

Exercise 1.

The Virasoro algebra is the universal central extension of the Witt algebra.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, and let A be a vector space. A **2-cocycle** is a bilinear map $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ which is antisymmetric, and satisfies

$$\sum^3 \omega([X, Y], Z) = 0.$$

Given a 2-cocycle, one can form a central extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus A$, with Lie bracket

$$[(X, a), (Y, b)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} := ([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega(X, Y))$$

which fits into a central extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ (an extension such that $A \subset Z(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$). If the cocycle can be written in the form

$$\omega(X, Y) = \mu([X, Y])$$

for some linear map $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ (typically not a Lie algebra homomorphism), then we say that ω is a trivial 2-cocycle, and write $\omega = d\mu$.

Theorem. *The second Lie algebra cohomology group*

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}; A) := \frac{\{2\text{-cocycles}\}}{\{\text{trivial 2-cocycles}\}}$$

is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of central extensions of \mathfrak{g} by A , where two central extensions $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$ are isomorphic if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}' & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the two outer vertical maps are identity maps.

Proof outline. \Rightarrow We already saw how to construct a central extension from a 2-cocycle. Suppose now that $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = d\mu$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus A & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus A & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. So the map $\{2\text{-cocycles}\} \rightarrow \{\text{central extensions}\}$ descends to a map $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; A) \rightarrow \{\text{iso classes of central extensions}\}$.

\Leftarrow Given a central extension of \mathfrak{g} by A , pick a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{r} \end{array} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

(usually not a Lie algebra homomorphism) and let $\omega(X, Y) := [s(X), s(Y)] - s([X, Y])$. Given another splitting, we can write it as $s' = s + \mu$ for some $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$. The corresponding cocycles satisfy $\omega' = \omega - d\mu$. So they're equal in $H^2(\mathfrak{g}; A)$. \square

The following lemma will be useful:

Lemma. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\text{ad}(X)$ exponentiates to a 1-parameter family of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} . For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\xi_t := \exp(t \cdot \text{ad}(X))(\xi)$, so that $\frac{d}{dt}\xi_t = [X, \xi_t]$. Then, for any 2-cocycle ω , we have

$$[\omega] = [\omega_t] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\omega_t(\xi, \eta) := \omega(\xi_t, \eta_t)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\xi_T, \eta_T) - \omega(\xi, \eta) &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{d}{dt} \omega(\xi_t, \eta_t) \right) dt \\ &\stackrel{\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}\xi_t = [X, \xi_t]}}{\Rightarrow} \int_0^T (\omega([X, \xi_t], \eta_t) + \omega(\xi_t, [X, \eta_t])) dt \\ &\stackrel{\boxed{\text{cocycle identity}}}{\Rightarrow} \int_0^T \omega(X, [\xi_t, \eta_t]) dt \\ &\stackrel{\boxed{\xi \mapsto \xi_t \text{ is an automorphism}}}{\Rightarrow} \int_0^T \omega(X, [\xi, \eta]_t) dt = \mu([\xi, \eta]) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(\xi) := \int_0^T \omega(X, \xi_t) dt$. □

Suppose that $\text{ad}(X)$ exponentiates to an action of S^1 on \mathfrak{g} by Lie algebra automorphisms. Then letting $\text{avg}_{S^1}(\omega) := \int_{S^1} \omega_t dt$, we have

$$[\text{avg}_{S^1}(\omega)] = \left[\int_{S^1} \omega_t dt \right] = \int_{S^1} [\omega_t] dt = \int_{S^1} [\omega] dt = [\omega] \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathfrak{g}, A)$$

for any 2-cocycle ω . Given a linear map $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$, let $\mu_t(\xi) := \mu(\xi_t)$, and let us define $\text{avg}_{S^1}(\mu) := \int_{S^1} \mu_t dt$. If a 2-cocycle ω is trivial, i.e., if there exists μ such that $\omega = d\mu$, then there also exists an S^1 -invariant μ with that same property: indeed, letting $\mu' := \text{avg}_{S^1}(\mu)$ we have

$$d\mu' = d(\text{avg}_{S^1}(\mu)) = \text{avg}_{S^1}(d(\mu)) = \text{avg}_{S^1}(\omega) = \omega.$$

From the above discussion, we deduce that

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}; A) = \frac{\{ S^1\text{-invariant 2-cocycles} \}}{\{ d\mu \mid \mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A, \mu \text{ is } S^1\text{-invariant} \}}$$

Exercise:

Let $\mathbb{W} = \text{Span}\{\ell_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the Witt algebra, with Lie bracket $[\ell_m, \ell_n] = (m - n)\ell_{m+n}$. Prove that $\dim(H^2(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{C}))$ is one-dimensional, spanned by the Virasoro cocycle.

Exercise 2.

The trivialisation $T_{\tilde{A}} : F_A(V) \rightarrow V$ **associated to a lifted annulus** $\tilde{A} \in \widetilde{\text{Ann}}_c$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a level. The corresponding chiral WZW model associates to a closed 1-manifold S the category

$$\mathcal{C}(S) = \{ \text{level } k \text{ integrable positive energy representations of } \widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}} \}$$

where $\widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}} = L_S \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$ is the central extension of $L_S \mathfrak{g} = C^\infty(S, \mathfrak{g})$ defined by the cocycle $\omega_k(f, g) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_S \langle f, dg \rangle$, and ‘level k ’ means that K acts by k .

Let Σ be a complex cobordism, let $\mathcal{C}_{in} := \mathcal{C}(\partial_{in} \Sigma)$, and let $\mathcal{C}_{out} := \mathcal{C}(\partial_{out} \Sigma)$. When $\partial \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ (more precisely, when each connected component of Σ has non-empty boundary), we can describe the concrete functor

$$F_\Sigma : \mathcal{C}_{in} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{out}.$$

associated to the complex cobordism Σ by means of the *Segal commutation relations*:

Definition 1 Given an object $(V, \rho_V) \in \mathcal{C}_{in}$, its image $(W, \rho_W) \in \mathcal{C}_{out}$ under the functor F_Σ comes equipped with a linear map $Z_\Sigma : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying:

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{O}(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}) \quad Z_\Sigma \circ \rho_V(f_{in}) = \rho_W(f_{out}) \circ Z_\Sigma \quad (1)$$

where $f_{in/out} := f|_{\partial_{in/out} \Sigma}$.

Moreover, (W, ρ_W) and Z_Σ should be universal in the sense that for any $(\tilde{W}, \rho_{\tilde{W}}) \in \mathcal{C}_{out}$ and for any linear map $\tilde{Z} : V \rightarrow \tilde{W}$ satisfying the same relations as above, there should exist a unique morphism $\kappa : W \rightarrow \tilde{W}$ in \mathcal{C}_{out} that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z_\Sigma & W \\ V & \searrow & \downarrow \kappa \\ & \tilde{Z} & \tilde{W} \end{array}$$

If our cobordism is an annulus $A \in \text{Ann}(S)$, then the trivialization

$$T_{\tilde{A}} : F_A \longrightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$$

associated to a lift $\tilde{A} \in \widetilde{\text{Ann}}_c$ is constructed as follows. For every $(V, \rho) \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, by the positive energy condition, the action $\rho : \widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ extends to an action, again denoted ρ , of $\widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}} \rtimes \widetilde{\text{Diff}}_c(S)$. By definition, this means that we have actions of $\widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}}$ and of $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_c(S)$ on V , satisfying the following covariance relation:

$$\rho(\varphi f) = \rho(\varphi) \rho(f) \rho(\varphi^{-1}) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(S, \mathfrak{g})$$

Here, $f \mapsto {}^\varphi f$ denotes the action of (the image of) φ in $\text{Diff}(S)$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S, \mathfrak{g}) \subset \widetilde{L_S \mathfrak{g}_k}$.

Since the action of $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}_c(S)$ on V has positive energy, it extends to a holomorphic representation of $\widetilde{\text{Ann}}_c(S)$ on V .¹ We construct the morphism $T_{\tilde{A}} : F_A(V) \rightarrow V$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z_A & F_A(V) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow T_{\tilde{A}} \\ V & & V \\ & \searrow \rho(\tilde{A}) & \end{array}$$

by applying the universal property in Definition 1 to the object $V \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, and to the map $\rho(\tilde{A}) : V \rightarrow V$.

Exercise:

Show that the map $\rho(\tilde{A}) : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies the desired relation (1):

$$\rho(\tilde{A})\rho(f_{in}) = \rho(f_{out})\rho(\tilde{A}) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(A; \mathfrak{g}),$$

and that we are thus in a position to invoke the universal property in Definition 1.

¹This is stated as a theorem in [Y. Neretin. *Holomorphic continuations of representations of the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle*; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 67 (1990), no. 1, 75–97], but the paper does not include a proof of holomorphicity.