

# SIDIS longitudinal-spin-dependent asymmetries at COMPASS



### Bakur Parsamyan

AANL(Yerevan), CERN and INFN (Torino)





6<sup>th</sup> COMPASS Analysis Phase mini-workshop (COMAP-VI) January 24<sup>th</sup>, 2024, CERN

24 January 2024

### **COMPASS** collaboration

Common Muon and Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy



- 25 institutions from 13 countries – nearly 200 physicists (in 2022)
- CERN SPS north area
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997 (25 years)
- Taking data since 2002 (20 years)

International Workshop on Hadron Structure and Spectroscopy IWHSS-2022 workshop (anniversary edition) CERN Globe, August 29-31, 2022

https://indico.cern.ch/e/IWHSS-2022



 $\odot$ 

众

U.

COMPASS

Glueball

### **COMPASS** collaboration

Common Muon and Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy





- 28 institutions from 14 countries
- nearly 210 physicists (in 2023: start of the Analysis Phase)
- CERN SPS north area
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997 (25 years)
- Taking data since 2002 (20 years)

#### Wide physics program COMPASS-I

- Data taking 2002-2011
- Muon and hadron beams
- Nucleon spin structure
- Spectroscopy

### **COMPASS-II**

- Data taking 2012-2022
- Primakoff
- DVCS (GPD+SIDIS)
- Polarized Drell-Yan
- Transverse deuteron SIDIS 2022

3 new groups joined the COMPASS collaboration in 2023 UCon (US), AANL (Armenia), NCU (Taiwan)



### COMPASS web page: http://www.compass.cern.ch

24 January 2024

 $\odot$ 

✡



24 January 2024

B. Parsamyan

## Kinematics 2007(160 GeV/c), 2011 (200 GeV/c)



Two years of longitudinal data with NH<sub>3</sub> target: 2007: 160 GeV  $\mu^+$  – beam 2011: 200 GeV  $\mu^+$  – beam

Kinematic cuts

DIS variables:  $Q^2 > 1 (GeV/c)^2$  0.0025 < x < 0.7 0.1 < y < 0.9 $W > 5 GeV/c^2$ 

Hadronic cuts: z>0.2, 0.1<z<0.2 p<sub>T</sub>>0.1 GeV/c

Comparable kinematic distributions

OMPASS

### Kinematics 2007(160 GeV/c), 2011 (200 GeV/c)





Comparable kinematic distributions Only results from merged 2007+2011 sample are shown

24 January 2024

### SIDIS x-section and TMDs at twist-2



### SIDIS x-section and TMDs at twist-2

SIDIS x-section and TMDs at twist-2  

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = All \text{ measured by COMPASS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzd\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzd\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydz\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydz\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydd\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydd\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured by COMPAS}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{dxdyd\phi_{t}^{2}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{t}d\phi_{s}} = Ald \text{ measured b$$

24 January 2024

$$C = \int_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 + \dots \\ + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 + \varepsilon\right)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_h} \sin2\phi_h\right] \right] \\ + S_L \lambda \left[\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 - \varepsilon\right)} A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h\right] \int d\theta_h d\phi_s \right]$$

**COMPASS** 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 + \dots \\ + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 + \varepsilon\right)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_h} \sin2\phi_h\right] \\ + S_L \lambda \left[\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 - \varepsilon\right)} A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h\right] \right\}$$

$$A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \stackrel{WW}{\propto} Q^{-1} \left( h_{1L}^{\perp q} \otimes H_{1q}^{\perp h} + \ldots \right) \leftarrow \begin{cases} A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_s)} \propto f_{1T}^{\perp q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} \\ \\ A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)} \propto h_{1}^{q} \otimes H_{1q}^{\perp h} \end{cases}$$
$$A_{UL}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)} \propto h_{1}^{q} \otimes H_{1q}^{\perp h} \leftarrow \begin{cases} A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h - \phi_s)} \stackrel{WW}{\propto} Q^{-1} \left( h_{1}^{q} \otimes H_{1q}^{\perp h} + \ldots \right) \end{cases}$$

 $\underline{A_{UL}^{\sin 3\phi_h} \leftrightarrow A_{UT}^{\sin (3\phi_h - \phi_s)} \propto h_{1T}^{\perp q} \otimes H_{1q}^{\perp h}}$ 

$$\begin{split} A_{LL} &\propto g_{1L}^{q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} \leftarrow \left\{ A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_{s})} \stackrel{WW}{\propto} Q^{-1} \left( g_{1T}^{q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} + \ldots \right) \right. \\ A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_{h}} \stackrel{WW}{\propto} Q^{-1} \left( g_{1L}^{q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} + \ldots \right) \leftarrow \left\{ A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_{h} - \phi_{s})} \propto g_{1T}^{q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} \right. \\ A_{LL}^{\cos 2\phi_{h}} \leftrightarrow A_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_{h} - \phi_{s})} \stackrel{WW}{\propto} Q^{-1} \left( g_{1T}^{q} \otimes D_{1q}^{h} + \ldots \right) \end{split}$$



 $\sin \theta = \gamma \sqrt{\frac{1 - y - \frac{1}{4}\gamma^2 y^2}{1 + \gamma^2}}, \ \gamma = \frac{2Mx}{Q};$  $\theta \xrightarrow{\text{Bjorken limit}} 0 \Longrightarrow S_T \simeq P_T, \ S_L \simeq P_L$ 



24 January 2024



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 + \dots \\ + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_h} \sin2\phi_h\right] \\ + S_L \lambda \left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1-\varepsilon)} A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h\right] \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{LL}^{1} &= \mathcal{C}\left\{g_{1L}^{q}D_{1q}^{h}\right\}\\ F_{UL}^{\sin\phi_{h}} &= \frac{2M}{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{C}\left\{-\frac{\hat{h}\cdot p_{T}}{M_{h}}\left(xh_{L}^{q}H_{1q}^{\perp h} + \frac{M_{h}}{M}g_{1L}^{q}\frac{\tilde{G}_{q}^{\perp h}}{z}\right)\right.\\ &+ \frac{\hat{h}\cdot k_{T}}{M}\left(xf_{L}^{\perp q}D_{1q}^{h} - \frac{M_{h}}{M}h_{1L}^{\perp q}\frac{\tilde{H}_{q}^{h}}{z}\right)\right\}\\ F_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_{h}} &= \mathcal{C}\left\{-\frac{2\left(\hat{h}\cdot p_{T}\right)\left(\hat{h}\cdot k_{T}\right) - p_{T}\cdot k_{T}}{MM_{h}}h_{1L}^{\perp q}H_{1q}^{\perp h}\right\}\\ F_{LL}^{\cos\phi_{h}} &= \frac{2M}{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{C}\left\{-\frac{\hat{h}\cdot p_{T}}{M_{h}}\left(xe_{L}^{q}H_{1q}^{\perp h} + \frac{M_{h}}{M}g_{1L}^{q}\frac{\tilde{D}_{q}^{\perp h}}{z}\right)\right.\\ &+ \frac{\hat{h}\cdot k_{T}}{M}\left(xg_{L}^{\perp q}D_{1q}^{h} - \frac{M_{h}}{M}h_{1L}^{\perp q}\frac{\tilde{E}_{q}^{h}}{z}\right)\right\} \end{split}$$



COMPASS

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 + \dots \\ + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 + \varepsilon\right)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_h} \sin2\phi_h\right] \right\} \\ + S_L \lambda \left[\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 - \varepsilon\right)} A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h\right] \right\}$$

COMPASS collected large amount of L-SIDIS data

Unprecedented precision for some amplitudes!  $A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h}$ 

- Q-suppression, Various different "twist" ingredients
- Sizable TSA-mixing
- Significant h<sup>+</sup> asymmetry, clear *z*-dependence
- h<sup>-</sup> compatible with zero

 $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$ 

- Only "twist-2" ingredients
- Additional p<sub>T</sub>-suppression
- Compatible with zero, in agreement with models
- Collins-like behavior?

 $A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h}$ 

- Q-suppression, Various different "twist" ingredients
- Compatible with zero, in agreement with models



*COMPASS* 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_{T}^{2}d\phi_{h}d\phi_{s}} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 + \dots \\ + S_{L} \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_{h}}\sin\phi_{h} + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_{h}}\sin2\phi_{h}\right] \\ + S_{L}\lambda \left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_{h}}\cos\phi_{h}\right] \right\}$$

COMPASS collected large amount of L-SIDIS data

Unprecedented precision for some amplitudes!  $\sin \phi_h$ 

 $A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h}$ 

- Q-suppression, Various different "twist" ingredients
- Sizable TSA-mixing
- Significant h<sup>+</sup> asymmetry, clear *z*-dependence
- h<sup>-</sup> compatible with zero

 $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$ 

- Only "twist-2" ingredients
- Additional p<sub>T</sub>-suppression
- Compatible with zero, in agreement with models
- Collins-like behavior?

 $A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h}$ 

- Q-suppression, Various different "twist" ingredients
- Compatible with zero, in agreement with models



COMPASS



- Q-suppression, TSA-mixing
- Various different "twist" ingredients
- Non-zero trend for h<sup>+</sup>, h<sup>-</sup> compatible with zero



- Q-suppression, TSA-mixing
- Various different "twist" ingredients
- Non-zero trend for  $h^+$ ,  $h^-$  compatible with zero, clear *z*-dependence

24 January 2024



24 January 2024

B. Parsamyan

16



• Non-zero trend for h<sup>+</sup>, h<sup>-</sup> compatible with zero, clear *z*-dependence

24 January 2024

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_L \sqrt{2\varepsilon \left(1 + \varepsilon\right)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \dots \right\}$ 



• Non-zero trend for  $h^+$ ,  $h^-$  compatible with zero, clear *z*-dependence

24 January 2024

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

24 January 2024

B. Parsamyan

# The $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$ asymmetry $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_hd\phi_s} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \{1 + ... + S_L \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} \sin 2\phi_h + ... \}$

$$F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} = \mathbb{C}\left\{-\frac{2(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T)(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_T) - \boldsymbol{p}_T \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_T}{MM_h}h_{1L}^{\perp q}H_{1q}^{\perp h}\right\}$$

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Only "twist-2" ingredients
- Additional p<sub>T</sub>-suppression
- Collins-like behavior?
- In agreement with model predictions
- Discrepancy with HERMES and JLab?

24 January 2024

OMPAS

# The $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$ asymmetry $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_hd\phi_s} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \{1 + ... + S_L \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} \sin 2\phi_h + ... \}$

$$F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} = \mathcal{C}\left\{-\frac{2(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T)(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_T) - \boldsymbol{p}_T \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_T}{MM_h}h_{1L}^{\perp q}H_{1q}^{\perp h}\right\}$$

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Only "twist-2" ingredients
- Additional p<sub>T</sub>-suppression
- Collins-like behavior?
- In agreement with model predictions
- Discrepancy with HERMES and JLab? 24 January 2024

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

Proton 2007+2011 data

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

PRL 105,262002(2010)

### The **A**<sub>LL</sub> asymmetry

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_L \lambda \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \dots\right\}$ 

$$F_{LL}^1 = \mathcal{C}\left\{g_{1L}^q D_{1q}^h\right\}$$

- Measurement of (semi-)inclusive A<sub>1</sub>(A<sub>LL</sub>) is one of the key physics topics of HERMES/COMPASS
- Large amount of P/D data
- No P<sub>T</sub>-dependence observed

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

COMPASS: PLB 693 (2010) 227-235

![](_page_21_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_10.jpeg)

24 January 2024

B. Parsamyan

### The **A**<sub>LL</sub> asymmetry

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_L \lambda \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \dots\right\}$ 

$$F_{LL}^1 = \mathcal{C}\left\{g_{1L}^q D_{1q}^h\right\}$$

- Measurement of (semi-)inclusive  $A_1(A_{LL})$  is one of the key physics topics of HERMES/COMPASS
- Large amount of P/D data
- No P<sub>T</sub>-dependence observed

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

24 January 2024

### The $A_{LL}$ asymmetry

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_L \lambda \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \dots\right\}$$

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}$ 

$$F_{LL}^1 = \mathcal{C}\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{1L}^{\boldsymbol{q}}\boldsymbol{D}_{1\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{h}}\right\}$$

- Measurement of (semi-)inclusive  $A_1(A_{LL})$  is one of the key physics topics of HERMES/COMPASS
- Large amount of P/D data
- No P<sub>T</sub>-dependence observed

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

• HERMES/COMPASS - small and compatible with zero, in agreement with model predictions

24 January 2024

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Q-suppression, various different "twist" ingredients
- Measured to be non zero at CLAS6, what about CLAS12?
- HERMES/COMPASS small and compatible with zero, in agreement with model predictions

24 January 2024

**COMPASS** 25 years 1997 - 2022

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

• HERMES/COMPASS - small and compatible with zero, in agreement with model predictions

24 January 2024

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Theoretical Framework: Di-hadron SIDIS**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\mu(l) + p(P) \rightarrow \mu(l') + h_1^+(P_1) + h_2^-(P_2) + X$ 

Bacchetta & Radici: Phys. Rev. D69 094002 1997 - 202 Bacchetta & Radici & Gliske: Phys. Rev. D90 114027

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

$$oldsymbol{R}_{\perp} \leftrightarrow oldsymbol{R}_{T} = rac{z_2 oldsymbol{P}_{1\perp} - z_1 oldsymbol{P}_{2\perp}}{z_1 + z_2} \hspace{1cm} ext{with} \hspace{1cm} z_i = rac{E_i}{E - E'}$$

- $\blacksquare$  Negligible transverse polarization mixing  $S_\perp\approx 0$
- Partial wave expansion in  $\theta$ , restricted to s- & p-waves

![](_page_29_Figure_9.jpeg)

 $\theta$  is the emission angle between h<sup>+</sup> in the c.m. frame and the momentum of the di-hadron in the target rest frame

 $P_{\mu}$ 

### Theoretical Framework: Di-hadron SIDIS at twist-2

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $d\sigma = d\sigma_{UU} + \lambda d\sigma_{LU} + S_L \left( d\sigma_{UL} + \lambda d\sigma_{LL} \right) + S_L \left( d\sigma_{UT} + \lambda d\sigma_{LT} \right)$ 

Bacchetta & Radici: Phys. Rev. D69 094002 Bacchetta & Radici & Gliske: Phys. Rev. D90 114027

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_{UL} \propto \sin\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right) \left(A_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right)\sin\theta} \sin\theta + A_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right)\sin2\theta} \sin 2\theta\right) \\ &+ \sin\left(2\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right) A_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right)\sin^{2}\theta} \sin^{2}\theta \\ &+ \varepsilon \left\{ \sin\left(2\phi_{h}\right) \left(A_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi_{h}\right)} + A_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi_{h}\right)\cos\theta} \cos\theta + A_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi_{h}\right)\frac{1}{3}\left(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1\right)} \frac{1}{3}\left(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1\right)\right) \right. \\ &+ \sin\left(\phi_{h} + \phi_{R}\right) \left(A_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi_{h} + \phi_{R}\right)\sin\theta} \sin\theta + A_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi_{h} + \phi_{R}\right)\sin2\theta} \sin 2\theta\right) \\ &+ \sin\left(2\phi_{R}\right) A_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi_{R}\right)\sin^{2}\theta} \sin^{2}\theta \\ &+ \sin\left(3\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right) \left(A_{UL}^{\sin\left(4\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right)\sin^{2}\theta} \sin\theta + A_{UL}^{\sin\left(3\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right)\sin2\theta} \sin 2\theta\right) \\ &+ \sin\left(4\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right) A_{UL}^{\sin\left(4\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right)\sin^{2}\theta} \sin^{2}\theta \right\} \\ d\sigma_{LL} \propto \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^{2}} \left\{A_{LL}^{1} + A_{LL}^{\cos\theta}\cos\theta + A_{LL}^{\frac{1}{3}\left(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1\right)} \frac{1}{3}\left(3\cos^{2}\theta - 1\right) \\ &+ \cos\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right) \left(A_{LL}^{\cos\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right)\sin\theta}\sin\theta + A_{LL}^{\cos\left(\phi_{h} - \phi_{R}\right)\sin2\theta}\sin2\theta\right) \\ &+ \cos\left(2\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right) A_{LL}^{\cos\left(2\phi_{h} - 2\phi_{R}\right)}\right\} \\ \theta \text{ is the the c.m.} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} P_{1} \\ P_{1} \\ P_{1} \\ \hline P_{2} \\ \hline P_{h} \\ \hline \hline P_{h} \\ \hline P_{h} \hline \hline P_{h} \\ \hline P_{h} \hline \hline P$ 

 $\theta$  is the emission angle between h<sup>+</sup> in the c.m. frame and the momentum of the di-hadron in the target rest frame

24 January 2024

### Selected results for di-hadron asymmetries

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### COMPASS twist-3 $\sim h_L \cdot H_{1,UT_1}^{\angle}$ 0.02 $A_{UL}^{\sin(\phi_R)}$ preliminary <u>...</u> -0.020.05 $\sim h_{1L}^{\perp} \otimes H_{1,TT}^{\perp}$ $A_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi_{_{R}})}$ -0.05twist-3 0.2 $\sim e_L \cdot H_{1,UT}^{\angle}$ $A_{\rm LL}^{\cos(\phi_{_{R}})}$ 0.1 0 -0.1

COMPASS (NH<sub>3</sub>) 2007+2011 data: preliminary

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Alternative way to access various twist-2/-3 distributions
- Non zero signal for  $A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_R}$  and  $A_{LL}^1$

### Selected results for di-hadron LSAs

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

OMPASS

## Conclusions

• COMPASS has measured all possible single-/di-hadron SIDIS LSAs from combined deuteron 2002-2006 and proton 2007/2011 data sample

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

- This allowed us to evaluate the mixing between SIDIS LSAs and TSAs arising from the difference of target polarization components in *lp* and γ\*p systems
- Whereas azimuthal LSAs on deuteron appear to be compatible with zero, for some of the proton LSAs non-zero signals are observed
- A clear effect was observed for  $A_{UL}^{sin\phi_h}$  with positive hadrons, while for negative hadrons the asymmetry is found to be compatible with zero
  - $\circ$  in agreement with HERMES observations
- The  $A_{UL}^{sin2\phi_h}$  appear to exhibit opposite sign "Collins-like" behavior for h<sup>+</sup> and h<sup>-</sup>
  - in agreement with model predictions
  - possible positive signal for negative hadrons appears to contradict HERMES and Jlab observations
- The  $A_{LL}^{cos\phi_h}$  asymmetry is found to be small and compatible with zero within statistical accuracy which does not contradict available model predictions
- Non-zero signal was observed for  $A_{UL}^{sin\phi_R}$  and  $A_{LL}^1$  di-hadron asymmetries related to  $h_L$  and  $g_{1L}$  PDFs, correspondingly.

### Thank you!

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

24 January 202

Joint XX-th International Workshop on *compass* Hadron Structure and Spectroscopy

and 5-th Workshop on Correlations in Partonic and Hadronic Interactions

https://indico.cern.ch/e/IWHSS-CPHI-2024

Yerevan, Armenia 30 September – 4 October, 2024