A 17 MeV pseudoscalar and the LSND, MiniBooNE and ATOMKI anomalies

Samiran Roy University of Hyderabad, India

JHEP10(2024)086

XVII International Conference on Interconnections between Particle Physics and Cosmology, IIT Hyderabad, India

October 15, 2024

Neutrino Oscillation



hep-ph/0506165 (B. Kayser)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{e} \\ \nu_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{\mu e} = \sin^2 heta \sin^2 rac{1.27 \Delta m^2 L \,(ext{meter})}{E \,(extsf{MeV})}, ext{ where } \Delta ext{m}^2 = ext{m}_2^2 - ext{m}_1^2.$$

 $\Delta m^2|_{
m solar} \sim 7.5 imes 10^{-5} \, eV^2$ and $\Delta m^2|_{
m atm} \sim 2.5 imes 10^{-3} \, eV^2$. • For short-baseline (or near detector) experiments $L/E \sim 1$ and $P_{\mu e} \sim$ 0.

LSND and MB excesses

- LSND and MiniBooNE (MB) were two short-baseline neutrino experiments.
- Schematic representation of MB :



- LSND : proton energy 800 MeV and base-line \sim 30 meters.
- Detectors can not distinguish the signals from e^- , e^+ , γ , and under certain conditions e^+e^- pair.

- MB fluxes peak around 800 MeV and the flux drops significantly beyond 1.5 GeV.
- There were two kinds of fluxes for LSND: the DAR flux $(\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu}, \mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$ and DIF flux $(\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu})$.
- MB looked for an electron like signal in the final states.

$$\nu_{\mu}$$
 beam and $\nu_{\mu} + A \rightarrow e^{-} + X$

• LSND signal looks like an inverse β -decay.

$$\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$$
 beam and $\bar{\nu}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$

and n is captured by the free hydrogen in the detector. This produces a unique signature of 2.2 MeV gamma in the detector.

MB and LSND events



- An excess of electron (positron) like events over the expected background was observed.
- Excess events are distributed over all possible directions.
- The combined significance of the excess is 6.1σ .
- The MB excess disappears when neutrino flux is suppressed. Hence, the excess is linked to neutrinos.

Sterile neutrino

For an eV scale sterile neutrino, $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 eV^2$.

$$P_{\mu e} = \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \frac{1.27 \Delta m^2 L \,(\text{meter})}{E \,(MeV)}$$

Leptonic mixing matrix in the presence of an eV scale sterile neutrino:

 $U^{3+1} = O(\theta_{34}, \delta_{34})O(\theta_{24}, \delta_{24})O(\theta_{14})O(\theta_{23})O(\theta_{13}, \delta_{13})O(\theta_{12})$



The proposed model:

We consider two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) with a singlet pseudoscalar $\phi_{h'}$. In addition, three right-handed neutrinos help to generate neutrino masses via the seesaw mechanism and participate in the interaction which gives the signal both in MB and LSND.

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm 2HDM} &= \mu_1 |\phi_h|^2 + \mu_2 |\phi_H|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} |\phi_h|^4 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} |\phi_H|^4 + \lambda_3 |\phi_H|^2 |\phi_h|^2 + \lambda_4 (\phi_h^{\dagger} \phi_H) (\phi_H^{\dagger} \phi_h) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left\{ (\phi_h^{\dagger} \phi_H)^2 + h.c \right\} + (\lambda_6 |\phi_h|^2 + \lambda_7 |\phi_H|^2) (\phi_h^{\dagger} \phi_H + \phi_H^{\dagger} \phi_h), \\ V_{h'} &= \mu' |\phi_{h'}|^2 + \lambda'_2 |\phi_{h'}|^4 + \lambda'_3 |\phi_h|^2 |\phi_{h'}|^2 + \lambda'_4 |\phi_H|^2 |\phi_{h'}|^2 + \left\{ (\lambda'_5 |\phi_{h'}|^2 - \mu_3) (\phi_h^{\dagger} \phi_H) \right\} \\ &\quad + (m_1 |\phi_h|^2 + m_2 |\phi_H|^2 + m_3 \phi_h^{\dagger} \phi_H - m_s \phi_{h'}) \phi_{h'} + h.c. \right\}. \end{split}$$

Here,

$$\phi_h = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v + H_1^0 + iG^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_H = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{H_2^0 + iA_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_{h'} = i \, A_3^0 / \sqrt{2} \,.$$

In the Higgs basis the relevant Lagrangian $\mathcal L$ can be written as follows

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \sqrt{2} \left[(X^u_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_h + \bar{X}^u_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_H) \bar{Q}^i_L u^j_R + (X^d_{ij} \phi_h + \bar{X}^d_{ij} \phi_H) \bar{Q}^i_L d^j_R + (X^e_{ij} \phi_h + \bar{X}^e_{ij} \phi_H) \bar{L}^i_L e^j_R \right. \\ &+ (X^\nu_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_h + \bar{X}^\nu_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_H) \bar{L}^i_L \nu_{R_j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} m_{ij} \bar{\nu}^e_{R_i} \nu_{R_j} + \lambda^N_{ij} \bar{\nu}^e_{R_i} \phi_h \nu_{R_j} + h.c. \right], \\ &+ \square \models \langle \mathcal{D} \models \langle$$

The Interaction in MB and LSND and the benchmark parameters :



Figure 1. Feynman diagram of the scattering process involving a' which leads to the excess in MB and LSND.

m_{N_1}	m_{N_2}	m_{N_3}	$y_u^{a'}\!\!\times\!\!10^6$	$y_e^{a'} \! imes \! 10^5$	$y^{a'}_{\mu} \! imes \! 10^5$	$M_{H^{\pm}}$	$y_c^{a'}$	$y_t^{a'}$
$70\mathrm{MeV}$	$120\mathrm{MeV}$	$10\mathrm{GeV}$	4.34	2.3	1	$305{ m GeV}$	0	0
$M_{a'}$	M_H	$\sin \xi$	$y_d^{a'}\!\!\times\!\!10^6$	$y^{a'}_{\nu_{\mu N_2}}\!\!\times\!\!10^2$	$\lambda_{N_{12}}^{a'}$	M_A	$y_s^{a'}$	$y_b^{a'}$
$17\mathrm{MeV}$	$300{ m GeV}$	0.01	4.0	3.15	0.1	$400{ m GeV}$	0	0

Table 1. Benchmark parameter values used to generate the event spectrum in LSND and MB.

Results:

The total differential cross section for the interaction with CH_2 is



Atomki anomaly



- The experiment observes unexpected bumps in the invariant mass and angular separation of the e^+e^- pair, as opposed to SM expectation that the invariant mass and angular distribution would fall monotonically.
- Data is consistent with the production of an new particle X with

$$M_X = 16.7 \pm 0.35 \,(\text{stat} \pm 0.5 \,(\text{sys}))$$
 MeV

• The excess events were also observed in the other nuclei such as ${}^{4}He {}^{12}C$.

 The observation correspond to an excess of 6.8 sigma. The BR fraction is

$$\frac{\mathrm{BR}(^8\mathrm{Be}^* \rightarrow \ ^8\mathrm{Be}\,X) \times \mathrm{BR}(X \rightarrow e^+e^-)}{\mathrm{BR}(^8\mathrm{Be}^* \rightarrow \ ^8\mathrm{Be}\,\gamma)} = 5.8 \times 10^{-6}$$

- From parity and angular momentum conservation, X can be a vector, axial vector or pseudoscalar.
- The effective average coupling of a' to nucleus from which one gets the above BR fraction, $\bar{h}_N^2 = \frac{(F_p + F_n)^2}{4} \sim 9.38 \times 10^{-7}$.

m_{N_1}	m_{N_2}	m_{N_3}	$y_{u}^{a'} \times 10^{6}$	$y_e^{a'} \times 10^5$	$y_{\mu}^{a'} \times 10^{5}$	$M_{H^{\pm}}$	$y_c^{a'} \times 10^3$	$y_t^{a'} \times 10^5$
$70\mathrm{MeV}$	$120\mathrm{MeV}$	$10{ m GeV}$	4.613	2.3	1	305 GeV	-6.827	1.0
$M_{a'}$	M_H	$\sin \xi$	$y_d^{a'} \times 10^5$	$y^{a'}_{\nu_{\mu N_2}} \times 10^3$	$\lambda_{N_{12}}^{a'}$	M_A	$y_s^{a'} \times 10^5$	$y_b^{a'}$
$17\mathrm{MeV}$	300 GeV	0.01	1.0	2.2	0.1	400 GeV	1.356	0

 Table 2: Benchmark parameters used to generate the event spectrum in LSND, MB and for calculating the ATOMKI.

Conclusions

- Evidence for anomalous signals at short-baseline neutrino experiments is increased over time.
- Our proposed model could provide a common, non-oscillatory new physics explanation for both the LSND and MicroBooNE excess events, as well as the ATOMKI anomaly.
- The ongoing experiment, MicroBooNE will investigate e^+e^- pair scenario in the near future. This also provides a test of our model.
- Confirmation of the ATOMKI anomaly by other independent experiments (MEG II, PADME) is important.

Thank you for your attention!