Prospects and challenges for highrepetition-rate plasma sources for future colliders

Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy

Department of Physics & John Adams Institute University of Oxford

Prospects and challenges for highrepetition-rate plasma sources for future colliders

Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy

Department of Physics & John Adams Institute University of Oxford

Challenges

- Orders of magnitude
- Potential solutions
- Recent work on plasma sources
- Summary

Challenges



$$U_{\rm ion} = n_e E_{\rm ion}$$
$$U_{\rm wake} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 E_0^2$$

- The energy density of the wakefield is ~ 3 orders of magnitude than that required to generate the plasma
- Note: 1 kJ cm-3 gives ~ 10 J total energy for a 100 µm × 100 µm × 1 m plasma
- Removing this residual energy after acceleration is the challenge



က

J_{ion} / kJ cm

Orders of magnitude I: Estimate of stored energy







$$U_{\rm ion} = n_e E_{\rm ion}$$
$$U_{\rm wake} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 E_0^2$$

- The energy density of the wakefield is ~ 3 orders of magnitude than that required to generate the plasma
- Note: 1 kJ cm-3 gives ~ 10 J total energy for a 100 µm × 100 µm × 1 m plasma
- Removing this residual energy after acceleration is the challenge



က

J_{ion} / kJ cm

Orders of magnitude I: Estimate of stored energy











$$U_{\rm ion} = n_e E_{\rm ion}$$
$$U_{\rm wake} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 E_0^2$$

- The energy density of the wakefield is ~ 3 orders of magnitude than that required to generate the plasma
- Note: 1 kJ cm-3 gives ~ 10 J total energy for a 100 µm × 100 µm × 1 m plasma
- Removing this residual energy after acceleration is the challenge



က

U_{ion} / kJ cm

Orders of magnitude I: Estimate of stored energy



Energy density in wakefield 10 ლ | ر الالك *W_{wake} / kJ cm* 0.10 0.01 20 40 60 80 $\mathbf{0}$ 100 $E_0 / \text{GV} m^{-1}$









Parameter	Energy gain per stage (GeV)	Cell length (m)	Gradient (GV/m)	Charge (nC)	f _{rep} (kHz)	Wake-to- beam efficiency	Avg. cooling gradient (kW/
LWFA collider (1, 3, 15 TeV)	5	1.7	3.3	0.2	50	0.75	11
HALHF	32	5	6.4	1.6	10	0.53	91
XFEL Booster	10	2	5	0.3	10	0.42	21



Orders of magnitude II: Required power extraction









Parameter	Energy gain per stage (GeV)	Cell length (m)	Gradient (GV/m)	Charge (nC)	f _{rep} (kHz)	Wake-to- beam efficiency	Avg. cooling gradient (kW/
LWFA collider (1, 3, 15 TeV)	5	1.7	3.3	0.2	50	0.75	11
HALHF	32	5	6.4	1.6	10	0.53	91
XFEL Booster	10	2	5	0.3	10	0.42	21



Orders of magnitude II: Required power extraction

CLIC cooling is O(10 kW / m)









- After acceleration plasma will:
- Contain wakefield energy
- Have non-uniform densities of various species
- Have gradients of temperature & other properties
- Be at least partially ionized

- Before the next drive pulse the plasma needs either to:
 - Recover

• ...

- Redistribute to uniform density
- Recombine
- Cool
- ...
- Be replaced / refreshed



The challenge







- After acceleration plasma will:
 - Contain wakefield energy
 - Have non-uniform densities of various species
- Have gradients of temperature & other properties
- Be at least partially ionized

- Before the next drive pulse the plasma needs either to:
- Recover

• ...

- Redistribute to uniform density
- Recombine
- Cool
- . . .
- Be replaced / refreshed



The challenge

There are (at least) four possible strategies:

- Move the plasma of the way 1.
- Do nothing (wait) 2.
- Manipulate existing re-combining plasma 3.
- Energy recovery 4.









$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma k_B T}{M}} \approx 1.3 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$



- Assume can move gas at speed ~ c_s
- Time to move by 1 mm ~ 1 μ s
- Max repetition rate ~ 1 MHz



Strategy 1: Move the plasma









- Before the next drive pulse the plasma needs either to:
 - Recover
 - Redistribute to uniform density
 - Recombine
 - Cool
 - ...
- How long does this take?



Strategy 2: Wait





- Before the next drive pulse the plasma needs either to:
 - Recover
 - Redistribute to uniform density
 - Recombine
 - Cool
 - ...
- How long does this take?





Some processes to consider:

- Wakefield decay
- Free-streaming ions
- Additional ionization
- Heat conduction in plasma & gas
- Density redistribution
- Plasma expulsion
- Electron-ion recombination
- Radiation











Adams Institute for Accelerator Science





Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

Timescales: Total plasma recovery time

R. D'Arcy et al., Nature 603, 58-62 (2022)

- ▶ Plasma recovers in < 100 ns \Rightarrow 10 MHz rep-rate in principle ...
- Includes effects of:
 - Ion motion
 - Plasma & gas re-distribution
- But does not include mean-power effects, e.g.:
 - Increase in mean plasma temperature







Strategy 3: Manipulate whatever plasma still exists







Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

Garland et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 92 013505 (2021)



- Timescale for "plasma recovery" ~ 100 ns, but...
- ... time to eject / replenish plasma much longer for some geometries
- Will need to manipulate the remaining plasma for a MHz collider i.e. μ s timescale \rightarrow e.g. limit expulsion, counteract recombination









Energy removal

- Remove unused wake energy with additional trailing driver(s)
- Energy recovery
- In addition use / convert extracted energy



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, . 19 - 22 March 2024

Strategy 4: Energy removal & recovery







- Energy removal
- Remove unused wake energy with additional trailing driver(s)
- Energy recovery
- In addition use / convert extracted energy



Strategy 4: Energy removal & recovery









- Remove unused wake energy with additional trailing driver(s)
- Energy recovery
- In addition use / convert extracted energy





Strategy 4: Energy removal & recovery

J. Cowley et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 044802 (2017)

Astra TA2 experiments

► 500 mJ, 40 fs Ti:sapphire pulse converted to train of N = 1 - 7 pulses

For N = 2 pulses rel. wake amplitude reduced from 0.6% for <u>single pulse</u> to 0.34% by out-of-phase trailing pulse

~70 % of wake energy removed!



-500

0

Time (fs)



Progress in developing plasma sources



Common requirements

- Well-defined & controllable density
- Controlled longitudinal density profile at entrance/exit (emittance matching)
- Reproducibility
- Long operating lifetime
- Accessible to diagnostics
- Limited gas load to rest of system
- No windows (to preserve bunch emittance)

•



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

What do we need from the plasma source?







Common requirements

- Well-defined & controllable density
- Controlled longitudinal density profile at entrance/exit (emittance matching)
- Reproducibility
- Long operating lifetime
- Accessible to diagnostics
- Limited gas load to rest of system
- No windows (to preserve bunch emittance)

•



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

What do we need from the plasma source?



Guiding?

•

Possibly, control of longitudinal profile ("tapering")





Common requirements

- Well-defined & controllable density
- Controlled longitudinal density profile at entrance/exit (emittance matching)
- Reproducibility
- Long operating lifetime
- Accessible to diagnostics
- Limited gas load to rest of system
- No windows (to preserve bunch emittance)

•



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

What do we need from the plasma source?





John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science







- AWAKE Run 2 plasma source:
- Baseline: 10 m Rb vapour source ionized by TW laser
- Limitation: laser pulse intensity depletion for length > 10 m
- Also investigating discharge sources:
- Dedicated plasma source labs at CERN
- 5 collaborating institutes
- Addressing challenges of density, uniformity, reproducibility, scalability
- Helicon plasma source
- RF wave heated plasma, pulsed 10 Hz rep. rate
- Discharge plasma source
 - Pulsed-DC discharge, 1 Hz rep-rate



Plasma sources for AWAKE





EPFL

ollege of Engineering

Discharge plasma source

















- Plasma for AWAKE Experiment
- Length scalable 1 m to few km
- Plasma density 1-10 x 10¹⁴ cm⁻³ uniformity/reproducibility/ control $\sim 0.1\%$
- heavy ions (\geq Argon)
- Solution [1]
- Sequence of Direct Current Cold Cathode Discharges with
- ... Common Cathodes and Anodes and
- ... Current Balancing
- ... with high-Voltage ignition + high-current precise plasma heating [2]
- Prototypes of single and double plasmas (with common) cathode)
- Demonstrated up to 10 m length [3]
- 3 to 10 m long plasmas, Helium to Xenon self-modulated 400 GeV proton bunches in AWAKE (2023) [3,4,5]



Plasma sources for AWAKE: Discharge sources

[1] N. C. Lopes et al. in preparation [2] N. Torrado et al. IEEE Trans. Plas. Sci. doi: 10.1109/TPS.2023.3337314 [3] C. Amoedo et al. in preparation [4] L. Verra et al. in preparation [5] M. Turner et al. in preparation









- (turbo and primary pumps cooling system)





ALEGRO workshop 2024, Lisbon, 19 – 22 March



Angelo.Biagioni@lnf.infn.it









High repetition rate capillary discharge for EuPRAXIA

Capillary discharge operating at 50 Hz











High repetition rate capillary discharge for EuPRAXIA

Capillary discharge operating at 50 Hz





- MHz operation is required for all burst-mode RF accelerators
- Likely required for HALHF
- Certainly needed for some FELs e.g. FLASHForward, XFEL
- ▶ Plasma lives on the μ s timescale → must massage what still exists to approximately the same state
- Limit expulsion \rightarrow geometry changes
- Counteract recombination...
- FLASHForward has driven the development of a MHz discharge system
- Based on solid-state technology



Plasma sources for HALHF/FLASHForward: high repetition rate (MHz)

gas inlet copper electrode 10 mm sapphire plates plasma













- Current discharge-capillary technology operates with power gradients of ~5 W/m
- ... yet heating/burning of the capillaries is evident after tens of thousands of shots
- HALHF and FEL plasma boosters must survive millions of shots with **O**(10 kW/m) power gradients
- Orders of magnitude beyond the state of the art
- Many technological developments needed:
- Novel plasma-source designs
- Robust materials e.g. grown polycrystalline diamond (previously used at BELLA)
- Active (liquid) temperature stabilisation



Plasma sources for HALHF/FLASHForward: high average power (10 kW/m)















- Create & heat column of hot plasma
- Expansion into surrounding cold gas / plasma drives cylindrical blast wave
- Plasma channel formed within expanding shell
- Attractive for high rep rate since free-standing and "indestructible"
- Collisional ionization(I ~ 10^{14} W cm⁻²) limits channels to high density $n_{\rm e} >$ 10¹⁸ cm⁻³
- ▶ Optical field ionization (I ~ 10^{16} W cm⁻²) is density independent \Rightarrow low density channels $n_{\rm e} << 10^{18}$ cm⁻³



All-optical plasma channels

Durfee & Milchberg, Phys Rev. Lett. 71 2409 (1993) Volbeyn et al. Phys. Plas. 6 2269 (1999) Lemos et al., POP 20 063102 (2013), Lemos et al., POP 20 103109 (2013) S. M. Hooker et al., AAC (2016) R.J. Shalloo et al. Phys Rev E 97 053203 (2018)









- Create & heat column of hot plasma
- Expansion into surrounding cold gas / plasma drives cylindrical blast wave
- Plasma channel formed within expanding shell
- Attractive for high rep rate since free-standing and "indestructible"
- Collisional ionization(I ~ 10^{14} W cm⁻²) limits channels to high density $n_{\rm e} >$ 10¹⁸ cm⁻³
- ▶ Optical field ionization (I ~ 10^{16} W cm⁻²) is density independent \Rightarrow low density channels $n_{\rm e} << 10^{18}$ cm⁻³





All-optical plasma channels

Durfee & Milchberg, Phys Rev. Lett. 71 2409 (1993) Volbeyn et al. Phys. Plas. 6 2269 (1999) Lemos et al., POP 20 063102 (2013), Lemos et al., POP 20 103109 (2013) S. M. Hooker et al., AAC (2016) R.J. Shalloo et al. Phys Rev E 97 053203 (2018)

 \sim axicon hot plasma Bessel column beam















• Power attenuation length of CHOFI channel is $L_{\text{att}} > 20 \text{ m}!$



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

Conditioned HOFI channels

D. Spence & S. M. Hooker, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 17 1565 (2000)

- R.J. Shalloo et al. Phys Rev Accel. Beam 22 41302 (2019)
 - A. Picksley et al. Phys Rev E 102 053201 (2020)
 - L. Feder et al. *Phys Rev Res* **2** 043173 (2020)
 - B. Maio et al. Phys Rev Lett 125 074801 (2020)



- Two-colour interferometry experiments by Milchberg group show collar of neutral gas pushed out by initial shock
- Collar ionized by transverse wings of guided / conditioning pulse
- Can also use high-order Bessel beam to condition the HOFI channel







hn Adams Institute for Accelerator Science





Generated HOFI channels at 0.4 kHz for 6.5 hours with no degradation of channel properties or optics



Simon Hooker & Richard D'Arcy ALEGRO Workshop 2024, 19 - 22 March 2024

Operation of HOFI channels at kHz rep. rate





- for the driver)
- Plasma recovery time (~ 100 ns?) allows high (> 1 MHz ?) operation in principle...
- ... but still need to develop systems capable of handling very high mean power deposition
- Further work is required on:
- Better understanding of the physics of "plasma recovery"
- Modelling of gas & plasma flow
- Development of systems for moving gas in / out of the accelerator stage
- High repetition rate discharges
- Energy recovery schemes
- Simulations of plasma recovery over ~ 100 ns timescale
- What is needed?
 - Identification of common goals and areas of collaboration
 - Additional expertise (engineers,...)
 - Funding!



Summary

Operating plasma accelerators at high repetition rates presents formidable challenges for the plasma source (as well as

