





Status of Extended Georgi-Machacek Model in Light of NLO Unitarity and Latest LHC Data

Based on:

D. Chowdhury, P. Mondal, S.S. arXiv: 2404.18996 [hep-ph]

17th International Conference on Interconnections between Particle **Physics and Cosmology (PPC) 2024**

> *a Hyderabad, India* **October 16, 2024**

Subrata Samanta

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India

Funded by: IIT Kanpur, Ministry of Education (PMRF), SERB (Govt. of India)







Beyond the Standard Model

Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a framework

Open questions :

Neutrino masses, Dark Matter, Baryon asymmetry of the Universe (BAU), Electroweak Phase Transitions (EWPT)

SM might be a simplified version of a more complicated model

Do the LHC data preclude the existence of additional multiplets in the scalar sector of the SM?

Search for BSM @ forefront of particle physics research



CMS









Triplet Extended Higgs Sector

Both ATLAS and CMS suggest an enhanced rate of WW and ZZ relative to the SM

The questions we ask is :

If WW and ZZ rate enhanced How far beyond the SM must go to describe them?

what is the model?

Model with triplet extended Higgs sector can explain an enhanced rate of WW and ZZ

- It can also explain neutrino oscillation, EWBG, Dark-Matter puzzle
- It offers a much richer prospect for collider experiments

Can be probed in particle colliders and in cosmological observatories





Higgs Triplet Models with Custodial Symmetry

Custodial Symmetry : $M_W^2 = M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W$

At tree-level, $\rho = 1$

Triplet extended Higgs sector with Custodial Symmetry :

$$\langle \phi \rangle = v_{\phi}, \langle \xi \rangle = v_{\xi}, \langle \chi \rangle = v_{\chi}$$

Georgi-Machacek (GM) model : ×

> Equality of triplet VEVs is preserved by the Higgs potential [Georgi, Machacek '85; Chanowitz, Golden '85] Interactions among the Higgs fields maintained $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry

extended Georgi-Machacek (eGM) model : ×

Equality of triplet VEVs is obtained by tuning the potential parameters @ tree-level [Kundu, Mondal, Pal '21] Higgs interactions does not maintained $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry ...Similar interactions were considered in 2HDM with softly broken Z_2 symmetry

Rho-parameter,
$$\rho = \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \theta_W}$$

SM doublet ϕ (T = 1/2, Y = 1/2) + Real triplet ξ (T = 1, Y = 0) + Complex triplet χ (T = 1, Y = 1)

$$\ \ \, \rho = 1 \ \text{in tree-level if} \ \, (v_{\chi} = v_{\xi})$$





Extended Georgi-Machacek Model





Salient features



... slide taken from Poulami Mondal's talk @ Higgs Hunting 2024



Theoretical Constraints

Positivity of the Higgs potential $V^{(4)} = \lambda_{\phi} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2} + \lambda_{\xi} (\xi^{\dagger} \xi)^{2} + \lambda_{\gamma} (\chi^{\dagger} \chi)^{2}$ $+\lambda_{\phi\gamma}(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)(\chi^{\dagger}\chi)+\lambda_{\gamma\xi}(\chi^{\dagger}\chi)(\xi^{\dagger}\xi)$

 $y_i < \sqrt{4\pi}$ and $\lambda_i < 4\pi$

Quartic couplings should satisfy the unitarity conditions @ one-loop

$$\left|a_{\ell}^{2 \to 2} - \frac{1}{2}i\right|^2 + \sum_{k>2} \left|a_{\ell}^{2 \to k}\right|$$

NLO corrections to the LO amplitudes should be smaller in magnitude

$$|a_{\ell}^{NLO}| < |a_{\ell}^{L}|$$

$$\left| \hat{\chi}^{\dagger} \chi \right|^{2} + \tilde{\lambda}_{\chi} \left| \tilde{\chi}^{\dagger} \chi \right|^{2} + \lambda_{\phi\xi} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) (\xi^{\dagger} \xi)$$

$$\left| + \kappa_{1} \left| \xi^{\dagger} \chi \right|^{2} + \kappa_{2} (\phi^{\dagger} \tau_{a} \phi) (\chi^{\dagger} t_{a} \chi) + \kappa_{3} \left[(\phi^{T} \epsilon \tau_{a} \phi) (\chi^{\dagger} t_{a} \xi) + \text{h.c.} \right] >$$

Yukawa and quartic couplings of the theory need to be in perturbative regime

$\left| {}^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \right|$





Positivity of the Higgs Potential

Ensure that boundedness of the potential in any directions of field space

Numerically, 3-field direction BFB conditions (neither necessary nor sufficient) are approximately well with all 13-field direction BFB conditions [Moultaka, Peyranère '21]

These 3-field direction BFB conditions are faster numerically











NLO unitarity

For a given $2 \to 2$ process, the unitarity bounds : $|a_{\ell} - i\frac{1}{2}| \le \frac{1}{2}$ LO unitarity : $a_{\ell}^{LO} \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\operatorname{Re}(a_{\ell}^{LO})| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ * NLO unitarity: $a_{\ell}^{NLO} \notin \mathbb{R}$, $|a_{\ell}^{NLO} - i\frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ * LO unitarity : $\lambda \leq \frac{8\pi}{2}$ Prior to the Higgs discovery : NLO unitarity :

No revised limit @2-loop

GM and eGM model :

NLO unitarity significantly refine the parameter space





Higgs Signal Strengths

 $\mu_i^f = \frac{\sigma B \ (i \to h \to f)}{\sigma B_{SM} \ (i \to h \to f)}$





Latest Run 2 LHC data put a stringent bound on triplet VEV , $v_{\gamma} < 32$ GeV

Strongly disfavour



Status of GM Model (combined fit)







More restrictive parameter space from improved theoretical constraints









Status of eGM Model (combined fit)



- Flavor or electroweak precision data could be used to constrain the model further. (Work in progress ...)

@ 95.4% CL limit on mass differences and quartic couplings



Maximum mass splitting within custodial multiplets ~ 210 GeV) @ 95.4% CL



Summary

- extended Georgi-Machacek (eGM) model
- Improved theoretical constraints (NLO unitarity with positivity) significantly refine the parameter space of the GM and eGM models
- Triplet VEV gets more and more constraints from the LHC data *
- Regions where $|\kappa_V| > 1.05$ is disfavour by the latest LHC data
- Mass splittings within custodial multiplets introduce new decay modes in eGM model

* Minimal triplet scalar extension of SM with custodial symmetry at tree-level gives





Backup slides

Collider Phenomenology

Yukawa sector :

Only the doublet couples to fermions



Additional features:

The addition of a singly charged scalar (F^+) coupled to fermions, along with the presence of a doubly charged scalar, makes these models highly interesting for collider studies.



Higgs Signal Strengths : HEPfit Implementation



$$\mu_i^f = \frac{\sigma B \ (i \to H \to f)}{\sigma B_{SM} \ (i \to H \to f)} \qquad f \in \{ZZ, WW, \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, \mu\mu, bb, \tau\tau\}$$

- Make all possible observables μ_i^f for different production and decay modes
- Fit to the ALTAS and CMS data on (correlated) observables μ_i^f for a BSM model
- Present the results on the (new) observables from the combined fit

$$\kappa_V = c_{\alpha}c_{\beta} - \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}s_{\alpha}s_{\beta}$$
, and $\kappa_f = \frac{c_{\alpha}}{c_{\beta}}$,



ectroweak precision observables

are included in HEPfit

Flavour Physics The Flavour Physics menu in HEPfit includes both guark and lepton flavour dynamics

Dynamics beyond the Sta Model can be studied by models in HEPfit

http://hepfit.romal.infn.it



HEPfit can be used to study

Higgs couplings and analyze data

on signal strengths



arXiv: 2206.09466

12	
tion	
1	
5 99% . average lavor serving bination Efft	
5 6	
andard adding	



- r 1.00
- 0.75
- 0.50
- 0.25
- 0.00
- -0.25
- -0.50
- -0.75
- L -1.00

Higgs Signal Strengths : LHC data

 Signal	Value		Co	rrelatio	on mat	rix		\mathcal{L}	Source
strength									
$\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{ m ggF,bbh}$	1.04 ± 0.10	1	-0.13	0	0	0	0		
$\mu_{ m VBF}^{\gamma\gamma}$	1.20 ± 0.26	-0.13	1	0	0	0	0		
$\mu_{ m Wh}^{\gamma\gamma}$	1.5 ± 0.55	0	0	1	-0.37	0	-0.11		[10]
$\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{{f Z}{f h}}$	-0.2 ± 0.55	0	0	-0.37	1	0	0	139	[10]
$\mu_{ ext{tth}}^{\gamma\gamma}$	0.89 ± 0.31	0	0	0	0	1	-0.44		
$\mu_{ ext{th}}^{\gamma\gamma}$	3 ± 3.5	0	0	-0.11	0	-0.44	1		
$\mu^{ZZ}_{ m ggF}$	0.95 ± 0.1	1	-0.22	-0.27	0				
$\mu^{ZZ}_{ m VBF}$	1.19 ± 0.45	-0.22	1	0	0				[4]
$\mu_{ m Vh}^{ZZ}$	1.43 ± 1.0	-0.27	0	1	-0.18			139	[4]
$\mu^{ZZ}_{ m tth}$	1.69 ± 1.45	0	0	-0.18	1				
$\mu^{ZZ}_{ m incl.}$	1.0 ± 0.1							139	[4]
$\mu^{WW}_{ m ggF,bbh}$	1.15 ± 0.135								
$\mu^{WW}_{ ext{VBF}}$	0.93 ± 0.21							139	[17]
$\mu^{WW}_{ m ggF,bbh,VBF}$	1.09 ± 0.11								
$\mu_{ m VBF}^{ au au}$	0.90 ± 0.18	1	-0.24	0	0				
$\mu^{ au au}_{ m ggF,bbh}$	0.96 ± 0.31	-0.24	1	-0.29	0			190	[12]
$\mu_{ m Vh}^{ au au}$	0.98 ± 0.60	0	-0.29	1	0			139	[10]
$\mu^{ au au}_{ ext{tth,th}}$	1.06 ± 1.18	0	0	0	1				
$\mu^{bb}_{ m VBF}$	0.95 ± 0.37							126	[9]
$\mu^{bb}_{ m Wh}$	0.95 ± 0.26							139	[<mark>6</mark>]
$\mu^{bb}_{ m Zh}$	1.08 ± 0.24							139	[<mark>6</mark>]
$\mu^{bb}_{ m Vh}$	1.02 ± 0.17							139	[<mark>6</mark>]
$\mu^{bb}_{ m tth,th}$	0.35 ± 0.35							139	[12]
$\mu^{\mu\mu}_{ m pp}$	1.2 ± 0.6							139	[7]
$\mu^{Z\gamma}_{ m pp}$	2.0 ± 0.95							139	[5]

ATLAS Run 2

CMS Run 2

Signal	Value	Correlation matrix	\mathcal{L}	Source
$\mathbf{strength}$			$[\mathbf{fb}^{-1}]$	
$\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{ m ggh,bbh}$	1.07 ± 0.11			
$\mu_{ m VBF}^{\gamma\gamma}$	1.04 ± 0.32			[]
$\mu_{ m Vh}^{\gamma\gamma}$	1.34 ± 0.34		137	
$\mu^{\gamma\gamma}_{ m tth,th}$	1.35 ± 0.31			
$\mu^{ZZ}_{ m ggh,bbh,tth,th}$	0.95 ± 0.13	1 -0.11		[10]
$\mu^{ZZ}_{\mathrm{VBF,Vh}}$	0.82 ± 0.34	-0.11 1	137	
$\mu^{WW}_{ m ggh}$	0.92 ± 0.11	1 -0.13 0 0		
$\mu^{WW}_{ ext{VBF}}$	0.71 ± 0.26	-0.13 1 0 0		[16]
$\mu^{WW}_{ m Zh}$	2.0 ± 0.7	0 0 1 0	138	
$\mu^{WW}_{ m Wh}$	2.2 ± 0.6	0 0 0 1		
$\mu^{ au au}_{ m incl.}$	0.93 ± 0.12			
$\mu^{ au au}_{ m ggh}$	0.97 ± 0.19			[15]
$\mu^{\tau\tau}_{\rm qqh}$	0.68 ± 0.23		138	
$\mu_{ m Vh}^{ au au}$	1.80 ± 0.44			
$\mu^{bb}_{ m qqh}$	1.59 ± 0.60	1 -0.75		[10]
$\mu^{bb}_{ m ggh}$	-2.7 ± 3.89	-0.75 1	90.8	[19]
$\mu^{\mu\mu}_{ m ggh,tth}$	0.66 ± 0.67	1 -0.24	197	[0]
$\mu^{\mu\mu}_{ m VBF,Vh}$	1.85 ± 0.86	-0.24 1	137	[8]
$\mu^{Z\gamma}_{ m pp}$	2.4 ± 0.9		138	[14]