#### Plarised calculations with MulBos

#### Duc Ninh LE

Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

COMETA workshop on vector-boson polarisations, 23 Sep 2024, Toulouse, France



# Outline

- Why polarization ?
- Massive diboson pairs: ZZ,  $W^{\pm}Z$ ,  $W^{+}W^{-}$
- Definition of polarization
- NLO QCD
- NLO EW
- MulBos
- New results:  $W^+W^-$ , *b*-induced processes (tW)
- Summary

# Multi-gauge boson production at the LHC



- Singly polarized differential XS
- Doubly polarized differential XS
- Triply polarized differential XS
- New physics:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{(6)}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{(8)}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(8)}$$

 Bell inequalities, quantum entanglement, locality of *qutrit* systems:
 [e.g. arxiv: 2302.00683, 2307.14895, 2307.09675, ...]  $W^+$ ,  $W^-$ , Z:  $\lambda = \pm, 0$ 0 mode: from EWSB  $\sum_{\lambda}$ : Lorentz invariant  $\sigma_{\lambda}$ : ref. frame dependent Frame dependence is actually an advantage, as we have various choices !

### Polarization in diboson



Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

## Polarization definition



Polarized amplitudes are defined using the Double Pole Approximation (DPA):

- Select all diagrams with 2 s-channel resonances:  $V_1 \rightarrow l_1 l_2$ ,  $V_2 \rightarrow l_3 l_4$ .
- Factorize the amplitude into production and decay parts.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{LO,DPA}}^{\bar{q}q \to V_1 V_2 \to 4l} = \frac{1}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 1}^{3} \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{\bar{q}q \to V_1 V_2}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{V_1 \to l_1 l_2}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_1) \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{V_2 \to l_3 l_4}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_2),$$

$$Q_j = q_j^2 - M_{V_j}^2 + i M_{V_j} \Gamma_{V_j}, \ m_{4l} > M_{V_1} + M_{V_2}$$

- q<sub>i</sub>: off-shell momenta.
- $\hat{k}_i$ : on-shell mapped momenta (gauge invariance).
- OS mapping DPA<sup>(2,2)</sup>:  $\{k_1,\ldots,k_4\} \rightarrow \{\hat{k}_1,\ldots,\hat{k}_4\}.$ [Denner, Pelliccioli, ZZ, Duon 1957], Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

- $\blacktriangleright$  LL:  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$ .
- LT:  $\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 1, 3.$
- TL:  $\lambda_1 = 1, 3, \lambda_2 = 2$ .
- TT:  $\lambda_1 = 1, 3, \lambda_2 = 1, 3.$

 $\sigma_{LT} \propto |A_{21} + A_{23}|^2$  (coherent 5

# NLO QCD corrections

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LO,DPA}}^{\bar{q}q \to V_1 V_2 \to 4l} = \frac{1}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 1}^{3} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{\bar{q}q \to V_1 V_2}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{V_1 \to l_1 l_2}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_1) \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{V_2 \to l_3 l_4}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_2),$$



NEW compared to LO:

- ► (a): Virtual corrections.
- (b): Real gluon emission.
- (c): Real quark emission.

Real QCD emission induces a global recoil of the VV system! QCD corrections only affect the production part.

Calculation details:

- On-shell mapping: DPA<sup>(2,2)</sup> (same as LO).
- Catani-Seymour-Dittmaier subtraction method (straightforward).
- Details in [Denner, Pelliccioli, ZZ, 2107.06579].

# NLO EW corrections (I)



Production (NEW compared to QCD):

- Real photon emission off an OS W.
- γγ induced contribution (LO, Virt, Real).

Decays:

- Virtual corrections.
- Real photon emission off a charged lepton.

Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam



NO double counting: (b1), (f1)

# NLO EW corrections (II)



Decays:

- ► On-shell mapping: DPA<sup>(3,2)</sup> [Denner, Pelliccioli, ZZ, 2107.06579].
- Subtraction for  $W \rightarrow e \nu_e \gamma$  [Basso, et al, 1507.04676; DNL, Baglio, Dao, WZ, 2208.09232].

Production:  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow W^+W^-\gamma$ , subtraction term, CS and OS mappings.

- initial emitter, initial spectator: [Denner, Pelliccioli, ZZ, 2107.06579].
- final emitter, initial spectator: [DNL, Baglio, Dao, WZ, 2208.09232].
- final emitter, final spectator: [Denner, Haitz, Pelliccioli, WW, 2311.16031, [DNL, Dao, WW, 2311.17027]

# *b*-induced processes: $W^+W^-$







- non-tW: (a), (b), (c+d)
- ▶ *tW*: (c+d)
- *tW* interference: (c+d)
- ▶ *tī*: at NNLO

- with top: tt dominant
- ▶ without top: *b*-induced is not small  $(\sigma_{bb}^{LO} / \sigma_{NLO} \approx 15\%$  for LL;  $m_t$  effect); top-interference is unknown (not gauge invariant) and can be sizable.

The best option is to do both !

*tW*-interference bound:  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{TW-int}} = \sigma_{c+d} - \sigma_{\text{OS-tW}}$ 

# Subtracting OS tW @ NLO



$$p + m_t = \sum_s u(p,s)\bar{u}(p,s)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{LO,DPA}}^{bg \to tW^- \to 4/b} = \frac{1}{Q_t Q_{W^+} Q_{W^-}} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 1}^3 \left( \sum_{s_t = 1}^2 [\mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{bg \to tW^-}(\hat{k}_i, s_t, \lambda_2) \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{t \to W^+ b}(\hat{k}_i, s_t, \lambda_1)] \right. \\ \left. \left[ \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{W^+ \to e^+ \nu_e}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_1) \mathcal{A}_{\text{LO}}^{W^- \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu}}(\hat{k}_i, \lambda_2)] \right), \tag{1}$$

$$Q_t = p_t^2 - m_t^2 + im_t\Gamma_t, \quad Q_j = p_j^2 - M_W^2 + iM_W\Gamma_W \ (j = W^+, W^-),$$
 (2)

OS momenta:  $\hat{p}_{t}^{2}=m_{t}^{2}$ ,  $\hat{p}_{W^{+}}^{2}=\hat{p}_{W^{-}}^{2}=M_{W}^{2}$ 

- 1. In the  $tW^-$  frame: OS momenta for  $bg \to tW^-$ .
- 2. OS momenta for  $t(\hat{p}_t) \to e^+(\bar{k}_3)\nu_e(\bar{k}_4)b(\bar{k}_7)$  and  $W^-(\hat{p}_{W^-}) \to \mu^-(\hat{k}_5)\bar{\nu}_\mu(\hat{k}_6)$ . Note:  $(\bar{k}_3 + \bar{k}_4)^2 \neq M_W^2$ .
- 3. Boost to the *t*-rest frame:  $\bar{p}_{W^+} = \bar{k}_3 + \bar{k}_4$ ,  $\bar{p}_b = \bar{k}_7$ ; then apply an OS mapping to obtain  $\hat{k}_3$ ,  $\hat{k}_4$ ,  $\hat{k}_7$ .

# Theoretical status (VV mainly): very active !

Fixed order calculations (fully leptonic):

- ZZ (NLO QCD+EW) [Denner, Pelliccioli, JHEP (2021)]
- WZ (NLO QCD+EW) [Denner, Pelliccioli, NLO QCD, PLB (2021); DNL, Baglio, Dao, NLO QCD+EW, EPJC (2022)]
- WW (NLO QCD+EW; NNLO QCD) [Denner, Pelliccioli, NLO QCD, JHEP (2020); Poncelet, Popescu, NNLO QCD, JHEP (2021); Denner, Haitz, Pelliccioli, NLO EW, PLB (2024); Dao, DNL, NLO QCD+EW, EPJC (2024), Dao, DNL, NLO QCD+EW, 2409.06396; b-induced]

#### Other new developments:

- Event generation, parton shower with SHERPA (multi-boson, approx. NLO QCD) [Hoppe, Schönherr, Siegert, JHEP (2024)] and MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO [Javurkova, et al, PLB (2024)].
- VV at NLOQCD+PS with POWHEG-BOX-RES [Pelliccioli, Zanderighi, EPJC (2024)]
- Semi-leptonic WZ at NLO QCD [Denner, Haitz, Pelliccioli, PRD (2023)]

and new papers on VVjj:

- semi-leptonic: [Denner, Lombardi, Schwan, 2406.12301]
- ► same-sign W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>+</sup>jj: [Denner, Haitz, Pelliccioli, 2409.03620]

Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

#### MulBos

MulBos (**Mul**ti-**Bos**on production): python (run interface), Fortran (source code)

- Feynman diagrams and helicity amplitudes: FeynArts, FormCalc.
- Loop integrals: in-house LoopInts (Fortran).
- Phase-space integration: BASES (Monte Carlo method, Fortran); resonance mappings (VBFNLO-3.0, arxiv:2405.06990).
- NLO calculations: Catani-Seymour-Dittmaier subtraction method.

Capabilities:

- ▶ Polarized ZZ, W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>, WZ at NLO QCD+EW (fully leptonic)
- b-induced processes
- OS tW processes
- Loop induced gg fusion at LO
- $\gamma\gamma$  fusion at LO

# Integrated Results ( $W^+W^-$ ): QCD, EW corrections

Ref. [Dao, DNL, 2311.17027]

	$\sigma_{\sf LO}~[{\rm fb}]$	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm QCD}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm QCDEW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm all}~{\rm [fb]}$	$\overline{\delta}_{EW}$ [%]	$\overline{\delta}_{gg}$ [%]	$\overline{\delta}_{b\overline{b}}$ [%]	$\overline{\delta}_{\gamma\gamma}$ [%]	f <sub>all</sub> [%]
Unpolarized	$198.14(1)^{+5.3\%}_{-6.5\%}$	$210.91(3)^{+1.6\%}_{-2.2\%}$	202.90(3) <sup>+1.3%</sup> -1.9%	$222.41(3)^{+2.2\%}_{-2.5\%}$	-3.80	6.20	1.87	1.18	100
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$12.99^{+6.1\%}_{-7.4\%}$	$14.03^{+1.9\%}_{-2.6\%}$	13.64+1.7%	$16.46^{+4.7\%}_{-5.7\%}$	-2.75	4.08	15.11	0.94	7.4
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	$21.67^{+6.3\%}_{-7.5\%}$	24.86 <sup>+1.8%</sup> -2.6%	24.28 <sup>+1.7%</sup> -2.5%	$25.75^{+2.6\%}_{-3.5\%}$	-2.32	1.56	3.86	0.50	11.6
$W_T^+ W_L^-$	$22.14^{+6.2\%}_{-7.5\%}$	25.56 <sup>+1.8%</sup> -2.6%	24.96 <sup>+1.7%</sup> -2.5%	$26.43^{+2.6\%}_{-3.5\%}$	-2.34	1.52	3.75	0.48	11.9
$W_T^+ W_T^-$	$140.44^{+4.8\%}_{-6.0\%}$	$144.97(2)^{+1.6\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$138.42(2)^{+1.4\%}_{-1.6\%}$	$152.95(3)^{+2.3\%}_{-1.9\%}$	-4.52	8.32	0.25	1.46	68.8
Interference	0.90(1)	1.50(4)	1.60(4)	0.81(4)					0.4

ATLAS Setup:

 $p_{T,\ell} > 27 \text{ GeV}, \quad p_{T,\text{miss}} > 20 \text{ GeV}, \quad |\eta_\ell| < 2.5, \quad m_{e\mu} > 55 \text{ GeV},$ jet veto (no jets with  $p_{T,j} > 35 \text{ GeV}$  and  $|\eta_j| < 4.5$ )

### Comparison

(Submitted on 28 Nov 2023)

#### NLO electroweak corrections to doubly-polarized W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> production at the LHC

#### Thi Nhung Deo, Duc Ninh Le

We present new results of non-to-basing orders (AUC) electrowask controllators to backly-additions on sections of 11<sup>4</sup> T<sup>-</sup> production at the LT. The calculation is performed for the legislatic initial at of  $e^{i}_{-}\mu_{\mu}r^{i}_{\mu}$ , using the double-pole approximation in the doubout certain of mass time. N.O. OCO controllators and statistication of the gr. Mr. Ty holds and pole approximation in the doubout certain of mass time. N.O. OCO controllators are small for the gr. Mr. Ty holds and the double pole approximation in the data and the double approximation in the double approximation in the gradient approximation in the gradient approximation in the double app

#### [Submitted on 27 Nov 2023]

#### NLO EW corrections to polarised W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> production and decay at the LHC

Ansgar Denner, Christoph Haitz, Giovanni Pelliccioli

In this time we preach much to reach 4-ading order electroweak corrections to outby polarised V<sup>4</sup> V<sup>4</sup> Production at the UHC In the fully polarised order decay charance. We model the production and the outboard or the UBC Nosins in the dual to polarised approximation, funding factorisated reach electroweak corrections, and separating polarisation states at amplitude iveel. We obtain Integrated and differential predictions for polarised signals in a relatific thousand weak.

	$\sigma_{\rm LO}^{\rm DL}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm LO}^{\rm DHP}$ [fb]	$\Delta_{\rm LO}$ [%]	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm DL}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm DHP}$ [fb]	$\Delta_{\rm NLO}$ [%]
unpolar. (DPA)	245.6(1)	245.79(2)	-0.07	240.56(3)	241.315	-0.3
$^{ m LL}$	18.75(1)	18.752(2)	-0.006	18.497(2)	18.499	-0.01
LT	32.07(2)	32.084(3)	-0.04	31.998(4)	32.032	-0.1
$_{ m TL}$	33.21(2)	33.244(5)	-0.09	33.106(4)	33.144	-0.1
ТТ	182.0(1)	182.17(2)	-0.07	176.93(2)	177.701	-0.4

## b-induced effects: YesVeto [Dao, DNL, 2409.06396]

	$\sigma_{\sf NoB}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{ m NoTW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm YesTW}$ [fb]	$\kappa^{\text{LO}}_{b\bar{b}}$	κ <sub>N₀tw</sub>	K <sub>YesTW</sub>	$\hat{\delta}_{TW-int}$ [%]	f <sub>NoB</sub> [%]	f <sub>NoTW</sub> [%]	f <sub>YesTW</sub> [%]
Unpol.	218.47(3) <sup>+2.2%</sup> -2.1%	220.50(3) <sup>+2.1%</sup> -2.0%	$266.12(3)^{+3.7\%}_{-3.8\%}$	1.02	1.01	1.22	-0.75	100	100	100
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$14.34^{+1.8\%}_{-2.6\%}$	$15.59^{+1.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	29.88 <sup>+6.3%</sup> -6.7%	1.15	1.09	2.08	-4.41	6.6	7.1	11.2
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	24.79 <sup>+1.9%</sup> -2.5%	$25.31^{+1.6\%}_{-2.5\%}$	34.74 <sup>+4.4%</sup> -5.2%	1.04	1.02	1.40	-1.64	11.3	11.5	13.1
$W_T^+ W_L^-$	25.47 <sup>+2.1%</sup> -2.5%	25.99 <sup>+1.8%</sup> -2.4%	35.42 <sup>+4.5%</sup> -5.1%	1.04	1.02	1.39	-1.59	11.7	11.8	13.3
$W_T^+ W_T^-$	$152.59(3)^{+2.2\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$152.67(3)^{+2.2\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$166.19(3)^{+3.0\%}_{-2.7\%}$	1.00	1.00	1.09	-0.19	69.8	69.2	62.5
Pol-int	1.27(4)	0.93(4)	-0.12(4)					0.6	0.4	-0.0

NoB: u,d,c,s,gg, $\gamma\gamma$ 

- YesTW: NoB + b-induced at NLO (tW included)
- ▶ NoTW: YesTW OS tW
- $K_X = \sigma_X / \sigma_{\text{NoB}}$
- $\hat{\delta}_{\text{TW-int}}$ : bound of *tW*-interference (= $\sigma_{bg} \sigma_{OS-tW}$  for QCD)
- Comparison for  $\delta_{NLOEW}$  (YesTW): +2.54% (full off-shell; [Denner, Haitz, Pellicioli]) vs. +2.61% (DPA, ours) Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

### b-induced effects: NoVeto [Dao, DNL, 2409.06396]

	$\sigma_{\sf NoB}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{ m NoTW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm YesTW}$ [fb]	$\kappa^{\rm LO}_{bar{b}}$	κ <sub>notw</sub>	K <sub>YesTW</sub>	$\hat{\delta}_{TW-int}$ [%]	f <sub>NoB</sub> [%]	f <sub>NoTW</sub> [%]	f <sub>YesTW</sub> [%]
Unpol.	327.94(4) <sup>+5.4%</sup> -4.2%	334.17(4) <sup>+5.4%</sup> -4.1%	620.13(4) <sup>+8.3%</sup> -6.5%	1.01	1.02	1.89	0.62	100	100	100
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$18.68^{+4.1\%}_{-3.3\%}$	$21.04(1)^{+4.0\%}_{-2.9\%}$	83.66(1) <sup>+9.9%</sup> 9.5%	1.11	1.13	4.48	1.04	5.7	6.3	13.5
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	43.33 <sup>+6.0%</sup> -4.9%	$44.86(1)^{+6.1\%}_{-4.8\%}$	$110.18(1)^{+9.5\%}_{-8.1\%}$	1.02	1.04	2.54	1.12	13.2	13.4	17.8
$W_T^+ W_L^-$	44.22(1) <sup>+6.2%</sup> <sub>-4.9%</sub>	$45.77(1)^{+6.2\%}_{-4.8\%}$	$111.06(1)^{+9.5\%}_{-8.1\%}$	1.02	1.03	2.51	1.12	13.5	13.7	17.9
$W^+_T W^T$	221.43(3) <sup>+5.3%</sup> -4.1%	222.80(3) <sup>+5.3%</sup> -4.1%	321.82(3) <sup>+7.2%</sup> -5.6%	1.00	1.01	1.45	0.43	67.5	66.7	51.9
Pol-int	0.28(5)	-0.30(5)	-6.60(5)					0.1	-0.1	-1.1

*tW*-interference: from -4% (YesVeto) to +1% (NoVeto) !

Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

# *b*-induced effects: YesVeto/NoVeto $_{[Dao, DNL, 2409.06396]}$

	$\sigma_{\rm NoB}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm NoTW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm YesTW}$ [fb]	$\kappa^{\rm LO}_{bar{b}}$	κ <sub>notw</sub>	K <sub>YesTW</sub>	$\hat{\delta}_{TW-int}$ [%]	f <sub>NoB</sub> [%]	f <sub>NoTW</sub> [%]	f <sub>YesTW</sub> [%]
Unpol.	218.47(3) <sup>+2.2%</sup> -2.1%	220.50(3) <sup>+2.1%</sup>	$266.12(3)^{+3.7\%}_{-3.8\%}$	1.02	1.01	1.22	-0.75	100	100	100
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$14.34^{+1.8\%}_{-2.6\%}$	$15.59^{+1.2\%}_{-2.2\%}$	29.88 <sup>+6.3%</sup> -6.7%	1.15	1.09	2.08	-4.41	6.6	7.1	11.2
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	24.79 <sup>+1.9%</sup> -2.5%	$25.31^{+1.6\%}_{-2.5\%}$	34.74 <sup>+4.4%</sup> -5.2%	1.04	1.02	1.40	-1.64	11.3	11.5	13.1
$W_T^+ W_L^-$	$25.47^{+2.1\%}_{-2.5\%}$	$25.99^{+1.8\%}_{-2.4\%}$	$35.42^{+4.5\%}_{-5.1\%}$	1.04	1.02	1.39	-1.59	11.7	11.8	13.3
$W_T^+ W_T^-$	$152.59(3)^{+2.2\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$152.67(3)^{+2.2\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$166.19(3)^{+3.0\%}_{-2.7\%}$	1.00	1.00	1.09	-0.19	69.8	69.2	62.5
Pol-int	1.27(4)	0.93(4)	-0.12(4)					0.6	0.4	-0.0
Unpol.	327.94(4) <sup>+5.4%</sup> -4.2%	334.17(4) <sup>+5.4%</sup> -4.1%	620.13(4) <sup>+8.3%</sup> -6.5%	1.01	1.02	1.89	0.62	100	100	100
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$18.68^{+4.1\%}_{-3.3\%}$	$21.04(1)^{+4.0\%}_{-2.9\%}$	83.66(1) <sup>+9.9%</sup> 9.5%	1.11	1.13	4.48	1.04	5.7	6.3	13.5
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	43.33 <sup>+6.0%</sup>	$44.86(1)^{+6.1\%}_{-4.8\%}$	$110.18(1)^{+9.5\%}_{-8.1\%}$	1.02	1.04	2.54	1.12	13.2	13.4	17.8
$W_{T}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	$44.22(1)^{+6.2\%}_{-4.9\%}$	$45.77(1)^{+6.2\%}_{-4.8\%}$	$111.06(1)^{+9.5\%}_{-8.1\%}$	1.02	1.03	2.51	1.12	13.5	13.7	17.9
$W_T^+ W_T^-$	$221.43(3)^{+5.3\%}_{-4.1\%}$	$222.80(3)^{+5.3\%}_{-4.1\%}$	$321.82(3)^{+7.2\%}_{-5.6\%}$	1.00	1.01	1.45	0.43	67.5	66.7	51.9
Pol-int	0.28(5)	-0.30(5)	-6.60(5)					0.1	-0.1	-1.1

### tW-interference: YesVeto vs. NoVeto [Dao, DNL, 2409.06396]



Significantly smaller tW-interference for NoVeto !

Duc Ninh LE, Phenikaa University, Hanoi, Vietnam

# Summary

- ▶ Fixed order results for VV: at least NLO QCD+EW (various tools !)
- $W^+W^-$ : OS tW can be nicely subtracted for individual polarizations.
- $W^+W^-$  measurements: consider both NoTW and YesTW.
- New results for W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup>: smaller tW-interference for NoVeto case (relevant for NoTW).

#### Acknowledgments:

This research is funded by Phenikaa University under grant number PU2023-1-A-18.

# Thank you for your attention!

# b-induced processes: YesVeto/NoVeto [Dao, DNL, 2409.06396]

	$\sigma_b^{\text{LO}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_b^{\rm NoTW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_b^{\text{YesTW}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{bg}^{\text{NoTW}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{bg}^{\text{YesTW}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{b\gamma}^{\rm NoTW}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{b\gamma}^{\rm YesTW}$ [fb]
Unpol.	3.94	2.03(1)	47.65(1)	-1.62(1)	42.66(1)	-0.01	1.34
$W_L^+ W_L^-$	2.12	1.25	15.54	-0.63	13.50	-0.00	0.16
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	0.96	0.52	9.95	-0.40	8.84	-0.00	0.17
$w_T^+ w_L^-$	0.96	0.52	9.95	-0.40	8.85	-0.00	0.17
$W_T^+ W_T^-$	0.36	0.07	13.60	-0.29	12.45	-0.00	0.78
Interf.	-0.46	-0.34(1)	-1.39(1)	0.11(1)	-0.98(1)	0.00	0.04
Unpol.	3.93	6.23(2)	292.19(2)	1.91(2)	278.89(2)	0.13	9.11
$W_{L}^{+}W_{L}^{-}$	2.12	2.36(1)	64.98(1)	0.18	62.07(1)	0.01	0.75
$W_L^+ W_T^-$	0.96	1.53(1)	66.85(1)	0.46(1)	64.62(1)	0.02	1.18
$w_T^+ w_L^-$	0.96	1.54(1)	66.83(1)	0.48(1)	64.60(1)	0.02	1.18
$w_T^+ w_T^-$	0.36	1.38(1)	100.40(1)	0.88(1)	94.30(1)	0.08	5.68
Interf.	-0.46	-0.58(3)	-6.88(3)	-0.09(2)	-6.70(3)	-0.00	0.31