

Heavy flavour jet substructure

Andrea Ghira

Graz, 3rd July 2024

Based on 2312.11623 in collaboration with S. Caletti and S. Marzani, and on a work in progress with P. Dhani, O. Fedkevych, S.Marzani and G.Soyez

Jet substructure in a nutshell

High energy collisions result in collimated sprays of particles

Internal structure of jets gives an insight on the originating splitting process

In a massless theory, the collinear emission is enhanced:

$$\alpha_S \int \frac{d \, \theta^2}{\theta^2} \gg 1$$



Jets to probe heavy flavours

When jets are initiated by a heavy flavour, the quark mass shields the collinear singularity

$$\alpha_S \int \frac{d \,\theta^2}{\theta^2 + \frac{m^2}{E^2}} \simeq \alpha_s \log \frac{m^2}{E^2}$$

Dead Cone effect

the radiation emitted off a heavy flavour is suppressed inside a cone of opening angle $\theta \sim m/E$ (ALICE)



Theoretical Framework: light jet

Given an observable v, from a theoretical point of view it is natural to compute the resummation of the cumulative distribution



- v is a function of momenta that vanish when no emissions occur (Born level)
- v must be IRC safe

Collinear factorization

We begin studying the case of the single emission off a quark.

The matrix element factorizes in the collinear limit, thus we can write the cumulative as:

$$\Sigma(v) = 1 - \sum_{\ell} \int_{0}^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_t^2}{k_t^2} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}z P_{\mathcal{Q}g}(z) \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}}{2\pi} \Theta \left(\mathcal{V}^{\ell}(k_{t_{\ell}}^2, \eta_{\ell}) - v \right) \qquad \underbrace{\mathcal{Q}(p)}_{\mathcal{Q}(p')} \underbrace{\mathcal{Q}(p)}_{\mathcal{Q}(p')}$$

 \mathcal{V} represents the soft and collinear limit of the observable and in general can be written (Banfi, Salam, Zanderighi) $\mathcal{V}^{\ell}\left(k_{t_{\ell}}^{2},\eta\right) = d_{\ell}\left(\frac{k_{t_{\ell}}^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)^{\frac{a_{\ell}}{2}}e^{-b_{\ell}\eta_{\ell}}$

Going to all orders

Taking into account an infinite number of emissions, at NLL accuracy we have:



Lund Plane geography for light quarks

In order to perform the calculation of R, we exploit the Lund diagrams.



Differences with the massive case

- Threshold in the running of the coupling
- Dead-cone effect



Mass effects on $\boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ and \boldsymbol{z}_g distribution

We want to examine observables which are sensitive to the dead cone.

To start, we consider heavy-flavour initiated jets groomed with the Soft-Drop procedure (<u>A. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, J. Thaler</u>).



 θ_g : angular opening of the groomed jet (access to the dead-cone)

 z_g : allows us to probe the heavy quark splitting function

Recent measurement by <u>ALICE</u> of the SD observables on c-jets.

The Soft Drop Procedure

The SD algorithm removes consistently soft emission at large angle



The jet constituents of an anti- k_t are re-clustered according to C/A, to form an angular ordered tree. The declustering is then applied.

Definition of the observables

The first branching that passes the soft-drop procedure defines the groomed jet radius θ_g and the groomed fraction of momentum z_g

$$\theta_g = \frac{\Delta_{(12)(3)}}{R_0}, \qquad z_g = \frac{\min\left(p_{t(12)}, p_{t(3)}\right)}{p_{t(12)} + p_{t(3)}}$$

- The θ_q distribution is IRC safe both for massive and massless particles.
- The z_g distribution is IRC safe for massless particles only for $\beta < 0$. Conversely, it is always IRC safe for massive particles.

Analytic understandings of θ_g distribution





Non global Logs

• Non global logs represent correlated gluon emissions (<u>M. Dasgupta, G. Salam</u> and <u>A. Banfi, M. Dasgupta, K. Khelifa-Kerfa, S. Marzani</u>)



- Their contribution is reduced with the inclusion of clustering effects
- (Z. Kang, K. Lee, X. Liu, D. Neill, F. Ringer)

$$S_0(\theta_g) = 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{108} \left(C_F^2 - 4C_F C_A\right) \log\left(z_{cut}\theta_g^\beta\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$$

Mass effects on NGLs

The inclusion of the masses in the computation further reduce the effect of the NGLs.

$$S(\theta_g, \theta_i) = 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \left(C_F^2 \mathcal{F}_1\left(\theta_g, \theta_i\right) + C_F C_A \mathcal{F}_2\left(\theta_g, \theta_i\right)\right) \log\left(z_{cut}\theta_g^\beta\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$$
$$\lim_{\theta_g \to 0} \mathcal{F}_1(\theta_g, \theta_i) = \lim_{\theta_g \to 0} \mathcal{F}_2(\theta_g, \theta_i) = 0$$

- This result is related to the requirement that one of the two gluons is within the jet radius. Performing the small θ_q limit of such configuration $S \rightarrow 1$.
- The resumed expression is approximated by exponentiating the two-loop result with RC corrections

$\boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ distribution: Comparison with Monte Carlo



- Good agreement with Monte Carlo simulations (especially for $\beta = 0$).
- Sensitive to the dead-cone
- The two distributions (heavy and light) are normalized to have area 1 (tagging mode).

z_g distribution: Sudakov Safety

In the massless case Z_q is not an IRC safe observable

Sudakov Safety (<u>A. Larkoski, S. Marzani, J. Thaler</u>) .

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z_g} = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\theta_g \left[\frac{p(z_g, \theta_g)}{p(\theta_g)} \right]^{\mathrm{F.O}} p(\theta_g)^{\mathrm{RES}}, \quad p(\theta_g) = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\theta_g}$$

- In the massless case , this mechanism allows to obtain a finite expression of the differential cross section.
- The full resummation of the logs of z_g and z_{cut} is performed in (<u>P. Cal, K. Lee, F.</u> <u>Ringer, W. Waalewijn</u>), but not considered here

$\boldsymbol{z_g}$ distribution: Comparison with Monte Carlo



- Similar behaviour of the two differential distributions \longrightarrow same leading term in the splitting function $1/z_g$
- Lack of agreement in the large z_g region \longrightarrow missing symmetrization of the splitting function

Correlation with fragmentation distribution

We study the correlation between the fragmentation variable $\zeta = 1 - \frac{p_{T,b}}{p_{T,J}}$ and z_g :



- The spectrum of the b quark is strongly affected by hadronization.
- z_g distribution remains more stable with the inclusion of NP corrections

Mass effects on angularities and ECF

- We now study another class of observables: jet angularities and energycorrelation function (ECF).
- Many possible choices in the definition of observables sensitive to the dead-cone (C. Lee, P. Shrivastava, V. Vaidya)



Which one is more sensitive to dead-cone effect?

Example: ECF in *pp* collisions

Linked to the mass of the particles

$$e_{\alpha} = \sum_{i \neq j \in \text{Jet}} \frac{p_{t_i} p_{t_j}}{p_t^2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{ij}}{R_0}\right)^{\alpha}, \quad \dot{e}_{\alpha} = \sum_{i \neq j \in \text{Jet}} \frac{p_{t_i} p_{t_j}}{p_t^2} \left(\frac{(2p_i \cdot p_j)}{p_{t_i} p_{t_j} R_0^2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

Massless theory

 e_{α} coincides with $\dot{e_{\alpha}}$ in the collinear limit

Massive theory

 e_{α} does not coincide with \dot{e}_{α} in the quasi-collinear limit

Transition from 5 to 4 flavours

Differential distribution exhibit discontinuity for any value of α in the transition

- To smooth the transition we decide to incorporate fixed order calculation
- These are NNLL contributions, which depend on the specific definition of the observable



Comparison Analytics and Monte Carlo



- Plot of the ratio of the cumulative distribution massive/massless (NGL simplify in the ungroomed case)
- It appears that the dead cone effect manifests earlier than predicted by theoretical calculations ($v \simeq \frac{m^{\alpha}}{p_T^{\alpha} R_0^{\alpha}}$).

Conclusions and Outlook

- We have discussed about mass effects on different types of variables
- Good agreement with Monte Carlo for θ_g and z_g distribution
- The situation for angularities and ECF is more complicated
- → need to access NNLL accuracy for heavy flavoured jets
- More observable to study: Lund plane density

Special acknowledgment to the Cost Action COMETA CA22130 (Comprehensive Multiboson Experiment Theory Action)





Thanks for your attention !!

θ_{g} distribution: Comparison with Monte Carlo



0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0 part

1.5

₹ 1.0

e 0.5

¥ 0.0 -

0.0

 $\frac{\theta_g}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_g}$











z_g distribution: Comparison with Monte Carlo



0.10

0.15

0.20

0.25

0.30

Za

0.35

0.40

0.45

0.50





± 0

U

1.5

1.0

e 0.5

0.0 ¥

0.10

0.15

0.20

0.25

0.30

Za

0.35

0.40

0.45

0.50

Ratio plots





Angularities: λ_{α} for pp collisions





$$\lambda_{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in \text{Jet}} \frac{p_{t_i}}{p_t} \left(\frac{\Delta R_i}{R_0}\right)^{\alpha}$$

Mass effects manifests earlier than predicted