
 \$6(600) 	$0^+(0^{++})$	a2(1700)	$1^{-(2^{++})}$	• K9	1/2(0-)	 B[±]/B⁰/B⁰₃/l 	-baryon	N(1525)	c	****	A(1700)	035		A(1670)	C	****	5(1490)			=(1000)	0	***
 ρ(770) 	$1^{+}(1^{-})$	 f₀(1710) 	$0^{+}(0^{++})$	 K*(892) 	$1/2(1^{-})$	Ve and Ve (CKM Matrix	W(1555)	511		$\Delta(1750)$	P_{31}		V(1010)	501		Z(1400)		**	=(1020)	D ₁₃	
 ω(782) 	$0^{-}(1^{-})$	η(1760)	$0^+(0^{-+})$	 K₁(1270) 	$1/2(1^+)$	Elements	CITINE INSIETUS	N(1650)	S ₁₁	****	$\Delta(1900)$	S_{31}	**	A(1690)	D_{03}	****	2 (1560)		**	$\Xi(1950)$		***
 η (958) ϵ (980) 	$0^{+}(0^{+})$	• ±(1800)	$1(0^{+})$	 K1(1400) K1(1410) 	$1/2(1^{+})$	• B*	$1/2(1^{-})$	N(1675)	D15	****	$\Delta(1905)$	Fas	****	A(1800)	S ₀₁	***	Σ(1580)	D13	**	$\Xi(2030)$		***
• A ₀ (980)	$1^{-}(0^{+}+)$	• da(1850)	0-(3)	 K*(1410) K*(1430) 	1/2(1)	B ₃ (5732)	5(5.)	N(1680)	Fire	****	A(1010)	P.,	****	A(1810)	P	***	Σ(1620)	Su	**	=(2120)		
 \$\phi(1020)\$ 	0-(1)	72(1870)	$0^+(2^{-+})$	 Kt(1430) 	1/2(2+)	BOTTOM,	STRANGE	AI(1700)	1.12	***	A(1910)	131		/(1010)	1 01		5(1660)	D	***	=(2120)		**
 b1(1170) 	$0^{-}(1^{+})$	p(1900)	1+(1)	K(1460)	1/2(0-)	$(B = \pm 1,$, S = ∓1)	W(1700)	D_{13}		$\Delta(1920)$	P ₃₃	***	A(1820)	P05		2(1000)	P11		=(2250)		
 b₁(1235) 	$1^{+}(1^{+})$	f2(1910)	$0^{+}(2^{++})$	K2(1580)	1/2(2-)	• B ⁰ ₅	0(0-)	N(1710)	P ₁₁	***	$\Delta(1930)$	D35	***	A(1830)	D ₀₅	****	Σ(1670)	D ₁₃	****	$\Xi(2370)$		**
• a1(1260)	$1^{-}(1^{++})$	f2(1950)	$0^+(2^++)$	K(1630)	$1/2(?^{7})$	B [*] ₃	0(1-)	N(1720)	P13	****	A(1940)	Daa	*	A(1890)	Pna	****	Σ(1690)		**	$\Xi(2500)$		*
• f2(1270) • f2(1285)	$0^{+}(1^{+})$	$\lambda^{(2000)}$	$1^{-}(2^{?+})$	K1(1650)	1/2(1+)	B _* J(5850)	r(r.)	N(1900)	P	**	A(10E0)	E-33	****	4(2000)	- 63		5(1750)	S	***	-()		
• n(1295)	$0^+(0^{-+})$	• f2(010)	$0^+(2^{++})$	 K[*](1680) K[*](1770) 	1/2(1)	BOTTOM,	CHARMED	AI(1000)	113		D(1950)	r37		/(2000)	-		5(1770)	0		0-		
 π(1300) 	$1^{-}(0^{-+})$	fg(2020)	$0^+(0^{++})$	 K[*]₁(1780) 	1/2(3-)	(B = C	= ±1)	W(1990)	P17		$\Delta(2000)$	F35	**	A(2020)	F07	•	2(1/10)	P11		M		
 a₂(1320) 	$1^{-}(2^{++})$	 a₄(2040) 	$1^{-}(4^{++})$	 K₂(1820) 	1/2(2-)	• B _c	0(0)	N(2000)	F ₁₅	**	$\Delta(2150)$	S ₃₁	*	A(2100)	G ₀₇	****	Σ(1775)	D ₁₅	****	$\Omega(2250)^{-}$		***
• \$(1370)	$0^+(0^{++})$	• f ₄ (2050)	$0^+(4^{++})$	K(1830)	1/2(0-)	c	7	N(2080)	D13	**	$\Delta(2200)$	G	*	A(2110)	Fos	***	Σ(1840)	P ₁₃	*	$\Omega(2380)^{-}$		**
m1(1300)	1 - (1 - +)	#2(2100) 6(2100)	$0^+(0^+)$	$K_0^*(1950)$	1/2(0+)	 η_c(15) 	0+(0-+)	M(2090)	S.,	*	A(2200)	-31	**	4(2225)	0		5(1880)	P.,	**	0(2470)-		**
 f:(1420) 	$0^+(1^{++})$	f5(2150)	$0^+(2^{++})$	K2(1980)	1/2(2')	 J/ψ(1S) 	$0^{-}(1^{-})$	N(2050)	011		A(2300)	1739		1(2323)	203		E(1015)	r. 11	****	21(2410)		
 ω(1420) 	0-(1)	p(2150)	1+(1)	 K₄(2045) K₅(2250) 	1/2(4)	• $\chi_{c0}(1P)$	$0^+(0^+)$	W(2100)	P_{11}	÷	$\Delta(2350)$	D_{35}	*	A(2350)	H ₀₉	***	Z (1915)	P15		4+		****
f ₂ (1430)	0+(2++)	fg(2200)	$0^{+}(0^{++})$	K ₃ (2320)	1/2(3+)	h_(1P)	??(???)	N(2190)	G17	****	$\Delta(2390)$	F37	*	A(2585)		**	Σ(1940)	D_{13}	***	N ⁺ C		
 η(1440) η(1450) 	$0^+(0^{-+})$	f _J (2220)	$0^+(2^++)$	K [*] ₅ (2380)	1/2(5-)	 χ_{c2}(1P) 	$0^{+}(2^{+})$	N(2200)	D15	**	$\Delta(2400)$	Gaa	**				Σ(2000)	S11	*	$\Lambda_{c}(2593)^{+}$		***
• a0(1450) • a(1450)	$1^{+}(1^{-})$	72225)	$0^+(0^{-+})$	$K_4(2500)$	$1/2(4^{-})$	$\eta_c(25)$	0+(0-+)	N(2220)	Hen	****	A(2420)	- 39	****				5(2030)	E.z	****	A-(2625)+		***
 f₀(1500) 	$0^+(0^{++})$	P3(2250)	1+(3)	K(3100)	7'(7'')	• \$\$(25)	0-(1)	N(2220)	6		∆(2420)	13,11					5(2070)	5		A (2765)+		
f ₁ (1510)	$0^{+}(1^{++})$	 f₂(2300) 	$0^+(2^{++})$	CHAR	MED	• \$\$(3770) \$\$(3836)	0(1)	W(2250)	O ₁₉		$\Delta(2750)$	13,13	**				2(2010)	P15		n _c (2103)		
 f'_2(1525) 	$0^+(2^{++})$	f ₄ (2300)	$0^+(4^{++})$	(C =	±1)	• e (4040)	0-(1)	N(2600)	I _{1,11}	***	$\Delta(2950)$	K3 15	**				Σ(2080)	P ₁₃	**	$\Lambda_{c}(2880)^{+}$		**
f2(1565)	$0^+(2^++)$	f0(2330)	$0^+(2^+)$	• D*	1/2(0-)	 ψ(4160) 	0-(1)	N(2700)	K1 12	**	-,,	3,13					Σ(2100)	G17	*	$\Sigma_{c}(2455)$		****
n1(1595) T1(1600)	$1^{-}(1^{-+})$	Ps(2350)	1+(5)	• D*(2007)0	1/2(0)	• \$\$(4415)	0-(1)		1,13								T(2250)		***	Σ.(2520)		***
X(1600)	$2^{+}(2^{+})$	a ₆ (2450)	$1^{-}(6^{++})$	 D*(2010)[±] 	1/2(1-)	h	ī.										T(DAEE)		**	=+ =0		***
a1(1640)	$1^+(1^{++})$	£(2510)	$0^{+}(6^{++})$	 D₁(2420)⁰ 	$1/2(1^+)$	m(15)	0+(0-+)										2(2400)			-c'-c		
f ₂ (1640)	$0^+(2^{++})$	OTHER LIGHT	UNFLAVORED	$D_1(2420)^{\pm}$	1/2(??)	• T(15)	0-(1)										Σ(2620)		**	Ξ_c^{r+}, Ξ_c^{re}		***
η2(1645)	$0^+(2^-+)$	(S = C =	B = 0)	 D[*]₂(2460)⁰ 	1/2(2+)	 χ_{b0}(1P) 	0+(0++)										Σ(3000)		*	$\Xi_{c}(2645)$		***
• wa(1670)	0-(3)	Further States		 D[*]₂(2460)⁺ D[*]₂(2660)[±] 	1/2(2')	 χ_{b1}(1P) 	$0^{+}(1^{++})$										Σ(3170)		*	= (2790)		***
 π₂(1670) 	$1^{-}(2^{-+})$			D*(2640)*	1/2(1-)	 χ_{b2}(1P) χ_{b2}(1P) 	$0^{+}(2^{+})$ $0^{-}(1^{-})$										-(01.0)			= (0015)		
				CHARMED,	STRANGE	• Yw(2P)	$0^{+}(0^{+}+)$													$=_{c}(2815)$		***
				(C = 5	= ±1)	 x_{b1}(2P) 	$0^{+}(1^{++})$													Ω_c^0		***
				• D ₃	0(0-)	 χ_{b2}(2P) 	0+(2++)															
				• D. (2536)*	0(1+)	• T(35)	0-(1)													AQ.		***
				 D_*J(2573)[±] 	0(??)	• 7(45)	0 (1)													=0 =-		*
				843.575 A.O. 450		 T(11020) 	0-(1)													- p ₂ - p		210
		1																				-

baryons

Organizing Particles : Family and Friends

Organizing particles and forces together

- 1. Photons carry Electromagnetism and interact with charged particles It is described at a classical level by Maxwell's Equation and by a Spin-1 bosonic field invariant under a phase (U(1)) transformation. 2. Massive Gauge Bosons are responsible for the weak force, interacts with
 - 'Left-handed' Particles of the Universe. Invariant under rotations of a 2-sphere (SU(2)).
- 3. Gluons are responsible for carrying the strong force and interact with quarks and themselves.



The making of Standard Model: Unification of Electromagnetism and Weak Force

The Electroweak Theory : Unification of Weak and EM forces

Weak Interactions : Curie/Becquerel to Fermi

- Becquerel 1896 : discovered uranium salts spontaneously emit radiation
- that could be captured on plates.
- Marie/Pierre Curie 18989-1910 : New Radioactive elements
- Fermi theory 1933 : Beta decay can be explained by four fermi contact interactions mediated by a force without range
- 1954 : Yang and Lee suggested that weak interactions violate Charge and Parity
- 1957 : Wu experimentally confirmed symmetry violation





The making of Standard Model : C and P violation in weak interactions



A realistic experiment: the Wu experiment (1956)

- Observe radioactive decay of Cobalt-60 • nuclei
 - The process involved: ${}^{60}_{27}Co \rightarrow {}^{60}_{28}Ni + e^- + v_e^-$
 - ve are spin-1/2
 - If you start with fully polarized Co ($S_7=5$) the experiment is essentially the same (i.e. there is only one spin solution for the decay)

We introduce a new quantity: Helicity = the projection $H \equiv \frac{S \cdot p}{|S \cdot p|}$ of the spin on the direction of flight of a particle

- ⁶⁰₂₇Co is spin-5 and ⁶⁰₂₈Ni is spin-4, both e- and

 $|5,+5> \rightarrow |4,+4> + |\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}> + |\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}>$



The making of Standard Model : C and P violation in weak interactions



Physics conclusion: •

- Angular distribution of electrons shows that only pairs of lefthanded electrons / right-handed anti-neutrinos are emitted regardless of the emission angle
- Since right-handed electrons are known to exist (for electrons H is not Lorentz-invariant anyway), this means no left-handed anti-neutrinos are produced in weak decay

Experimental Test of Parity Conservation in Beta Decay*

C. S. Wu, Columbia University, New York, New York

AND

E. AMBLER, R. W. HAYWARD, D. D. HOPPES, AND R. P. HUDSON, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (Received January 15, 1957)

The surprising result: the counting rate is different

- Electrons are preferentially emitted in direction opposite of
- Careful analysis of results shows that experimental data is consistent with emission of left-handed (H=-1) electrons only at any angle!!

Parity is violated in weak processes ٠ Not just a little bit but 100%







The making of Standard Model : C and P violation in weak interactions

- Wu's experiment was shortly followed by another clever experiment by L. Lederman: Look at decay $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$
 - Pion has spin 0, μ , ν_{μ} both have spin $\frac{1}{2}$ \rightarrow spin of decay products must be oppositely aligned \rightarrow Helicity of muon is same as that of neutrino.



Ledermans result: All neutrinos are left-handed and all anti-neutrinos are right-handed

> C symmetry is broken by the weak interaction, just like P

- What about $C+P \equiv CP$ symmetry?
 - CP symmetry is parity conjugation $(x,y,z \rightarrow -x,-y,z)$ followed by charge conjugation $(X \rightarrow X)$



CP appears to be preserved in weak interaction!







The Electroweak SU(2) X U(1) Theory

• Bolstered by the success of quantizing Electrodynamics (QED) in 1940's, the stock of early

perturbation theory, i.e can't be "re-normalized"

- Early theories of strong interactions (Yukawa theory) had another problem, perturbation theory was useless. (The age of "Radial" and "Azimuthal" physicists described by Weinberg)
 - •Three good ideas emerged in the 1950's: a) Quark Model (Gell-Mann, Zweig)

masses of fermions and gauge bosons

QFT rose a lot, and then crashed in the 1950's since 4-fermi EW interactions led to infinities ir

- b) Idea of local (gauge) symmetries (Yang-Mills)
- c) Idea of Spontaneously broken symmetry

(Landau-Ginzburg-Nambu-Goldstone)

·Famously Weinberg didn't believe in quarks so he started with leptons and the problem of





The Electroweak SU(2) X U(1) Theory















Electromagnetic Force







Unified Electroweak theory





mesons are octets and singlets.

QCD and SU(3)

- The 1950's and 60's were golden times for QFT. A new particle was
- found every second day, and fuelled by the cold war, many new
- accelerators and experiments came along.
- •Gell-Mann and Ne'eman (1961-62) described the octet of baryons
 - in an SU(3) group described by 3 X 3 Unitary matrices with
 - determinant 1. Baryons come in octets and decuplets,
- Gell-Mann and Zweig (1964) proposed the guark model, stating that
 - Baryons and Mesons are composed of fundamental particles called quarks.



Deep Inelastic Scattering Experiments 1974 3 jet process Confirmed gluons as the force careers of strong interactions



QCD and gluons





SU(2)XU(1) breaks to U(1) electromagnetic via Higgs mechanism

Quarks are charged under SU(3) color. Left Handed quarks are also charged under SU(2)XU(1). Right handed quarks are charged under U(1)

> 3 doublets of left handed fermions + 6 right handed quarks+ 3 right handed leptons

Left-handed leptons are charged under both SU(2) and U(1). Right handed leptons are charged only under U(1).



Photon and W/Z carry the EW force

No masses for Electroweak gauge bosons and fermions in unbroken SM

Noether's theorem and Standard Model Charges

Noether's Theorem Line Symmetry

Noether's Theorem says if a system has a continuous symmetry, then there must be corresponding quantities whose values are conserved.

Emmy Noether 1882 - 1935

&

 $\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}$

Interaction		Electric	Weak	Weak		L	eft-chiral	fermions	Right-chiral fermions					
mediated	Boson	charge Q	isospin hypercha T ₃ Y _W		Fermion family		Electric	Weak	Weak hyper-		Electric	Weak	1	
Weak	\mathbf{W}^{\pm}	±1	±1	0			Q	T ₃	charge Yw		Q	T ₃	C	
	Z	0	0	0		V., V., V.	0	+ 1/2	-1	No interacti	on, if they even exist			
Electromagnetic	γ ⁰	0	0	0	Leptons	e, μ, τ	-1	- <u>1</u>	-1	$e_{B}^{-}, \mu_{B}^{-}, \tau_{B}^{-}$	-1	0		
Strong	g	0	0	0		u, c, t	+2/3	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{3}$	u _R , c _R , t _R	+2/3	0		
Higgs	H	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	+1	Quarks	d, s, b	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{3}$	d _R , s _R , b _R	- <u>1</u> 3	0		

$$T: \phi \longmapsto \phi + \Delta \phi$$

$$\mathcal{L} \stackrel{T}{\longmapsto} \mathcal{L}$$

$$Q(\nu_L) = I_3(\nu_L) + Y/2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$Q(e_L^-) = I_3(e_L^-) + Y/2 = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 0 \quad , \quad j^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\phi)} \Delta \phi$$

=	0
=	-1.

The problem of massive fermions

- Check U(1):
 - Transformation: $\psi \to \psi' = e^{i\vartheta} \ \psi \qquad \overline{\psi} \to \overline{\psi}' = \overline{\psi}e^{-i\vartheta}$
 - In mass term : $m_{\psi}\overline{\psi}\psi \to m_{\psi'}\overline{\psi'}\psi' = m_{\psi}\overline{\psi}\psi$
- No obvious problem with fermion masses here. So is it a problem of non-abelian gauge symmetries?

• Check SU(3): Similarly no problem in $SU(3) \rightarrow$ no problem of non-Abelian gauge field theories. It is the distinction between left- (ψ_L) and right-handed (e_R) fermions, with \bullet different coupling structure: (1) $m_e \overline{e}e = m_e (e_L + e_R)(e_L + e_R) = m_e \overline{e}_R e_L + m_e \overline{e}_L e_R$ SU(2) singlet

- Symmetry is present in the system (i.e. in the Lagrangian density ${\cal L}$).
- BUT it is broken in the ground state (i.e. in the quantum vacuum).
- Three examples (from classical mechanics):

Standard Model of Elementary Particles

The Remarkable Success of the Standard Model

Quantity	Value	standard model
$M_Z \ (\text{GeV})$	91.187 ± 0.007	input
Γ_Z (GeV)	2.491 ± 0.007	$2.490 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.005 \pm [0$
$R = \Gamma_{had} / \Gamma_{l\bar{l}}$	20.87 ± 0.07	$20.78 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm [0.0$
$\sigma_p^h(nb)$	41.33 ± 0.18	$41.42 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \pm [0.0$
$\Gamma_{b\bar{b}}$ (MeV)	373 ± 9	$375.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.5 \pm [1.3]$
$A_{FB}(\mu)$	0.0152 ± 0.0027	$0.0141 \pm 0.0005 \pm 0.0010$
$A_{pol}(\tau)$	0.140 ± 0.018	$0.137 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.005$
$A_e(P_{\tau})$	0.134 ± 0.030	$0.137 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.005$
$A_{FB}(b)$	0.093 ± 0.012	$0.096 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.003$
$A_{FB}(c)$	0.072 ± 0.027	$0.068 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.003$
A_{LR}	0.100 ± 0.044	$0.137 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.005$
$\Gamma_{l\bar{l}}$ (MeV)	83.43 ± 0.29	$83.66 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13$
Γ_{had} (MeV)	1741.2 ± 6.6	$1739 \pm 1 \pm 4 \pm [6]$
Γ_{inv} (MeV)	499.5 ± 5.6	$500.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.9$
N_{ν}	3.004 ± 0.035	3
\bar{g}_A	-0.4999 ± 0.0009	-0.5
\bar{g}_V	-0.0351 ± 0.0025	$-0.0344 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.001$
$\bar{s}_W^2(A_{FB}(q))$	0.2329 ± 0.0031	$0.2328 \pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0007$ =
M_W (GeV)	79.91 ± 0.39	$80.18 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13$
M_W/M_Z	0.8813 ± 0.0041	$0.8793 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0014$
$Q_W(Cs)$	$-71.04 \pm 1.58 \pm [0.88]$	$-73.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$
$g^e_A(\nu e \rightarrow \nu e)$	-0.503 ± 0.017	$-0.505 \pm 0 \pm 0.001$
$g_V^e(\nu e \rightarrow \nu e)$	-0.025 ± 0.020	$-0.036 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.001$
$\sin^2 \theta_W$	$0.2242 \pm 0.0042 \pm [0.0047]$	$0.2269 \pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0025$

Standard Model Global Fit Parameters from gfitter group 2021

The "Unnatural" Higgs

The "Unnatural" Higgs

**** A symmetry that protects it from using the gym, à la "New Physics"**

Expectation : New Physics at GeV-TeV scale, within reach of LHC

A scalar partner to "work-out"

See for example Nathaniel Craig's summary (2205.05708) for Snowmass 2023

Real Problems of the Standard Model

"Interstellar" Black Hole

Real Problems of the Standard Model

Needs new sources of Charge and Parity violation

A mechanism for Neutrino masses

"Interstellar" Black Hole

A theory of quantum gravity

Real Problems of the Standard Model

Needs new sources of Charge and Parity violation

The biggest deficiency of them all: **Dark Matter**

A mechanism for Neutrino masses

"Interstellar" Black Hole

A theory of quantum gravity

Is String Theory the Ultimate Answer

- Two keystones of fundamental physics:
 - 1. Einstein's theory of gravity [1915]
 - 2. Quantum theory: [1920-1930]
 - Standard model of elementary particle physics \Rightarrow Electromagnetic, weak and strong forces
- Not known how to combine the two!

• The most promising ansatz:

• Both keystones are intimately connected

Holographic Universe

Holographic principle: Strings in the bulk of space-time (Anti-de-Sitter space), quantum particles (gluons) on the boundary

Two dual descriptions of **one** physical entity: Gauge theory $\hat{=}$ String theory in AdS

Multiverses

Barne's diagram

The It from Qubit

Spacetime from Entanglement : van Raamsdonck 2011,2019

"Emergence of classically connected spacetimes is Intimately related to quantum entanglement of degrees of freedom in a non-perturbative description on quantum gravity"

Tasi lectures : 1609.00026

ΠH

There is nothing new to be discovered in physics. All that remains is more and more precise measurement.

