PPC 2024, IITH



16 October 2024

Lepton Number Violation at Colliders in Linear Seesaw

Praveen Bharadwaj

IISER Bhopal, India

Large lepton number violation at colliders: predictions from the minimal linear seesaw mechanism

> Aditya Batra,^{1,2, a} Praveen Bharadwaj,^{1, b} Sanjoy Mandal,^{3, c} Rahul Srivastava,^{1, d} and José W. F. Valle^{4, e}

Lepton Number Violation (LNV)

Right-handed neutrinos (RHN) appear in many BSM scenarios and violate Lepton Number Symmetry due to the Majorana mass term:

 $\bar{N}_R^c M_N N_R$

• Can generate small Majorana masses for SM neutrinos via seesaw mechanisms.

Minkowski, PLB 67 421 Mohapatra, Senjanovic, PRL 44 912 Schechter, Valle, PRD 22 2227



- Could explain the baryon asymmetry via leptogenesis.
 Fukugita, Yanagida PLB 174 45
- Could provide a viable Dark Matter candidate. Dodelson, Widrow PRL 72 17

Probing LNV



• Collider searches: same-sign dilepton production



G. Anamiati, M. Hirsch, 1607.05641 S. Antusch et al, 2210.10738

Low Scale Seesaw

- In conventional "high-scale" seesaws, the mediators(RHN) are superheavy, and hence kinematically inaccessible at colliders.
- In low-scale seesaw models such as inverse or linear seesaw, the heavy mediators may be produced at high-energy collider setups.
- In inverse seesaw heavy neutrinos are produced via the mixing and due to small mixing value the cross-section is small.



Minimal Linear Seesaw Model

First proposed in the context of $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$

and in the context of SO(10) framework. Akhmedov et al, hep-ph/9507275, Malinsky et al, hep-ph/0506296

Here, the simplest version is realized within the SM gauge group itself: P.B. et al, 2305.00994, 2304.06080

Add pair of singlets with $L[\nu^c] = -1$, L[S] = 1 and a scalar doublet $L[\chi_L] = -2$: $-\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = Y_{\nu}^{\alpha} L_{\alpha}^T C \nu^c \Phi + M_R \nu^c CS + Y_S^{\alpha} L_{\alpha}^T CS \chi_L + h.c.$



$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D & M_L \\ m_D^T & 0 & M_R \\ M_L^T & M_R^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } m_D = \frac{Y_{\nu} v_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and } M_L = \frac{Y_s v_{\chi}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Light neutrino masses in the limit $M_R \gg m_D \gg M_L$: $m_{\nu} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_D \mathbf{M}_L^T + \mathbf{M}_L \mathbf{m}_D^T}{M_D}.$

In contrast to type-I seesaw, m_{ν} scales linearly with m_{D} : hence the name linear!

Neutrino mass diagonalization: $\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_{\nu}\mathcal{U}^{*} = \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{\text{diag}}$

$$\mathcal{U} \approx \begin{pmatrix} U & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{S} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbf{S} \\ 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\mathbf{S}^{\dagger} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{m}_D / M_R$

Hence, mixing can be large.

Masses of heavy-neutrinos are

$$M_{N_{4,5}} = M_R \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{S}|^2 \right) \mp \frac{1}{2M_R} \left(\mathbf{M}_L^{\dagger} \mathbf{m}_D + \mathbf{m}_D^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_L \right)$$

The mass matrix m_{ν} has two nonzero eigenvalues

$$m_{\nu}^{i} = |\mathbf{M}_{L}||\mathbf{S}| \mp |\mathbf{M}_{L}^{*}\mathbf{S}|, \begin{cases} i = 2, \ 3 \text{ for } \mathbf{NO} \\ i = 1, \ 2 \text{ for } \mathbf{IO} \end{cases}$$

Heavy neutrino mass splitting can be written in terms of the measured mass square differences of light neutrinos:

$$\Delta M^{\mathbf{NO}} = \Delta m_{32}, \quad \Delta M^{\mathbf{IO}} = \Delta m_{21}.$$

Heavy neutrino production channels

 $e^+e^- \to NN$:

ILC: 1506.07830, CLIC: 1812.06018, CEPC: 1811.10545





• From the decay of charged Higgs

 $m_{H^{\pm}}, m_{H/A} > M_{N_i}$



Heavy neutrino-antineutrino ($N - \overline{N}$) oscillation

• N is produced together with an anti-lepton and \overline{N} is produced together with a lepton.

S.Antusch. et al, 2012.05763

• Oscillations occur due to interference between the mass eigenstates $N_{4,5}$ during propagation.



Heavy neutrino-antineutrino ($N - \overline{N}$) oscillation

• The oscillation probabilities in the lab frame $L_N = L_N^0 \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$ $P_{\rm osc}^{N \to N(\bar{N})}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{L_N} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \bar{P}_{\rm osc}^{N \to N(\bar{N})}(x) dx.$ $L_{\rm osc} = L_{\rm osc}^0 \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$ $L_{\rm osc}^0 = c \tau_{\rm osc}$ $\bar{P}_{\rm osc}^{N \to N(\bar{N})}(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-x/L_N} \left(1 \pm \cos(2\pi x/L_{\rm osc}) \right)$ $\tau_{\rm osc} = 2\pi/\Delta M$ 1.0 $M_N = 10 \text{ GeV}, \Delta M / \Gamma_N = 10$ Losc=0.25 m, LN=0.4 m 0.8 0.6 - Posc 0.4 Oscillation length in the laboratory 0.2 is large enough to be experimentally resolvable. 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.1 0 $M = 10 \, GeV$ $M = 50 \, GeV$ x[m] $L_{\rm osc}^0$ [m] $\Delta M \,[\text{eV}]$ $L_{\rm osc} \,[{\rm m}] \,(\gamma = 150) \,| L_{\rm osc} \,[{\rm m}] \,(\gamma = 30)$ **NO** $|41.51 \times 10^{-3}| 2.98 \times 10^{-5}$ 4.5×10^{-3} 8.9×10^{-4} IO $|749.8 \times 10^{-6}|1.65 \times 10^{-3}|$ 247×10^{-3} 49.5×10^{-3}

LNV at colliders

• Expected number of LNC and LNV events

 $N^{\text{LNC}}(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{s}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \sigma \operatorname{BR} \left[\left(P^{N \to N}_{\text{osc}}(x_1, x_2) \right)^2 + \left(P^{N \to \overline{N}}_{\text{osc}}(x_1, x_2) \right)^2 \right]$ $N^{\text{LNV}}(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{s}, \mathcal{L}) = 2\mathcal{L} \sigma \operatorname{BR} P^{N \to N}_{\text{osc}}(x_1, x_2) P^{N \to \overline{N}}_{\text{osc}}(x_1, x_2),$



LNV at colliders

• The ratio between LNC and LNV events (for an ideal detector)



Above a certain M_N $R_{\ell\ell}$ drops to zero as $\frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma_N}$ becomes small

Ideal Detector



FCC-ee



14

Conclusion

- Lepton number violating same-sign dilepton events are rare in SM, making them a distinctive signature for new physics.
- In contrast to other low-scale seesaw schemes, in linear seesaw mechanism, LNV can be large at high energies.
- The Yukawa coupling Y_s detemines the heavy-neutrino production instead of the small light-heavy neutrino mixing.
- Heavy neutrino-antineutrino oscillations are necessary for the LNV signal.
- A relatively large number of LNV events are expected at colliders.



RHN decay modes



 $CC: N_i \to \ell W^*$ $NC: N_i \to \nu_\ell Z^*$ Yukawa: $N_i \to \nu_\ell h^*$

Small M_N and small $V_{\ell N}$ implies small decay width:

Long-lived RHN Displaced vetrex

S. Antusch, O. Fischer, JHEP 12 (2016) 007 C.W. Chiang et al, 1908.09893