

Probing Heavy Neutrino Magnetic Moments at the LHC Using Non-Pointing Photon Signatures

Patrick D. Bolton (Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana)

In collaboration with: Rebeca Beltrán (IFIC), Frank Deppisch (UCL), Chandan Hati (IFIC) and Martin Hirsch (IFIC)

See: <u>arXiv:2405.08877</u>

Heavy Neutral Leptons - where and why?

Unsolved Problems



Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024





Dark Matter

Neutrino Masses

Baryon Asymmetry

Hidden sector

[Graphic: Youngst@rs MITP Workshop]



Right-Handed Neutrinos

Consider N_R (SM gauge singlet), only $U(1)_L$ forbids a mass term:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}+N_R} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} + i \bar{N}_R \partial N_R$

Extended neutrino mass matrix:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_L & \bar{N}_R^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^c \\ N_R \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}, \quad M_D = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu}$$

Diagonalise: Light neutrino masses if $M_D \ll M_R$ or $U(1)_L$ is approximately conserved

$$[M_{\nu}]_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} m_i \approx -[M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T]_{\alpha\beta} \qquad V_{\alpha N_i} = i U_{\alpha j} \mathcal{R}_{ji} \sqrt{\frac{m_j}{m_{N_i}}}$$

Resulting heavy states: Majorana (Type-I seesaw) or pseudo-Dirac (inverse seesaw) fermions

$$_{R} - \left[\bar{L}Y_{\nu}N_{R}\tilde{H} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_{R}^{c}M_{R}N_{R} + \text{h.c.}\right]$$

Active-Sterile Mixing Phenomenology



$$\mathcal{L} \supset \left[-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} V_{\alpha N_i} \bar{\ell}_{\alpha} \not{W} P_L N_i + \text{h.c.} \right] - \frac{g}{2c_W} \left[V_{\alpha N_i} \bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \not{Z} P_L N_i \right]$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

Atre, Han, Pascoli, Zhang, 0901.3589 Bondarenko et al., 1805.08567 PDB, Deppisch, Dev, 1912.03058 Coloma et al., 2007.03701

Beyond the Renormalisable: SMEFT + N_R

If N_R is coupled to some heavy new physics at the scale Λ :



Active-to-Sterile and Sterile-to-Sterile Neutrino Magnetic Moments

In the N_R LEFT, magnetic moments of RH fields are described by the operators:



Phenomenology:

- Neutrino upscattering (solar ν , CE ν NS)
- Meson Decays (Dalitz-like)
- Supernova cooling (SN1987A)
- Monophoton + E_T^{miss} , Γ_Z^{inv} at LEP, LHC

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



- Meson Decays (Dalitz-like)
- Supernova cooling (SN1987A)
- Monophoton + E_T^{miss} , Γ_Z^{inv} , at LEP, LHC

7

From the SMEFT

These are induced by the N_R SMEFT operators:

$$\mathcal{O}_{NNB}^{(5)} = (\bar{N}_R^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{NB}^{(6)} = (\bar{L} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) \tilde{H} B^{\mu\nu} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{NW}^{(6)} = (\bar{L} \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) \tilde{H} B^{\mu\nu}$$



$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow d_{NN\gamma}^{ij} = c_w C_{NNB}^{(5)ij} \quad d_{\nu N\gamma}^{\alpha i} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_w C_{NB}^{(6)\alpha i} + \frac{s_w}{2} C_{NW}^{(6)\alpha i} \right)$$



Electroweak Dipole Moments

For high-energy collider processes, also relevant are



 $\ell_{L\alpha}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\nu NZ} = (\bar{\nu}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) Z^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\ell NW} =$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



 $= (\bar{\ell}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) W^{\mu\nu}$

 $\mathcal{O}_{NNZ} = (\bar{N}_R^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} N_R) Z^{\mu\nu}$

Current Bounds: Active-Sterile Dipole Moments

PDB, Deppisch, Fridell, Harz, Hati, Kulkarni, 2110.02233



- Neutrino upscattering (solar ν , CE ν NS)
- Meson Decays (Dalitz-like)
- Supernova cooling (SN1987A)
- Monophoton + E_T^{miss} , Γ_Z^{inv} at LEP, LHC

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

Magill, Plestid, Pospelov, Tsai, 1803.03262 Brdar, Greljo, Kopp, Opferkuch, 2007.15563 Schwetz, Zhou, Zhu, 2105.09699 Barducci, Liu, Titov, Wang, Zhang, 2308.16608



Current Bounds: Sterile-Sterile Dipole Moments



- Supernova cooling (SN1987A)
- Monophoton + E_T^{miss} , Γ_Z^{inv} , at LEP, LHC



Bounds depend on $m_{N_2} - m_{N_1}$

Delgado, Duarte, Jones-Pérez, Manrique-Chavil, Pēna, 2205.13550 Barducci, Bertuzzo, Taoso, Toni, 2209.13469 Chun, Mandal, Padhan, 2401.05174





Displaced Vertex Searches with Non-Pointing Photons at LHC

HNL Production





Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

$$\rightarrow N_i N_j X) = \sum_q \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \left(f_q(x_1, \hat{s}) f_{\bar{q}}(x_2, \hat{s}) + q \leftrightarrow \bar{q} \right) d\hat{\sigma}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow q) d\hat{\sigma}(q\bar{q}) d\hat{\sigma}(q) d\hat{\sigma}(q\bar{q})$$



13



HNL Decays







With active-sterile mixing ($V_{\alpha N}$), active-to-sterile dipole moments ($C_{NR}^{(6)}$) and sterile-to-sterile moments ($C_{NNR}^{(5)}$) → Each coupling can dominate production and decay (limiting cases are taken as 9 benchmarks)



Displaced Vertex Signatures: Non-Pointing Photons

Non-pointing photons can be emitted in the decay of LLP N

- \rightarrow Occur at secondary vertex, displaced from primary vertex (PV)
- → Motivation: Significantly reduce SM backgrounds

ATLAS and CMS ECals reconstruct trajectory of photons — Displacement via impact parameter (IP)

$$d_{XY} = x_{LLP} \frac{p_Y}{p_T} - y_{LLP} \frac{p_X}{p_T} \qquad d_Z = \frac{z_{LLP} - (y_{LLP})}{1 - 1}$$
$$\vec{r} = \{x_{LLP}, y_{LLP}, z_{LLP}\}$$

Impact parameter: Minimal distance from from γ to PV

In practice: Measuring d_Z difficult (several PVs, no ℓ^{\pm}). Use only d_{XY}







9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?





9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B1-B2: (> 1) non-pointing photons from N_1 and N_2 decays



9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B3: No non-pointing photons but DVs (j) + prompt photon still possible



Simulation

To determine the sensitivity reach of ATLAS, performed numerical study:

- Dipole operators up to d = 6 implements in FeynRules, UFO output
- Remaining parameter(s) fixed
- Decays handled by MadSpin, Pythia8 showering \Rightarrow estimate efficiencies

Sconario	Model pa	Simulated decay	
Scenario	\mathbf{Scan}	Fixed	Simulated decay
B1	$m_{N_2},C^{(5)}_{_{NNB}}$	δ	$N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \gamma$
B2	$m_{N_1},C^{(6)}_{_{N_1X}}$	$m_{N_2}, C^{(5)}_{_{NNB}}$	$N_2 \to N_1 \gamma$ $N_1 \to \nu \gamma$
B3	$m_{N_1}, V_{eN_1} ^2$	$m_{N_2},C_{_{NNB}}^{(5)}$	$N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \gamma$ $N_1 \rightarrow ejj$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

• For each benchmark, 10⁵ events in MadGraph5 at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV covering the parameter space (m_{LLP}, c_{decay})



Selection Cuts and Events

Trigger: $|p_T^{\gamma}|$ and $|\eta^{\gamma}|$ (B1 and B2) $|p_T^e|$ and $|\eta^e|$ (B3)

B1 and **B2**: LLP in ECal (cut on d_{XY}^{γ}) **B3**: LLP in inner detector (cut on d_0 , $m_{\rm DV}$)

Number of non-pointing photon events:

$$N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B1}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B1}}$$
$$N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B2}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot 2 \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_1 \to \nu \gamma \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B2}}$$
$$N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B3}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot 2 \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_1 \to ejj \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B3}}$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

	-	
Scenario	Signature	Selection cuts
B 1	Non-pointing γ	$ p_T^\gamma > 10~{\rm GeV}$, $ \eta^\gamma < 2.47$
BJ	Non-pointing γ (×2)	$r_{\rm DV} < 1450~{\rm mm}$, $ z_{\rm DV} < 3450~{\rm m}$
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}$	$(+ \text{ prompt } \gamma)$	$ d_{XY}^{\gamma} > 6 \mathrm{mm}$
		$ p_T^e > 120 \text{ GeV}, \eta^e < 2.47$
B3	Displaced Vertex $(\times 2)$	$4 \text{ mm} < r_{\text{DV}} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\text{DV}} < 300$
D0	$(+ \text{ prompt } \gamma)$	4 tracks with $ d_0 > 2 \text{ mm}$
		$m_{\rm DV} > 5~{ m GeV}$

CMS, 1207.0627 ATLAS, 2209.01029





Bounds from Displaced Vertex Searches at LHC

Scenario B1

 $pp \rightarrow N_1 N_2 \ (d_{NN\gamma})$ $N_1 (E_T^{\text{miss}})$ $N_2 \rightarrow (N_1 \gamma)^{\text{LLP}} (d_{NN\gamma})$



$$N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B1}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B1}}$$



Scenario B2

 $pp \rightarrow N_1 N_2 \ (d_{NN\gamma})$ $N_1 \to (\nu \gamma)^{\text{LLP}} \left(d_{\nu N \gamma} \right)$ $N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \gamma \rightarrow (\nu \gamma)^{\text{LLP}} \gamma \ (d_{\nu N \gamma}, d_{N N \gamma})$



 $N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B2}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot 2 \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_1 \to \nu \gamma \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B2}}$



25

Scenario B3

 $pp \rightarrow N_1 N_2 \ (d_{NN\gamma}),$ $N_1 \rightarrow (ejj)^{\text{LLP}} (V_{eN})$ $N_2 \rightarrow N_1 \gamma \rightarrow (ejj)^{\text{LLP}} \gamma \ (d_{NN\gamma}, V_{eN})$



 $N_{\text{sig.}}^{\text{B3}} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_2 \to N_1 \gamma \right) \cdot 2 \cdot \mathcal{B} \left(N_1 \to ejj \right) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{sel}}^{\text{B3}}$



Constraints on Specific UV Model

UV Model for Neutrino Magnetic Moments

To generate active (sterile)-to-sterile magnetic moments for N_R , we introduce two additional fields:

_	Field(s)	Irrep	Couplings	
-	N_R	$(1,1)_0$	$Y_{ u}$	-
	E	$(1,1)_{-1}$	Y_E	
	ϕ	$(1,1)_{-1}$	$f,\lambda_{\phi H}$	
				Aparici, Kim, Santamaria, Wi

Introducing the vector-like lepton $E = E_L + E_R$:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{E} \left(i \not{D} - m_E \right) E - \left[\bar{L} Y_E H E_R + \text{h.c.} \right] \qquad Y_E = \begin{pmatrix} Y_E^e \\ Y_E^\mu \\ Y_E^\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

Introducing the scalar ϕ :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset (D_{\mu}\phi)^{*}(D^{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi) - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{L}f\tilde{L}\phi + \bar{N}_{R}^{c}f'\ell_{R}\phi^{*} + \text{h.c.} \end{bmatrix} \qquad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{e\mu} & f_{e\tau} \\ -f_{e\mu} & 0 & f_{\mu\tau} \\ -f_{e\tau} & -f_{\mu\tau} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

Aparici, Kim, Santamaria, Wudka, 0904.3244 Aparici, Santamaria, Wudka, 0911.4103

When both E and ϕ are Present

When both the vector-like lepton and singly-charged scalar are present, we can write

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{N}_R h E_L \phi^* + \bar{N}_R^c h' E_R \phi^* + \text{h.c.},$$

If $E \rightarrow -E$ and $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ under Z_2 , these are the only possible new interactions





UV Model Bounds from Benchmark 1

$$d_{NN\gamma}^{ij} = c_w C_{NNE}^{(5)ij}$$



30

Active-to-Sterile Bounds



Summary and Conclusions

Study: Phenomenology of heavy sterile neutrinos with magnetic moments at the LHC

- EFT analysis with the N_R SMEFT operators $\mathcal{O}_{NNR}^{(5)}$, $\mathcal{O}_{NR}^{(6)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{NW}^{(6)}$:
- Examined future sensitivity of LHC experiments using displaced **non-pointing photons**
- Excluded regions in 3 of 9 limiting benchmark cases

Toy UV model to generate $C_{NNR}^{(5)}$, $C_{NR}^{(6)}$ and $C_{NW}^{(6)}$ at one-loop

- Single vector-like lepton E and singly-charged scalar ϕ
- Additional constraints from EWPT, cLFV and LFU violating observables

Conclusions:

- Non-pointing photons can explore new regions of EFT parameter space for $d_{NN\gamma}$, $d_{\nu N\gamma}$ and $V_{\alpha N}$
- In specific model, complementarity with EWPT, cLFV

Thank you for your attention!

Bonus Slides

9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B4: $C_{NNB}^{(5)}$ large enough to dominate the decay of N_2 would also dominate $\sigma(pp \to X)$



9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B5 and **B6**: Difficult to realise displaced vertex (decay prompt if $C_{NB}^{(6)}$ dominates σ)



9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B7: Can only be realised in a narrow region of parameter space

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



37



9 Scenarios: Where is the non-pointing photon signature viable?



B8: Prompt ℓ^{\pm} plus photon (prompt or displaced depending on $C_{NR}^{(6)}$)



UV Model for Neutrino Magnetic Moments

Vector-Like Lepton

Extended charged lepton mass term including vector-like lepton

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\left(\bar{\ell}_L \ \bar{E}_L\right) \mathcal{M}_E \begin{pmatrix} \ell_R \\ E_R \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}; \quad \mathcal{M}_E = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{vY_e}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{vY_E}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & m_E \end{pmatrix}$$

Diagonalise:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell_{L\alpha} \\ E_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\alpha\beta}^L & V_{\alpha E}^L \\ V_{E\beta}^L & V_{EE}^L \end{pmatrix} P_L \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{\beta} \\ E' \end{pmatrix} ,$$

In the limit $m_{\ell} \ll m_{E'}$, seesaw-like mixing

$$V_{\alpha E}^{L} = -V_{E\alpha}^{L*} \approx \frac{vY_{E}^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}m_{E}} \,,$$

 $\Rightarrow V^{L,R}$ enters SM charged and neutral currents

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell_{R\alpha} \\ E_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\alpha\beta}^R & V_{\alpha E}^R \\ V_{E\beta}^R & V_{EE}^R \end{pmatrix} P_R \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{\beta} \\ E' \end{pmatrix} ,$$

$$V^R_{\alpha E} = -V^{R*}_{E\alpha} \approx \frac{v^2 [Y_e]^*_{\alpha \gamma} Y^{\gamma}_E}{2m^2_E}$$

40

Vector-Like Lepton

Equivalently, for $m_{\ell} \ll m_{E}$, the vector-like lepton can be integrated out. At tree-level:

$$\mathcal{O}_{Hl}^{(1)} = (H^{\dagger} i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} H) (\bar{L} \gamma^{\mu} L) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{Hl}^{(3)} = (H^{\dagger} i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu}^{I} H) (\bar{L} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} L) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{eH} = (H^{\dagger} H) \bar{L} H \ell_R$$

With matching conditions:

$$C_{Hl}^{(1)\alpha\beta} = C_{Hl}^{(3)\alpha\beta} = -\frac{Y}{2}$$

Give off-diagonal Z, Higgs couplings or flavour-changing neutral currents (FCNCs) └→ Bounds from electroweak precision tests (EWPT) and charged-lepton flavour violation (cLFV)

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



del Aguila, de Blas, Perez-Victoria, arXiv:0803.4008



Singly-Charged Scalar

For the singly-charged scalar, we can write

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\left(\bar{\nu}_L \ \bar{\ell}_L\right) f\left(\begin{pmatrix} \ell_L^c \\ -\nu_L^c \end{pmatrix} \phi + \text{h.c.} = -2\bar{\nu}_L f \ell_L^c \phi + \text{h.c.} ,$$

Similarly, for $m_{\ell} \ll m_{\phi}$, we obtain from f and f' couplings:

$$\mathcal{O}_{ll} = (\bar{L}\gamma_{\mu}L)(\bar{L}\gamma^{\mu}L) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{lNle} = (\bar{L}N_R)\epsilon(\bar{L}\ell_R) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{eN} = (\bar{\ell}_R\gamma_{\mu}\ell_R)(\bar{N}_R\gamma^{\mu}N_R)$$

With tree-level matching conditions:

$$C_{ll}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{f_{\alpha\gamma}f_{\delta\beta}^*}{m_{\phi}^2} \qquad \qquad C_{lNle}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{2f_{\alpha\beta}f_{i\gamma}'}{m_{\phi}^2} \qquad \qquad C_{eN}^{\alpha\beta ij} = \frac{f_{i\alpha}'^*f_{j\beta}'}{2m_{\phi}^2}$$

Exotic lepton interactions

 \rightarrow Bounds from lepton flavour universality (LFU) and charged lepton flavour violating probes

Sterile-to-Sterile Neutrino Magnetic Moments

At one-loop in the UV model:



One-loop matching:

$$C_{NNB}^{(5)ij} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{g'(h'_i h^*_j - h^*_i h'_j)}{4m_E} f(r)$$

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{1-r} + \frac{r\log r}{(1-r)^2}$$

Sterile-to-Sterile Neutrino Magnetic Moments



$$d_{NN\gamma}^{ij} = c_w C_{NNB}^{(5)ij} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \left(\frac{h'_i h'_j - h'_i h'_j}{10}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_E}\right)$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

 m_E [TeV]

 $h_i, h_i' < \sqrt{4\pi}$

Active-to-Sterile Neutrino Magnetic Moments

Without Z_2 , at one-loop in the UV model:



$$\text{Matching:} \quad C_{NB}^{(6)\alpha i} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{3g'_{\alpha\beta} Y_E^{\beta*} h'_i}{4m_E^2} f(r) \qquad C_{NW}^{(6)\alpha i} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{gf_{\alpha\beta} Y_E^{\beta*} h'_i}{2m_E^2} f(r) \,.$$

The UV model therefore predicts $a = \frac{g'}{g} \frac{C_{NW}^{(6)\alpha i}}{C_{NB}^{(6)\alpha i}} = \frac{2}{3}$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

$\frac{2}{3}$ which narrows down the phenomenology

45

Active-to-Sterile Neutrino Magnetic Moments



$$d_{\nu N\gamma}^{\alpha i} = \frac{4vc_w}{3\sqrt{2}} C_{NB}^{(6)\alpha i} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \left(\frac{f_{\alpha\beta}Y_E^{\beta*}h'_i}{10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_E}\right)^2$$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024

 $m_E \,[\text{TeV}]$

Other Constraints on UV Scenario

Charged Lepton Flavour Violation Bounds

cLFV processes via the general couplings Y_E^{α} and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ can also be used to constrain the model:

Tree-level

- $\mu \rightarrow 3e, \tau \rightarrow 3e, \tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ (SINDRUM, Belle)
- $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion in nuclei (SINDRUM)
- LFU violation in charged-currents
- Flavour-violating Z and Higgs decays (ATLAS, CMS)

One-loop

• $\mu \to e\gamma, \tau \to e\gamma, \tau \to \mu\gamma$ (MEG, BaBar)

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



 f_i

Direct Production Bounds

The vector-like lepton E and singly-charged scalar ϕ can also be produced directly at the LHC

- Drell-Yan production: $pp \rightarrow \gamma/Z \rightarrow E^+E^-$, $pp \rightarrow \gamma/Z \rightarrow \phi^+\phi^-$
- Decays: $\phi^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu, E^{\pm} (\to N \phi^{\pm}) \to \ell^{\pm} \nu N$

Recast:

• Slepton ATLAS search using oppositely-charged e and μ pairs

$$m_E, m_\phi \gtrsim 200 \text{ GeV}$$

Dark matter LEP monophoton bounds

$$m_{\phi}/|f_{e\mu}|^2 \gtrsim 350 {
m ~GeV}$$



Benchmark Flavour Scenarios

1) Flavour universal couplings: $Y_E^e = Y_E^\mu = Y_E^\tau = f_{e\mu} =$ Strongest bounds from $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ and $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion (tree-level)

2) 'Tau-only' couplings: $Y_E^e = Y_E^\mu = f_{e\mu} = 0$, $Y_E^\tau = f_{e\tau}$ Strongest bounds from $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ (one-loop)

3) 'No electron' or $\mu - \tau$ couplings: $Y_E^e = f_{e\mu} = f_{e\tau} =$ \rightarrow Strongest bounds from $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ and $\tau \rightarrow \mu ee$ (tree-level)

$$= f_{e\tau} = f_{\mu\tau}$$

$$=f_{\mu\tau} \neq 0$$

0,
$$Y_E^{\mu} = Y_E^{\tau} = f_{\mu\tau} \neq 0$$

(tree-level)

Active-to-Sterile Bounds



EFT invalid for $m_E < m_{N_2} = 700 \text{ GeV}$

Patrick D. Bolton, IJS, PPC 2024



51

