



STATUS OF CMS-MG4GPU INTEGRATION

JIN CHOI

Nov. 5, 2024 For CMS-Madgraph5 Joint Meeting



INTRODUCTION



> Planning for the next iteration

- Final Goal for CMS to make sure no physics difference
 In the current validation iteration, with LO, testing DY / W / TT, both inclusive and jet-binned
- No severe cross-section / kinematic distribution difference between v29x and v35x for LO!
- \checkmark Comparison between v35x and v360 would be a good starting point
- Before starting the physics validation, **trying to make sure every tools working okay**





INTEGRATION



Patching cudacpp_for3.6.0_v1.00.00 to genproduction

- [Temporary Document] for instructions
 - use_syst = True -> not working, using [0077-fix_systematics_for_simd.patch] to resolve





TOOLS



> Migrating experiments to NERSC

- Using SLURM Batch system easy to get high-performance isolated also interactive nodes
- Nodes configured with [64x4 AMD CPUs] or [64x2 AMD CPUs + 4 A100 GPUs]
- More sophisticated "time" output!
- Base OS is OpenSUSE Need to use docker image to run CMSSW

> Time command

In NERSC, showing more sophisticated output than other machines, e.g.
 152.93user : CPU in user mode
 21.83system : CPU utilized by system kernel
 5:55.56elapsed : Total time
 49%CPU : CPU Utilization percentage
 (0avgtext+0avgdata 2576940maxresident)k : Memory usage
 100018inputs+2156200outputs : I/O statistics
 (1538major+1027411minor)pagefaults
 0swaps



AdaptivePerf [github]

Possible to profile with "sudo" privilege 🥲 - docker images provided, but base OS is Gentoo

Trying to figure out if it is possible to install it in el8 / el9 based docker image and profile



TESTS



MG 356 vs. MG360, madevent plugin

Simple test with W+jets [datacards]

wplustest with MG356 (Madevent)

Gridpack

152.93user 21.83system 5:55.56elapsed 49%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 2576940maxresident)k 100018inputs+2156200outputs (1538major+1027411minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event (5k)

79.25user 7.48system 4:10.97elapsed 34%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3170524maxresident)k 42636inputs+435968outputs (255major+697139minor)pagefaults 0swaps

wplustest with MG360 (Madevent)

Gridpack

158.60user 21.81system 3:11.12elapsed 94%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 2595628maxresident)k 123286inputs+2180856outputs (935major+1039533minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event (5k)

77.54user 7.52system 4:04.91elapsed 34%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3170072maxresident)k 42878inputs+424464outputs (156major+723870minor)pagefaults 0swaps

More efficiently using CPUs, some templates changed to improve CPU efficiency (e.g. parallelized restore_data), any other parts?

Not really need to compare speed between MG360 and previous MG's (might relevant with MG2.9.18? - reported twice longer time for produce gridpacks in MG3xx)





madevent vs. madevent_simd w/ fortran backend

- ✓ DY+012j / DY+3j
- Different no. of subprocess directories:

	DY+012j	DY+3j
madevent	14	10
madevent_simd	76	84
	P0_gg_llgqq P0_gg_taptamgqq P0_gq_llggq P0_gq_llqqq P0_gq_taptamggq P0_gq_taptamqqq P0_qq_llggg P0_qq_llgqq P0_qq_taptamggg P0_qq_taptamgqq	P0_dc_epemgdc P0_dc_taptamgdc P0_dd_epemgdd P0_dd_taptamgdd P0_ddx_epemgddx P0_ddx_epemggg P0_ddx_epemgssx P0_ddx_epemguux P0_ddx_taptamgddx P0_ddx_taptamggg





🗞 madevent vs. madevent_simd w/ fortran backend

✓ DY+012j: 14 vs. 76

Working environment: NERSC 128 CPUs + 4 A100 GPUs, nb_core = 16 for gridpack

DY+012j - madevent

Cross-section : 1.149e+04 +- 20.08 pb

Gridpack

928.65 user 57.26 system 5:05.90 elapsed 322% CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 2981636maxresident)k 166086inputs+5867512outputs (157major+4105020minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event (1k)

34.59user 13.26system 1:50.38elapsed 43%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3170696maxresident)k 42682inputs+126544outputs (173major+1273477minor)pagefaults 0swaps

DY+012j - madevent (fortran)

Cross-section : 1.148e+04 +- 15.27 pb

Gridpack

2649.03 user 212.02 system 10:09.17 elapsed 469% CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 4845396maxresident)k 1427808inputs+12549120outputs (1344major+17680321minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event (1k)

40.99user 34.18system 1:06.89elapsed 112%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3173592maxresident)k 42674inputs+188136outputs (238major+2414562minor)pagefaults 0swaps





🗞 madevent vs. madevent_simd w/ fortran backend

✓ DY+3j: 10 vs. 84

Working environment: NERSC 128 CPUs + 4 A100 GPUs, nb_core = 40 for gridpack

DY + 3j - madevent

Cross-section : 1368 +- 2.036 pb

Gridpack Production

46660.88user 144.44system 46:50.44elapsed 1665%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3357828maxresident)k 1669550inputs+9799824outputs (1526major+8777002minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event Generation:

131.43user 31.10system 2:35.52elapsed 104%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 3171232maxresident)k 42698inputs+196464outputs (224major+2612617minor)pagefaults 0swaps

DY + 3j - madevent_simd (fortran)

Cross-section: 1221 +- 0.9671 pb

Gridpack Production

176627.24user 896.39system 1:29:27elapsed 3307%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 5364484maxresident)k 47178100inputs+45749424outputs (5225major+46934872minor)pagefaults 0swaps

Event Generation

120.25user 161.98system 2:59.81elapsed 156%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 1439800maxresident)k 42674inputs+431056outputs (626major+8092469minor)pagefaults 0swaps



ISSUES



Madspin integration test

gridpack production was fine for fortran backend

> CPPAVX2 / CUDA test

Still facing FPE exceptions in CPPAVX2 and CUDA - need to be fixed before we moved on

